

REMEDIATION STRATEGY FOR LAND AT DALZELL STREET MOOR ROW

Prepared for: -

Nigel Kay Homes Ltd Hollowdyke Farm Frizington Cumbria CA26 3QZ

GeoCon Site Investigations Ltd Arden House Shepley Lane Industrial Estate Marple Stockport SK6 7JW

September 2024 Ref: GSI 2132 LD RS Report V1

Quality Assurance	
Project ID	GSI 2132
Project Name	Land at Dalzell Street, Moor Row
Report Type	Remediation Strategy
Report Reference	GSI 2132 LD RS Report
Client	Nigel Kay Homes Ltd
Version	Version 3
Status	Final
Issue Date	September 2024
Revision Date	January 2025
Written by	<i>Liam Daley</i> For and on behalf of GeoCon Site Investigations Ltd Liam Daley B.Sc. (Hons) Senior Engineering Geologist
Reviewed by	Graham Boultbee For and on behalf of GeoCon Site Investigations Ltd Graham Boultbee B.Sc. (Hons) F.G.S Senior Engineer
Authorised by	9au Walker For and on behalf of GeoCon Site Investigations Ltd Ian Walker B.Sc. (Hons) F.G.S Director

This document has been prepared for the titled project (or named part thereof) and should not be relied upon or used for any other project without an independent check being carried out as to its suitability and prior written authorization being obtained from GeoCon. GeoCon accepts no responsibility or liability for the consequences of the use of this document, wholly or in part, for any other purpose than that for which it was commissioned. Any persons using or relying upon this document for such other purpose do so at their own risk.

This report was prepared for the sole use of the named Client, as defined above, and shall not be relied upon or transferred to any other party without the express written authorisation of GeoCon. It may contain material subject to copyright or obtained subject to license; unauthorised copying of this report will be in breach of copyright/license.

From herein after GeoCon Site Investigations Ltd will be referred to as GeoCon.

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Instruction	1
1.2	Previous Surveys	1
1.3	Objectives	1
1.4	Limitations	1
2.0	SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	3
2.2	Site Description	3
2.3	Surrounding Area	4
2.4	Future Site Usage	4
3.0	BACKGROUND	5
3.1	General	5
3.2	Encountered Geology	5
3.3	Risks to Human Health	6
3.4	Risk to Controlled Waters	6
3.5	Risks from Ground Gas	7
0.0		
4.0	REMEDIATION OUTLINE	8
4.0 5.0	REMEDIATION OUTLINE	8 9
4.0 5.0 5.1	REMEDIATION OUTLINE	8 9
4.0 5.0 5.1 5.2	REMEDIATION OUTLINE	8 9 9
4.0 5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3	REMEDIATION OUTLINE	8 9 9 9
4.0 5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	REMEDIATION OUTLINE	8 9 9 9 9 9
4.0 5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	REMEDIATION OUTLINE	8 9 9 9 9 9
4.0 5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6	REMEDIATION OUTLINE	9 9 9 9 9 10 10
4.0 5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7	REMEDIATION OUTLINE	9 9 9 9 9 10 10 10
4.0 5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8	REMEDIATION OUTLINE	8 9 9 9 9 10 10 11
4.0 5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 5.9	REMEDIATION OUTLINE	9 9 9 9 9 10 10 10 11 11
4.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 5.9 5.10	REMEDIATION OUTLINE	9 9 9 9 9 10 10 10 11 12 12
4.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8 5.9 5.10 6.0	REMEDIATION OUTLINE	8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 10 10 10 11 12 12 12

List of Tables

TABLE 2.1:	SITE SURFACE COVERING	;
TABLE 3.1:	SUMMARY OF GROUND CONDITIONS	,
TABLE 3.2:	SUMMARY OF SOIL CHEMICAL TESTING RESULTS	;
TABLE 6.1:	PROPOSED TESTING FREQUENCY AND ANALYSIS	,

APPENDICES

Appendix	Information
А	Drawings
В	Chemical Testing Results
С	Chemical Screening Criteria

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Instruction

- 1.1.1 GeoCon Site Investigations Ltd (GeoCon) have been commissioned by Nigel Kay Homes to develop a Remediation Strategy on land at Dalzell Street, Moor Row.
- 1.1.2 It is understood that development proposals comprise the development of 60 residential properties with private gardens and associated access roadways and infrastructure.

1.2 Previous Surveys

- 1.2.1 Previous assessments conducted upon the site comprise the following, which should be read in conjunction with this strategy:
 - GeoCon report dated August 2022, referenced 2016-1961, and entitled 'Phase I Desk Top Study Report (Preliminary Environmental Risk Assessment)'.
 - GeoCon report dated September 2024, referenced GSI 2132 WG PII Report, and entitled 'Phase II Site Investigation'.

1.3 Objectives

- 1.3.1 The objectives of this remediation strategy are as follows:
 - To outline the remedial strategy proposed at the site and the proposed verification strategy for agreement by the Local Planning Authority (LPA).

1.4 Limitations

- 1.4.1 The assessment and interpretation of the factual data obtained as part of this report has been undertaken in accordance with standard consulting practise and with current national and international guidance.
- 1.4.2 This report presents the observations made during the geoenvironmental site investigations and the factual data obtained. The conclusions and recommendations in this report are limited to those which can be made based on the findings of the survey and information provided by third parties. GeoCon assumes all third-party data to be true and correct. No responsibility can be accepted by GeoCon for inaccuracies in the information provided by any other party.
- 1.4.3 This report is written in the context of an agreed scope of works and should not be used in a different context. Furthermore, new information, improved practises, and changes in legislation may require the reinterpretation of the report in whole or in part after its original issue. GeoCon reserve the right to alter their conclusions and recommendations in the light of further information that may become available. This report is provided for the sole use of the client and their professional advisers and is confidential to them unless agreed otherwise in writing.
- 1.4.4 Ground conditions can be variable and change rapidly, especially in areas of Made Ground, however it is assumed that the ground conditions encountered and observed are typical and representative of the site as a whole. Most specifically with regard to this limited investigation, the ground conditions have been determined from a limited number of exploratory holes formed across the site, therefore only a small percentage of the total area of the site has been investigated. Interpolation between exploratory holes has enabled a general picture of the subsurface conditions to be produced. Conclusions drawn from the ground investigation should be read in this context. GeoCon cannot accept responsibility for any situations resulting from locally unforeseen ground conditions occurring between exploratory holes.

1.4.5 In addition, subsurface conditions including contaminant concentrations and groundwater levels may vary spatially with time. This factor should be given due consideration in the event that the information contained within this report is used after any significant period of time has elapsed.

2.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

- 2.1.1 The site is located at Dalzell Street, Moor Row, Cumbria, at approximate National Grid Reference NGR: 300662, 514638.
- 2.1.2 A site location plan is presented as Drawing No. GSI 2132/01 in Appendix A.

