

## Christopher Harrison

---

**Subject:** FW: Conservation Officer - Consultation response: 4/20/2309/0F1 - HENSINGHAM HOUSE, EGREMONT ROAD, HENSINGHAM, WHITEHAVEN

---

**From:** david shankland < >

**Sent:** 12 October 2022 15:02

**To:** Christopher Harrison <Christopher.Harrison@copeland.gov.uk>

**Subject:** Re: Conservation Officer - Consultation response: 4/20/2309/0F1 - HENSINGHAM HOUSE, EGREMONT ROAD, HENSINGHAM, WHITEHAVEN

**CAUTION: External email, think before you click!**  
Please report any suspicious email to our [IT Helpdesk](#)

Hi Chris

In response to Conservation officer (Sammy Woodford)

His Bullet points in sequence.

The position of the door in the central protruding bay, is positioned to facilitate an adequate layout inside for house 2

There was a large gap in any correspondence during visits from Sammy Woodford, and this was never raised. The door position has already been established.

A photo of the door position is included, it is probable that the cellar door and steps will be removed.

UPVC doors and windows are installed as existing specification, with majority to the internal courtyard area. The south and west elevations, retain the existing UPVC windows.

The external staircase is both parallel and perpendicular to the elevation The new entrance door will be directly above the cellar door with stepped detail in keeping with design of the elevation.

I appreciate a detail is required for the site entrance, I ask at this stage that a condition is attached to that, bearing in mind that this entrance will serve three phases of development.

The construction is as existing original wall with rendered detail photo included.

A plan by KP ARBORISTS (Whitehaven) is being formatted.

Render Colour is 'K' REND (Peuter)

Boundary treatments gates piers etc as previously stated to be a condition

In reference to the curved wall this will be retained, there was no mention at any time during site visits in regards to the wall extending westward, this wall is in a bad condition and liable to collapse in various areas, and has no design value.

I disagree that the heritage statement needs upgrading, all aspects of this was discussed on site. There are more than adequate plans and details to establish all works.

Regards  
David Shankland  
CDL Architecture.