2.2 Site Description

- 2.2.1 The site is an irregular shaped piece of land with an approximate area of 4.00Ha.
- 2.2.2 The topography of the site varies, with agricultural land largely level in the west (with localised undulations present) with a steep slope down to the River Keekle in the east. The land south of the agricultural land is at a lower level, with a small steep bank present along the border of the fields extended down to historical levelled and flat land. A slight dip in elevation is present from Dalzell Street to the west in the triangular portion of the site, rising up to the level of the agricultural land to the east.
- 2.2.3 The site is currently occupied by derelict land of a former railway goods yard along the southern portion of the site, with access gates at the west and reinforced concrete present along the extents to the east. The hardstanding present in this area is a mix from access roadway and concrete floor slabs with rebar exposed from the relict foundations of structures no longer present. Woodland is present along the southern border and grass and shrubs have grown intersecting the areas of hardstanding. This area of the site is considered 'Brownfield land' (and referred to as such throughout this report), with evidence of historical levelling present in the form of a steep 2.00m embankment up to the agricultural land to the north.
- 2.2.4 The agricultural land to the north extends east to west and is described as an undeveloped field used for grazing. A small triangular shaped portion of grassed land is present at the site's western extent, adjacent the access route and Dalzell Street. This area of site is referred to as 'Greenfield land' throughout this report.
- 2.2.5 An approximate distribution of the surface covering is given below in Table 2.1: Site Surface Covering. Table 2.1: Site Surface Covering

Type of Surface Cover	Distribution (%)
Soft Ground (grassed and landscaped areas)	75
Hardstanding	25
Roadways	-
Buildings	-
Water (ponds, streams)	-

- 2.2.6 The site is bound by fencing and a cycle path to the south, the River Keekle to the east, agricultural land to the north and northwest and Dalzell Street to the west.
- 2.2.7 Access to the site is via an access road off Dalzell Street. However, the brownfield portion of site is enclosed in security fencing with a locked gate at the western extent.

2.3 Surrounding Area

- 2.3.1 The current surrounding land use to the site is generally agricultural to the north and west, and beyond the river to the east. To the south is a historical railway utilised as a cycle path with the village of Moor Row beyond.
- 2.3.2 The topography of the surrounding area gradually falls to the north, with the River Keekle to the east forming a river valley.

2.4 Future Site Usage

- 2.4.1 It is currently proposed to develop the land into a residential estate consisting of construction of 60 2 storey residential properties complete with soft landscaping and construction of access roadways, as shown on the client provided drawing (ref: 22/07/1026-04c) and contained with Appendix A.
- 2.4.2 This assessment has been carried out with reference to this proposed development plan as provided by the client, any changes to the proposed development may result in this assessment requirement updating.

3.0 BACKGROUND

3.1 General

- 3.1.1 The initial Phase I assessment identified an historical railway goods yard located on site along the southern portion of the site. No other development is noted on the existing agricultural fields. A risk to human health from land contamination was identified in the localised area of the historical goods yard, and potential to be impacting controlled water receptors. With regards to ground gas, a moderate to high risk was identified and the site residing within a Radon Affected Area.
- 3.1.2 The Phase I report identified a risk from potential shallow coal mining and associated gases with further investigation required. No mine entries, surface mining or outcrops are recorded beneath the site, but present in the wider surrounding area.
- 3.1.3 The intrusive Phase II Site Investigation was carried out by GeoCon between 24th June and 4th July 2024, consisting of eighteen Dynamic Sample boreholes (referenced DS01 to DS18) and seven rotary open hole boreholes (RO1 to RO7a) to depths of between 1.20 and 3.80mbgl and 45.00 and 48.00mbgl, respectively.
- 3.1.4 Nine of the Dynamic Sample / Rotary boreholes were installed with combined gas and groundwater monitoring standpipes, with the monitoring period carried between 10th July and 17th September 2024.

3.2 Encountered Geology

3.2.1 A generalised summary of the ground conditions encountered, depths to base, and thickness recorded from the exploratory hole records is presented below Table 3.1.

Geology /		Depth	(mbgl)		Thickness (m)		Locations encountered				
Strata	т	op	Ва	ise	Min	Max					
	Min	Max	Min	Max							
Made Ground	0.00	-	0.70	1.80	0.70 1.80		DS09 to DS17a, RO2 TO RO4A				
Topsoil	0.00	-	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	DS01 to DS08, DS18, RO1, RO5, RO6, RO7				
Superficial	0.30	0.30	11.00	15.00	10.70	14.70	All locations, with exception of RO4, DS11, DS17				
Bedrock	11.00	15.00	45.00	48.00	34.	00+	RO1 to RO6				
Intact Coal	36.00	44.30	39.00	45.50	1.20	3.00	RO2, RO3b				
Groundwater	Strike dep	oth (mbgl)	Rest Level (mbgl)		Locations encountered				Locations encounter		ed
	Min	Max	Min	Max							
Seepage	1.00	2.10-	-	-	DS01, DS0	6, DS18					
Strike	-	-	-	-	-						

Table 3.1: Summary of Ground Conditions

- 3.2.2 Made Ground was encountered in DS09 to DS17a, RO2 to RO4A from ground level to depths of between 0.70 and 1.80mbgl. These locations all reside within the 'Brownfield land' portion of the site.
- 3.2.3 The Made Ground generally concrete hardstanding overlaying granular material generally described as dark grey sandy gravel of brick, ash, limestone and mudstone with rare, suspected clinker in some locations, with a thickness ranging between 0.70 and 1.80m.

3.3 Risks to Human Health

- 3.3.1 All chemical results were compared against screening criteria for a residential end use with plant uptake. Only shallow made ground soils within the Brownfield portion of the site was affected by land contamination.
- 3.3.2 Twelve soil samples were tested for a Metals, Asbestos, PAHs and TPH-CWG suites.
- 3.3.3 No visual or olfactory evidence of contamination was encountered or observed during this ground investigation, in particular no obvious visual or olfactory evidence of mobile contamination was observed during the ground investigation.
- 3.3.4 Concentrations of contaminants reported above the screening criteria are presented in Table 3.2

Table 5.2. Summary of Son Chemical Testing Results	Table 3.2:	Summary	of Soil	Chemical	Testing	Results
--	------------	---------	---------	----------	---------	---------

No. Of samples analysed	Screening value (mg/kg) (where applicable)	Determinand concentration range (mg/kg)		Locations exceeding screening value
		Min	Max	
12	37	4.00	82.00	DS11, DS13, DS16, DS17a
12	210	11.00	341.00	DS11, DS16
12	7.2	BD	7.40	DS11
12	2.2	BD	15.50	DS11
12	2.6	0.05	16.00	DS11
12	0.24	0.04	2.89	DS11
	No. Of samples analysed analysed 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	No. Of samples analysed Screening value (mg/kg) (where applicable) 12 37 12 210 12 7.2 12 2.2 12 2.2 12 2.6 12 0.24	No. Of samples analysedScreening value (mg/kg) (where applicable)Determ concentration (mg/kg)10010010011011001100110110011001101100110011011001100110110011001101100100110110010011011001001101100100110100 <t< td=""><td>No. Of samples analysedScreening value (mg/kg) (where applicable)Determand concentration range (mg/kg)AllowAllowMax12374.0082.001221011.00341.001227.2BD7.40122.2BD15.50122.60.0516.00120.240.042.89</td></t<>	No. Of samples analysedScreening value (mg/kg) (where applicable)Determand concentration range (mg/kg)AllowAllowMax12374.0082.001221011.00341.001227.2BD7.40122.2BD15.50122.60.0516.00120.240.042.89

BD = Below Detection

- 3.3.5 Twelve samples were analysed for asbestos screen. Asbestos fibres were detected in three of the samples, in the following locations, with subsequent quantification analysis undertaken on each:
 - DS11 at 0.50mbgl: Loose Fibres of Chrysotile, quantified at 0.001%.
 - DS13 at 0.90mbgl: Loose insulation of Chrysotile, quantified at 0.002%
 - DS15 at 0.30mbgl: Loose fibres of Chrysotile, quantified at <0.001%</p>
- 3.3.6 Impacted soils indicated by chemical testing indicate that shallow Made Ground, encountered beneath the Brownfield portion of site only (historical railway goods yard) to depths ranging between 0.50 and 0.90m, is affected by contamination. The Phase II site investigation therefore identified a low to moderate risk to site users and construction workers, and Low risk to adjacent neighbours, with remedial action required.

3.4 Risk to Controlled Waters

<u>Groundwater</u>

- 3.4.1 The Environment Agency aquifer classification scheme indicates that the superficial aquifer designation for the site is classed as Secondary Undifferentiated, while the bedrock aquifer designation for the site is classed as Principal aquifer and Secondary A aquifer at the eastern extents. No public drinking water abstractions are present within 2000m of the site.
- 3.4.2 Groundwater strikes were encountered during the investigation in three locations, with WS04 indicating a strike at 4.00mbgl and WS05 and WS07 indicating a strike at 3.00mbgl. Groundwater monitoring indicated a fluctuating resting water level ranging between dry, and 0.52 to 1.82mbgl where present,

with the deeper RO2 installation indicating a depth of 2.00 to 3.60mbgl in the southeast corner of site. It is considered groundwater when present flows to the east and the adjacent River Keekle.

3.4.3 It is considered that any remediation undertaken in respect of the site soils for human health protection will have a positive impact on reducing any perceived risk to groundwater, with the Phase II concluding a Very Low risk to groundwater receptors.

Surface Water

- 3.4.4 The nearest surface watercourse to the site is River Keekle located along the eastern boundary of the site, flowing to the southeast. There is one discharge consent located on site, for sewage discharge into a freshwater stream/river.
- 3.4.5 Due to close proximity of the water course, it is recommended that a silt trap is utilised to prevent any construction activities from negatively impacting the River. Any works within proximity to the river will also require a permit from the Environment Agency.
- 3.4.6 Therefore, based on the above information, the results of the soil testing, the distance of the surface water receptor to the site, and considering that natural attenuation, dilution and dispersion will take place, the risks to surface waters are considered to be **very low** at this stage.

3.5 Risks from Ground Gas

- 3.5.1 Two Historic Landfill Sites are recorded within 250m of the site. They are identified 80m east and 160m east, however due to the changes in topography and being intersected by the River Keekle, these are not considered to be impacting the site.
- 3.5.2 The site is indicated by the Coal Authority to be potentially underlain by shallow coal, with mine workings known in the wider site surroundings including mine entries.
- 3.5.3 Made Ground was only encountered within the former goods and railway yard, with Made Ground proven to 1.80mbgl in this area of site. Gravels typical of alluvium was encountered in the western portion of the site.
- 3.5.4 Gas monitoring was carried out on six occasions over a three-month period to assess the risks to the proposed residential development from potential hazardous ground gasses; with ground gas monitoring standpipes installed at depths of between 2.00 and 5.00mbgl within the Made Ground and natural deposits.
- 3.5.5 Methane concentrations ranged below detection at <0.1% v/v; Carbon dioxide concentrations ranged between 0.20 and 14.1% v/v; oxygen concentrations ranged between 0.60 and 15.1% v/v; and flows were recorded between 0.0 and 1.70l/hr during the monitoring period. Areas affected by elevated CO2 relates to the area of natural alluvium in the west of the site.
- 3.5.6 Based on the gas monitoring and considering the elevated CO₂ and depleted oxygen and utilising the highest steady flow of 1.70l/hr, the site was categorised as falling under Characteristic Situation (CS) 2 Low risk. The development site is also located within a Radon Affected Area, as between 10% and 30% of properties are above the action level. Consequently, in accordance with BR211 full radon protection measures are also necessary, as part of the gas protection measures.

4.0 **REMEDIATION OUTLINE**

- 4.1.1 The aim of this Remediation Strategy is to minimize the risk to identified receptors, in this case:
 - Construction Workers, and
 - Future residents of the proposed development
 - Controlled Waters
- 4.1.2 Sources of risk on site are identified as:

Land Contamination

Concentrations above guideline values of Arsenic, Lead, Benzo(a)anthracene, Benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, dibenzo(ah)anthracene were recorded with in the granular made ground, alongside quantified asbestos within the Brownfield portion of site only, as defined on drawing GSI2132/03 and GSI2132/04 (Appendix A).

Ground Gas

- Based on the gas monitoring information the site was categorised as falling under Characteristic Situation (CS) 2 – Low risk, with gas protection measures required in the construction of new dwellings.
- 4.1.3 The investigation to date has been point specific, therefore there is potential for further contamination to be present on site in those areas not previously tested.
- 4.1.4 Based on the contamination profile identified and the proposed end use of the site, in order to meet the needs of this Remediation Strategy the recommendations as set out in Section 5.0 below are considered the appropriate approach.

5.0 REMEDIATION STRATEGY

5.1 Aims

- 5.1.1 The aims of this remediation strategy are the following:
 - Resolve any identified and potential contamination issues in order to protect environmental receptors and render the site suitable for the proposed development with respect to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).
 - Render the site as unlikely to be defined as Contaminated Land as defined under Part 2A of the EPA.

5.2 Construction Activities

- 5.2.1 During the construction works, especially the groundworks, construction workers will be subject to an elevated level of risk.
- 5.2.2 As such, all personnel on site will comply with guidance provided in the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) document "Protection of Workers and the general public during the redevelopment of potentially Contaminated Land". In summary, the following should be provided by the appointed contractor:
 - All staff to be suitably trained and competent.
 - Protective clothing, footwear, glasses, suitable dust masks and gloves. (Personnel should be instructed in why and how they are to be used).
 - → Handwashing, eye-washing and boot-washing facilities.
 - Damp down all exposed soils on site.

5.3 Removal of Below Ground Obstructions

- 5.3.1 Obstructions in the form of relic foundations and infrastructure may be found on site during groundworks.
- 5.3.2 Where relict structures are found to retain fluid contaminants, they shall be drained and removed from site. The engineer should be notified to ensure that the appropriate action is taken, and records made for final validation.
- 5.3.3 Deep excavations for the removal of structures etc. will be unstable in the short term and side support may be necessary.
- 5.3.4 Suitable materials derived from the grubbing-up shall be stored in a location on site, to be agreed with the engineer, prior to crushing. Any unsuitable materials shall be removed to a suitable licensed landfill site as stipulated within the Materials Management Plan.

5.4 Contaminated Soils

- 5.4.1 Remedial measures are required with respect the new development and construction in the area defined as Brownfield portion of site.
- 5.4.2 Following discussions with the Environment Agency, and in absence of groundwater quality information, the areas of RO4, DS11, and DS17 require Made Ground soils be removed from these localised areas of the site, to remove the potential source of contamination within the shallow made ground materials which have the potential to impact Controlled Water receptors. Specifically, this contamination is correlates to Made Ground at the specified locations and described as dark grey or black gravels

containing ash and suspected clinker gravels overlying natural strata, which will provide a visual indicator during remedial works to remove this material. This remedial activity is considered to reduce the perceived risk to Controlled Waters to an acceptable level, as per the regulatory body's advice.

- 5.4.3 With exception to the abovementioned locations, in areas of the site where buildings and hardstanding are proposed the Made Ground can be left insitu as the buildings and hardstanding areas will break the 'pollutant linkage' and remove the pathway for contamination and come in to contact with the end users.
- 5.4.4 Remedial measures are required with respect to any intended landscaped areas associated with the new construction. It is considered removal of a minimum of 600mm of Made Ground (or until natural strata where the Made Ground is <600mm thick) would reduce the risk to human health, by importing and providing a clean cover system in accordance with BRE Cover Systems for Land Regeneration which would reduce the risk to human health.
- 5.4.5 At this stage as a minimum, the Made Ground materials should be removed from site in accordance with BRE Cover systems for land regeneration 2004. This document recommends that based on the soil testing results, up to 600 mm of Made Ground below proposed formation level should be removed from site and replaced with clean inert materials comprising of clean inert imported topsoil material in line with BS 3882: 2015 Specification for topsoil.
- 5.4.6 During removal, it is recommended that a watching brief is present on site to record and validate the removal of materials.
- 5.4.7 Excavations should not be left open for longer than necessary, should be safely battered back and should be securely cordoned-off using 2.00m high safety fencing, with appropriate warning signs whenever excavations works are suspended

5.5 Export to Landfill

- 5.5.1 Any material exported from the site to landfill should be hauled by a registered waste carrier in accordance with the requirements of the Duty of Care Regulations, 1991 and the Landfill (England and Wales) Regulations 2005.
- 5.5.2 A transfer note should be completed, signed and retained by the parties involved.
- 5.5.3 All hazardous waste going for disposal at landfills must be classified according to the new European Waste Catalogue (EWC).
- 5.5.4 A Hazardous Waste Assessment should be carried out on any materials requiring removal and disposal from site. Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC) testing may also be required, and the results of the Hazardous Waste Assessment and WAC testing (if appropriate) should be presented to the landfill operator for their confirmation of waste category.

5.6 Dust Control

5.6.1 At all times during the excavation works, Best Practicable Means shall be employed to minimise dust and odour generation and their emission off site.

5.7 Previously Unidentified Contaminants

5.7.1 Should any suspected contaminated materials other than those detailed in this and previous reports be encountered during the re-development, then it should be excavated and stockpiled on an impermeable material and sampled and tested for an appropriate range of determinants.

- 5.7.2 Should any visual or olfactory evidence of contamination be identified during the removal of below ground obstructions and the turning over of made ground appropriate samples shall be taken by the Engineer for chemical analysis. The Engineer shall check the nature of materials excavated for visual and olfactory evidence of hydrocarbon contamination throughout the remedial works. Depending on the results, the excavated materials shall either be returned to the works beneath the proposed cover system or disposed of, off-site to a suitable licensed facility.
- 5.7.3 Any grossly contaminated soil/fill found during construction works shall be placed in temporary stockpiles on hardstanding or high density Visqueen and be suitability covered to minimize the potential for dust/odour nuisance and prevent surface water runoff. Samples of arisings material will be taken to determine whether this material is suitable for re-use on site following crushing in line with the earthwork specification.
- 5.7.4 Once the laboratory analysis of the material is available an assessment should be undertaken to determine whether it can be retained on-site as part of the Material Management Plan or whether it should be disposed off-site.
- 5.7.5 Depending on the nature of any such impact it may be necessary to undertake validation testing of the excavation faces in order to demonstrate that no such materials are left in-situ.

5.8 Gas Protection Measures

- 5.8.1 This site has been categorised as falling under Characteristic Situation (CS) 2 Low risk 2.
- 5.8.2 It is noted that full Radon protection measures are required and therefore should be suitably designed in accordance with BRE211, whilst also incorporating sufficient gas protection measures based on BS 8485.
- 5.8.3 BS 8485:2015 recommends a point system for protection measures. The proposed development would class as building type A, and therefore this development would require a total of 3.5 points. BS 8485:2015 provides details of the different options that can be used in order to make up the required points which include a combination of a structural barrier, ventilation measures and gas resistant membrane.
- 5.8.4 A gas membrane is required to be incorporated into the design in order to meet this requirement.
- 5.8.5 The gas resistant membrane should be designed to meet all of the following criteria:
 - Sufficiently impervious to the gases with a methane transmission rate of <40.0 ml/day/m2/atm.</p>
 - Sufficiently durable to remain serviceable for the anticipated life of the building and duration of gas emissions.
 - ➢ Sufficiently strong to withstand in service stresses.
 - Sufficiently strong to withstand the installation process and following trades until covered.
- 5.8.6 Any structural barrier should have appropriate grading of waterproofing to afford further points of gas protection. The designer should also incorporate appropriate ventilation to account for the 3.5 points required.

5.9 Drainage and Services Installations

- 5.9.1 It is recommended that statutory services are consulted at an early stage, with respect to the ground conditions within which they will lay services, in order to enable them to assess if any protection measures are required.
- 5.9.2 Underground services, comprising BT Lines, gas mains, combined and foul sewers, CCTV and possible fibre optic cables may all impact the site, and the design team and Client should familiarise themselves with their presence.
- 5.9.3 All drainage installations are anticipated to encounter made ground. Any suitable made ground arisings from drainage trenches etc., if not returned to the original excavation must be placed beneath proposed hard end-use areas of the site, placed beneath a cover of suitable thickness in garden and landscaped areas, or disposed off-site adhering to the guidelines outlined above.

5.10 Materials Management Plan

- 5.10.1 The Materials Management Plan should allow for remediation of the site soils in the landscaped areas during the appropriate phase of the works.
- 5.10.2 The Materials Management Plan should also refer to this strategy in respect to:

 - Materials arising from the removal of below ground obstructions.

 - Contamination related Health and Safety issues, including dust control.
- 5.10.3 GeoCon does not provide advice on Materials Management Plans, specialist advice from those qualified to sign off on such should be sought.

6.0 VALIDATION

- 6.1.1 Validation chemical testing should be provided by the supplier of any capping material to be imported to confirm its suitability for use on site. All soil imported should be certified prior to import to be suitable for use as BS2883:2015 compliant for topsoil.
- 6.1.2 Irrespective of the above, all materials to be used within soft landscaping areas, irrespective of its source, should be independently tested for its chemical composition in terms of soil contamination as identified in Section 6.1.3 below.
- 6.1.3 GeoCon has adopted the approach as identified in the YALPAG Verification Requirements for Cover Systems: Technical Guidance for Developers, Landowners and Consultants: Version 4.1 June 2021 in its verification process. The minimum analysis to be undertaken on materials for use within the cover system is detailed in Table 6.1 below.

Soil Source	Testing Frequency	Minimum	Analysis suite
Site sourced Topsoil (Greenfield portion)	1 sample per 250m ³	6	Heavy metals/metalloids, and inorganics, Speciated PAH, TPH CWG, asbestos screen.
Imported soils: brownfield source	1 sample per 50m ³	6	Heavy metals/metalloids, and inorganics, Speciated PAH, TPH CWG, asbestos screen.
Imported soils: greenfield / manufactured soil source	1 sample per 250m ³	3	Heavy metals/metalloids, and inorganics, Speciated PAH, TPH CWG, asbestos screen.

Table 6.1: Proposed Testing frequency and analysis

- 6.1.4 Where any material is deemed to be unsuitable for use in the 600mm capping layer, either by GeoCon or the Local Authority/Environment Agency, the Contractor shall make provision for the costs of sampling, testing and removal of, said unacceptable materials from excavations and shall make provisions for delays associated with material testing and classification prior to disposal.
- 6.1.5 Depth validation of the capping system will be required within landscaped areas. The validation will comprise a hand dug pits, with photographic record and insitu testing of all soil will be undertaken as part of the verification. Samples should be taken from each validation pit for subsequent testing. The Validation Report will include the following:
 - Remediation Strategy (including copies of confirmation from regulatory authorities agreeing criteria).
 - Photographic evidence of the removal of material from site.
 - → Detailed drawings of the excavated areas showing the extent of the material removal operation.
 - Details of the provenance of the subsoil and topsoil (to be provided by contractor).
 - Copy of Consignment Notes relating to the movement of wastes to a licensed waste management facility.

 - Detailed drawings showing all sampling locations for chemical testing.
 - → Details of the design of the gas/vapour protection measures adopted for the development.

- Details of any gas membrane installation, including confirmation of compliance with the installation specification by the installer and independent validator in accordance with CIRIA C735.
- Photographic evidence obtained during the installation process of the gas protection membrane.
- ➢ Details of any variation from the adopted strategy due to unforeseen circumstances.
- > Details of any previously unidentified contamination encountered.
- Details of any potentially contaminative activity occurrences that took place.
- Production of a Validation Report.

7.0 REFERENCES

AGS: A clients guides and tool kit

- Bowles, J. E. (1996): Foundation analysis and design, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- British Geological Survey (BGS): 1:50'000 geological maps of the area
- A British Geological Survey (BGS): Open geoscience online mapping tool
- *A* BS 5930: code of Practise for Site Investigation Amendment 2
- BS 10175: Code of Practise for the Investigation of Potentially Contaminated Sites
- BRE 410 (2004): Working platforms for tracked plant: Good practice guide to the design, installation, maintenance and repair of ground-supported working platforms, BRE Press, Garston.
- → BRE 211 Radon: Guidance on protective measures for new buildings
- CIRIA 552: Contaminated Land Risk Assessment; A Guide to Good Practise 2001
- CIRIA 665: Assessing the Risks Posed by Hazardous Ground Gases for Buildings 2007
- Coal Authority: Coal authority mining report and Cheshire brine subsidence report
- Contaminated Land: Applications in Real Environments (CL:AIRE): 'The Soil Generic Assessment Criteria for Human Health Risk Assessment' GAC's.
- David Norbury: Soil and Rock Descriptions in Engineering Practise
- Department of the Environment: DOE industry profiles
- EN ISO 14688-1: Geotechnical investigation and testing -- Identification and classification of soil -- Part 1: Identification and description
- EN ISO 14688-2: Geotechnical investigation and testing -- Identification and classification of soil -- Part 2: Principles for a classification
- EN ISO 14689: Geotechnical investigation and testing -- Identification and classification of rock -- Part 1: Identification and description
- > Environment Agency (EA): EA Online & What's in my back yard
- > Environment Agency (EA): Soil Guideline Values (SGVs)
- International Society of Rock Mechanics (ISRM): Commission on Testing Methods (1985) Suggested Method for Determining Point Load Strength, Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci. and Geomech. Abstr. 22, 1985, pp.51-60.
- Land Quality Management (LQM): Generic Screening Criteria (GACs)
- A Landmark: Envirocheck report and Envirocheck analysis online historical mapping tool
- Ordnance survey: OS Landranger map for the area; OS open data online mapping tool
- Planning Policy 23: Planning and Pollution Control, Office of The Deputy Prime Minister 2004
- R&D Publication CLR 8: Assessment of risks to human health from land contamination
- R&D Publication CLR 10: The Contaminated Land Exposure Model (CLEA)
- A R&D Publication CLR 11: Model Procedure for the Management of Contaminated Land DEFRA 2004
- Stroud M.A: The standard penetration test in insensitive clays, Proceedings of the European Symposium on Penetration Testing, Stockholm, 1975, Vol 2, pp 367 – 75.
- → UK Specification for Ground Investigation Second Edition 2012



APPENDIX A

DRAWINGS











APPENDIX B

CHEMICAL TESTING RESULTS



FINAL ANALYTICAL TEST REPORT SUPPLEMENT TO TEST REPORT 24/06491/1

Amendments: Request for Additional Analysis

Envirolab Job Number:	24/06491	
Issue Number:	2	Date: 16 July, 2024

Client:

Geocon Site Investigations Ltd Arden House Shepley Lane Industrial Estate Hawk Green, Marple Stockport Greater Manchester UK SK6 7JW

Project Manager:Use - Mailing list/Use - Mailing list 2Project Name:Moor Row, CumbriaProject Ref:GSI 2132Order No:PO 24/0418Date Samples Received:28/06/24Date Instructions Received:02/07/24Date Analysis Completed:16/07/24

Approved by:

Gemma Berrisford Deputy Client Services Supervisor



Page 1 of 12



Client Project Name: Moor Row, Cumbria

	1	1	1	1		1	1	I	1	1
Lab Sample ID	24/06491/4	24/06491/11	24/06491/19	24/06491/28	24/06491/30	24/06491/34	24/06491/38			
Client Sample No										
Client Sample ID	DS02	DS04	DS07	DS10	DS11	DS12	DS13			
Depth to Top	0.10	0.40	0.20	0.30	0.50	0.70	0.90			
Depth To Bottom									<u>io</u>	
Date Sampled	24-Jun-24	24-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24		etect	f.
Sample Type	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES	SOLID	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES		tofD	od re
Sample Matrix Code	4AE	6AE	6AE	7	4A	4AE	4AE	Units	Limit	Meth
% Stones >10mm _A	0.8	6.3	5.4	<0.1	<0.1	42.1	<0.1	% w/w	0.1	A-T-044
pH₀ ^{M#}	5.93	7.10	6.18	8.50 ⁰	8.46	8.40	7.89	pН	0.01	A-T-031s
Cyanide (total) _A ^{M#}	<1	<1	<1	<1 ^U	<1	<1	<1	mg/kg	1	A-T-042sTCN
Phenols - Total by HPLC _A	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	mg/kg	0.2	A-T-050s
Total Organic Carbon _D ^{M#}	4.91	0.44	6.17	7.71 ⁰	13.1	1.67	29.3	% w/w	0.03	A-T-032s
Arsenic ^{D^{M#}}	17	6	24	4 ^U	82	12	56	mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Cadmium _D ^{M#}	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5 ^U	0.9	<0.5	0.6	mg/kg	0.5	A-T-024s
Copper₀ ^{M#}	24	14	35	18 ⁰	187	31	105	mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Chromium _D ^{M#}	25	22	14	10 ⁰	21	9	8	mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Chromium (hexavalent)₀	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	mg/kg	1	A-T-040s
Lead _D ^{M#}	44	11	63	14 ⁰	341	18	92	mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Mercury _D	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	mg/kg	0.17	A-T-024s
Nickel _D ^{M#}	17	11	13	8 ⁰	32	17	61	mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Selenium ^D ^{M#}	<1	<1	<1	<1 ^U	3	<1	2	mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Zinc ^{D^{M#}}	37	15	24	199 ⁰	307	34	147	mg/kg	5	A-T-024s



Client Project Name: Moor Row, Cumbria

Lab Sample ID	24/06491/4	24/06491/11	24/06491/19	24/06491/28	24/06491/30	24/06491/34	24/06491/38				
Client Sample No											
Client Sample ID	DS02	DS04	DS07	DS10	DS11	D\$12	DS13				
Depth to Top	0.10	0.40	0.20	0.30	0.50	0.70	0.90				
Depth To Bottom									ion		
Date Sampled	24-Jun-24	24-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24		etect	ž	
Sample Type	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES	SOLID	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES		Limit of D	t of D	er bo
Sample Matrix Code	4AE	6AE	6AE	7	4A	4AE	4AE	Units		Meth	
Asbestos in Soil (inc. matrix) ^											
Asbestos in soil _D #	NAD	NAD	NAD	NAD	Chrysotile	NAD	Chrysotile			A-T-045	
Asbestos Matrix (visual) _D	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			A-T-045	
Asbestos Matrix (microscope)⊳	-	-	-	-	Loose Fibres	-	Loose Insolation			A-T-045	
Asbestos ACM - Suitable for Water Absorption Test? _D	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			A-T-045	
Asbestos in Soil Quantification % (Hand Picking & Weighing)											
Asbestos in soil % composition (hand picking and weighing) _D	-	-	-	-	0.001	-	0.002	% w/w	0.001	A-T-054	



Client Project Name: Moor Row, Cumbria

Lab Sample ID	24/06491/4	24/06491/11	24/06491/19	24/06491/28	24/06491/30	24/06491/34	24/06491/38			
Client Sample No										
Client Sample ID	DS02	DS04	D\$07	DS10	DS11	DS12	DS13			
Depth to Top	0.10	0.40	0.20	0.30	0.50	0.70	0.90			
Depth To Bottom									io	
Date Sampled	24-Jun-24	24-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24		etect	J.
Sample Type	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES	SOLID	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES		t of D	od re
Sample Matrix Code	4AE	6AE	6AE	7	4A	4AE	4AE	Units	Limit	Meth
PAH-16MS										
Acenaphthene _A ^{M#}	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01 ^U	0.32	<0.01	0.05	mg/kg	0.01	A-T-019s
Acenaphthylene _A ^{M#}	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01 ^U	0.28	<0.01	0.08	mg/kg	0.01	A-T-019s
Anthracene _A ^{M#}	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02 ^U	0.41	<0.02	0.41	mg/kg	0.02	A-T-019s
Benzo(a)anthracene₄ ^{M#}	0.16	<0.04	0.09	<0.04 ^U	7.40	<0.04	1.60	mg/kg	0.04	A-T-019s
Benzo(a)pyrene _A ^{M#}	0.16	<0.04	0.09	<0.04 ^U	15.5	<0.04	1.45	mg/kg	0.04	A-T-019s
Benzo(b)fluoranthene _A ^{M#}	0.21	<0.05	0.16	<0.05 ^U	16	<0.05	1.88	mg/kg	0.05	A-T-019s
Benzo(ghi)perylene _A ^{M#}	0.11	<0.05	0.08	<0.05 ^U	14	<0.05	0.92	mg/kg	0.05	A-T-019s
Benzo(k)fluoranthene _A ^{M#}	0.09	<0.07	<0.07	<0.07 ^U	5.97	<0.07	0.72	mg/kg	0.07	A-T-019s
Chrysene _A ^{M#}	0.20	<0.06	0.14	<0.06 ^U	7.50	<0.06	1.72	mg/kg	0.06	A-T-019s
Dibenzo(ah)anthracene _A ^{M#}	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04 ^U	2.89	<0.04	0.20	mg/kg	0.04	A-T-019s
Fluoranthene _A ^{M#}	0.36	<0.08	0.20	<0.08 ^U	5.82	<0.08	3.66	mg/kg	0.08	A-T-019s
Fluorene ^{"M#}	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01 ^U	0.09	<0.01	0.06	mg/kg	0.01	A-T-019s
Indeno(123-cd)pyrene ^{AM#}	0.12	<0.03	0.09	<0.03 ^U	13.6	<0.03	1.04	mg/kg	0.03	A-T-019s
Naphthalene A ^{M#}	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03 ^U	0.51	<0.03	0.22	mg/kg	0.03	A-T-019s
Phenanthrene _A ^{M#}	0.15	<0.03	0.10	0.04 ^U	1.55	0.08	1.43	mg/kg	0.03	A-T-019s
Pyrene₄ ^{M#}	0.27	<0.07	0.15	<0.07 ^U	5.76	<0.07	2.95	mg/kg	0.07	A-T-019s
Total PAH-16MS₄ ^{M#}	1.83	<0.08	1.10	<0.08 ^U	97.6	0.08	18.4	mg/kg	0.01	A-T-019s



Client Project Name: Moor Row, Cumbria

Lab Sample ID	24/06491/4	24/06491/11	24/06491/19	24/06491/28	24/06491/30	24/06491/34	24/06491/38			
Client Sample No										
Client Sample ID	D\$02	DS04	DS07	DS10	DS11	D\$12	DS13			
Depth to Top	0.10	0.40	0.20	0.30	0.50	0.70	0.90			
Depth To Bottom									ы	
Date Sampled	24-Jun-24	24-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	25-Jun-24	1	etecti	÷
Sample Type	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES	SOLID	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES		oť	od re
Sample Matrix Code	4AE	6AE	6AE	7	4A	4AE	4AE	Units	Limit	Meth
TPH CWG with Clean Up										
Ali >C5-C6 _A	-	-	<0.01	<0.05	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	mg/kg	0.01	A-T-022s
Ali >C6-C8 _A	-	-	0.01	<0.05	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	mg/kg	0.01	A-T-022s
Ali >C8-C10 _A	-	-	<1	<1	<5	2	6	mg/kg	1	A-T-055s
Ali >C10-C12 _A ^{M#}	-	-	<1	<1 ⁰	<5	1	4	mg/kg	1	A-T-055s
Ali >C12-C16 _A ^{M#}	-	-	<1	<1 ⁰	<5	3	8	mg/kg	1	A-T-055s
Ali >C16-C21 _A ^{M#}	-	-	1	2 ^U	9	3	11	mg/kg	1	A-T-055s
Ali >C21-C35 _A ^{M#}	-	-	13	2 ⁰	66	8	75	mg/kg	1	A-T-055s
Total Aliphatics _A	-	-	14	4	76	18	104	mg/kg	1	Calc-As Recd
Aro >C5-C7 _A #	-	-	<0.01	<0.05 ^U	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	mg/kg	0.01	A-T-022s
Aro >C7-C8 _A #	-	-	<0.01	<0.05 ^U	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	mg/kg	0.01	A-T-022s
Aro >C8-C10 _A	-	-	<1	<1	<5	1	5	mg/kg	1	A-T-055s
Aro >C10-C12 _A	-	-	<1	<1	6	1	5	mg/kg	1	A-T-055s
Aro >C12-C16 _A	-	-	1	<1	18	6	20	mg/kg	1	A-T-055s
Aro >C16-C21 _A ^{M#}	-	-	4	2 ⁰	35	9	30	mg/kg	1	A-T-055s
Aro >C21-C35 _A ^{M#}	-	-	10	1 ⁰	378	16	69	mg/kg	1	A-T-055s
Total Aromatics _A	-	-	15	3	437	33	129	mg/kg	1	Calc-As Recd
TPH (Ali & Aro >C5-C35)₄	-	-	29	7	512	50	234	mg/kg	1	Calc-As Recd
BTEX - Benzene₄ [#]	-	-	<0.01	<0.05 ^U	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	mg/kg	0.01	A-T-022s
BTEX - Toluene _A #	-	-	<0.01	<0.05 ^U	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	mg/kg	0.01	A-T-022s
BTEX - Ethyl Benzene _A #	-	-	<0.01	<0.05 ^U	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	mg/kg	0.01	A-T-022s
BTEX - m & p Xylene _A #	-	-	<0.01	<0.05 ^U	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	mg/kg	0.01	A-T-022s
BTEX - o Xylene _A #	-	-	<0.01	<0.05 ^U	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	mg/kg	0.01	A-T-022s
MTBE _A #	-	-	<0.01	<0.05 ^U	<0.01	<0.01	<0.05	mg/kg	0.01	A-T-022s



Client Project Name: Moor Row, Cumbria

Lab Sample ID	24/06491/43	24/06491/44	24/06491/48	24/06491/51	24/06491/53				
Client Sample No									
Client Sample ID	DS14	DS15	DS16	DS17a	DS18				
Depth to Top	0.90	0.30	0.60	0.50	0.10				
Depth To Bottom								io	
Date Sampled	25-Jun-24	26-Jun-24	26-Jun-24	26-Jun-24	26-Jun-24			etect	يد ا
Sample Type	SOIL - ES			t of D	od re				
Sample Matrix Code	4A	4AE	4A	4A	6AE		Units	Limit	Meth
% Stones >10mm _A	21.1	<0.1	3.1	6.0	<0.1		% w/w	0.1	A-T-044
pH₀ ^{M#}	8.55	8.35	7.69	7.98	7.09		рН	0.01	A-T-031s
Cyanide (total) _A ^{M#}	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1		mg/kg	1	A-T-042sTCN
Phenols - Total by HPLC _A	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2		mg/kg	0.2	A-T-050s
Total Organic Carbon _D ^{M#}	19.4	15.9	24.1	22.5	7.13		% w/w	0.03	A-T-032s
Arsenic _D ^{M#}	13	37	59	46	17		mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Cadmium _D ^{M#}	0.8	1.1	8.0	<0.5	0.6		mg/kg	0.5	A-T-024s
Copper _D ^{M#}	81	138	333	67	43		mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Chromium _D ^{M#}	12	20	44	14	20		mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Chromium (hexavalent)₀	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1		mg/kg	1	A-T-040s
Lead _D ^{M#}	90	92	229	81	78		mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Mercury _D	<0.17	0.70	<0.17	<0.17	0.21		mg/kg	0.17	A-T-024s
Nickel _D ^{M#}	61	44	114	48	20		mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Selenium ^{DM#}	2	2	4	2	2		mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Zinc _D ^{M#}	159	199	626	82	73		mg/kg	5	A-T-024s



Client Project Name: Moor Row, Cumbria

Lab Sample ID	24/06491/43	24/06491/44	24/06491/48	24/06491/51	24/06491/53				
Client Sample No									
Client Sample ID	DS14	DS15	DS16	DS17a	DS18				
Depth to Top	0.90	0.30	0.60	0.50	0.10				
Depth To Bottom								ion	
Date Sampled	25-Jun-24	26-Jun-24	26-Jun-24	26-Jun-24	26-Jun-24			etect	7
Sample Type	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES	SOIL - ES			t of D	od re
Sample Matrix Code	4A	4AE	4A	4A	6AE		Units	Limi	Meth
Asbestos in Soil (inc. matrix) ^									
Asbestos in soil _D [#]	NAD	Chrysotile	NAD	NAD	NAD				A-T-045
Asbestos Matrix (visual)₀	-	-	-	-	-				A-T-045
Asbestos Matrix (microscope) _D	-	Loose Fibres	-	-	-				A-T-045
Asbestos ACM - Suitable for Water Absorption Test? _D	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				A-T-045
Asbestos in Soil Quantification % (Hand Picking & Weighing)									
Asbestos in soil % composition (hand picking and weighing) _D	-	<0.001	-	-	-		% w/w	0.001	A-T-054



Client Project Name: Moor Row, Cumbria

Lab Sample ID	24/06491/43	24/06491/44	24/06491/48	24/06491/51	24/06491/53				
Client Sample No									
Client Sample ID	DS14	DS15	DS16	DS17a	DS18				
Depth to Top	0.90	0.30	0.60	0.50	0.10				
Depth To Bottom								io	
Date Sampled	25-Jun-24	26-Jun-24	26-Jun-24	26-Jun-24	26-Jun-24			etect	f
Sample Type	SOIL - ES			t of D	od re				
Sample Matrix Code	4A	4AE	4A	4A	6AE		Units	Limi	Meth
PAH-16MS									
Acenaphthene _A ^{M#}	0.02	0.04	<0.01	0.02	0.04		mg/kg	0.01	A-T-019s
Acenaphthylene _A ^{M#}	<0.01	0.02	<0.01	<0.01	0.04		mg/kg	0.01	A-T-019s
Anthracene _A ^{M#}	0.07	0.18	0.03	0.04	0.15		mg/kg	0.02	A-T-019s
Benzo(a)anthracene ^{AM#}	0.31	0.70	0.11	0.13	0.93		mg/kg	0.04	A-T-019s
Benzo(a)pyrene₄ ^{M#}	0.31	0.58	0.11	0.12	0.87		mg/kg	0.04	A-T-019s
Benzo(b)fluoranthene _A ^{M#}	0.40	0.71	0.18	0.15	1.24		mg/kg	0.05	A-T-019s
Benzo(ghi)perylene _A ^{M#}	0.19	0.35	0.07	0.10	0.55		mg/kg	0.05	A-T-019s
Benzo(k)fluoranthene _A ^{M#}	0.15	0.27	<0.07	<0.07	0.44		mg/kg	0.07	A-T-019s
Chrysene _A ^{M#}	0.36	0.74	0.15	0.17	1.14		mg/kg	0.06	A-T-019s
Dibenzo(ah)anthracene _A ^{M#}	<0.05	<0.08	<0.04	<0.04	0.15		mg/kg	0.04	A-T-019s
Fluoranthene _A ^{M#}	0.59	1.26	0.19	0.23	2.05		mg/kg	0.08	A-T-019s
Fluorene ^{AM#}	0.02	0.04	<0.01	0.01	0.04		mg/kg	0.01	A-T-019s
Indeno(123-cd)pyrene ^{AM#}	0.21	0.39	0.08	0.10	0.65		mg/kg	0.03	A-T-019s
Naphthalene A ^{M#}	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	<0.03		mg/kg	0.03	A-T-019s
Phenanthrene ₄ ^{M#}	0.33	0.74	0.14	0.22	0.84		mg/kg	0.03	A-T-019s
Pyrene ^{AM#}	0.48	1.03	0.15	0.21	1.56		mg/kg	0.07	A-T-019s
Total PAH-16MS _A ^{M#}	3.49	7.10	1.25	1.54	10.7		mg/kg	0.01	A-T-019s



Client Project Name: Moor Row, Cumbria

Lab Sample ID 2406491/41 2406491/41 2406491/41 2406491/51 2406491/53 Image: Control of the state of t			
Client Sample No DB14 DB15 DB16 DB17a DB18 Depth to Top 0.90 0.30 0.60 0.50 0.10 Depth to Top 0.90 0.30 0.60 0.50 0.10 Depth to Top 0.90 0.30 0.60 0.50 0.10 Depth To Bottom Image: Comparison of the text of text	imple ID		
Client Sample ID DS14 DS15 DS16 DS17a DS18 Image: Client Sample Depth to Top 0.90 0.30 0.60 0.50 0.10 Image: Client Sample Image: Cl	Sample No	1	
Depth to Top 0.90 0.30 0.60 0.50 0.10 Image: constraint of the state of the	Sample ID	1	
Depth To Bottom Image: Control of the con	to Top		
Date Sampled 25-Jun-24 26-Jun-24	To Bottom	- 5	
Sample Type SOIL - ES	ampled	tecti	
Sample Matrix Code 4A 4A 4A 4A 6AE 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 13 11 13 11 35 37 12 18 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	е Туре	- 5	od re
TPH CWG with Clean Up	e Matrix Code	Units I.imit	Metho
Ali >C5-C6A <0.05 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 mg/kg Ali >C6-C8A <0.05	WG with Clean Up		
Ali >C6-C8A -0.05 <0.01 <0.01 \cdot 0.01 \cdot 0.01 \cdot 0.01 mg/kg Ali >C8-C10A <1	-C6 _A	mg/kg 0.0	A-T-022s
Ali >C8-C10_A <1 2 1 2 <1 mg/kg Ali >C10-C12A ^{M#} <1	-C8 _A	mg/kg 0.0	A-T-022s
Ali >C10-C12A ^{MM} <1 2 <1 1 <1 mg/kg Ali >C12-C16A ^{MM} 2 4 1 3 <1	·C10 _A	mg/kg 1	A-T-055s
Ali >C12-C16 _A ^{M#} 2 4 1 3 <1 mg/kg Ali >C16-C21 _A ^{M#} 3 5 3 3 3 mg/kg Ali >C16-C21 _A ^{M#} 11 35 37 12 18 mg/kg Ali >C2-C35 _A ^{M#} 11 35 37 12 18 mg/kg Aro >C5-C7 _A [#] <0.05	D-C12 _A ^{M#}	mg/kg 1	A-T-055s
Ali >C16-C21A ^{M#} 3 5 3 3 3 mg/kg Ali >C21-C35A ^{M#} 11 35 37 12 18 mg/kg Total AliphaticsA 16 49 42 22 21 mg/kg Aro >C5-C7A [#] <0.05	2-C16 _A ^{M#}	mg/kg 1	A-T-055s
Ali > C21-C35A ^{M#} 11 35 37 12 18 mg/kg Total AliphaticsA 16 49 42 22 21 mg/kg Aro > C5-C7A [#] <0.05	6-C21 ^{A^{M#}}	mg/kg 1	A-T-055s
Total Aliphatics _A 16 49 42 22 21 mg/kg Aro >C5-C7 _A [#] <0.05	1-C35 ^{AM#}	mg/kg 1	A-T-055s
Aro >C5-C7 _A " <0.05 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 mg/kg Aro >C7-C8 _A " <0.05 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 mg/kg Aro >C6-C10 _A 2 2 3 2 1 mg/kg Aro >C8-C10 _A 2 2 3 2 1 mg/kg Aro >C10-C12 _A <1 2 1 2 <1 mg/kg Aro >C10-C12 _A <1 2 1 2 <1 mg/kg Aro >C10-C12 _A 4 10 5 8 4 mg/kg Aro >C12-C16 _A 4 10 5 8 15 mg/kg Aro >C16-C21 _A ^{M#} 4 16 5 8 15 mg/kg Aro >C21-C35 _A ^{M#} 8 39 8 15 51 mg/kg Aro >C21-C35 _A ^{M#} 8 39 8 15 51 mg/kg BTEX - Benzene _A [#] <0.05 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 mg/kg	iphatics _A	mg/kg 1	Calc-As Recd
Aro >C7-C8 _A # <0.05 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 mg/kg Aro >C8-C10 _A 2 2 3 2 1 mg/kg Aro >C10-C12 _A <1	5-C7 _A #	mg/kg 0.0	A-T-022s
Aro >C8-C10 _A 2 2 3 2 1 mg/kg Aro >C10-C12 _A <1	7-C8 ₄ #	mg/kg 0.0	A-T-022s
Aro >C10-C12_A <1 2 1 2 <1 mg/kg Aro >C12-C16_A 4 10 5 8 4 mg/kg Aro >C16-C21_A ^{M#} 4 16 5 8 15 mg/kg Aro >C21-C35_A ^{M#} 8 39 8 15 51 mg/kg Aro >C21-C35_A ^{M#} 8 39 8 15 51 mg/kg Total Aromatics_A 18 70 23 35 72 mg/kg TPH (Ali & Aro >C5-C35)_A 33 119 66 57 93 mg/kg BTEX - Benzene_A [#] <0.05	3-C10 _A	mg/kg 1	A-T-055s
Aro >C12-C16 _A 4 10 5 8 4 mg/kg Aro >C16-C21 _A ^{M#} 4 16 5 8 15 mg/kg Aro >C21-C35 _A ^{M#} 8 39 8 15 51 mg/kg Total Aromatics _A 18 70 23 35 72 mg/kg TPH (Ali & Aro >C5-C35) _A 33 119 66 57 93 mg/kg BTEX - Benzene _A [#] <0.05	10-C12 _A	mg/kg 1	A-T-055s
Aro >C16-C21A ^{M#} 4 16 5 8 15 mg/kg Aro >C21-C35A ^{M#} 8 39 8 15 51 mg/kg Total AromaticsA 18 70 23 35 72 mg/kg TPH (Ali & Aro >C5-C35)A 33 119 66 57 93 mg/kg BTEX - BenzeneA [#] <0.05	2-C16 _A	mg/kg 1	A-T-055s
Aro >C21-C35 ^{M#} 8 39 8 15 51 mg/kg Total Aromatics _A 18 70 23 35 72 mg/kg TPH (Ali & Aro >C5-C35) _A 33 119 66 57 93 mg/kg BTEX - Benzene _A # <0.05	6-C21 _A ^{M#}	mg/kg 1	A-T-055s
Total AromaticsA 18 70 23 35 72 mg/kg TPH (Ali & Aro > C5-C35)A 33 119 66 57 93 mg/kg BTEX - BenzeneA [#] <0.05	21-C35 _A ^{M#}	mg/kg 1	A-T-055s
TPH (Ali & Aro >C5-C35) _A 33 119 66 57 93 mg/kg BTEX - Benzene [#] <0.05	romatics _A	mg/kg 1	Calc-As Recd
BTEX - Benzene ^A <0.05 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 mg/kg BTEX - Toluene ^A <0.05	i & Aro >C5-C35)₄	mg/kg 1	Calc-As Recd
BTEX - Toluene [#] <0.05 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 mg/kg	Benzene _A #	mg/kg 0.0	A-T-022s
	Toluene _A #	mg/kg 0.0	A-T-022s
BTEX - Ethyl Benzene ⁴ <0.05 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 mg/kg	Ethyl Benzene _A #	mg/kg 0.0	A-T-022s
BTEX - m & p Xylene [#] <0.05 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 mg/kg	m & p Xylene _A #	mg/kg 0.0	A-T-022s
BTEX - o Xylene [#] <0.05 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 mg/kg	o Xylene₄ [#]	mg/kg 0.0	A-T-022s
MTBE _A # <0.05 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 mg/kg		mg/kg 0.0	A-T-022s



Report Notes

General

•This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval from Envirolab.

•The client Sample No, Client Sample ID, Depth to top, Depth to Bottom and Date Sampled are all provided by the client and can affect the validity of results. • The results reported herein relate only to the material supplied to the laboratory. • The results reported herein relate only to the material supplied to the laboratory.

scheduling. For samples tested for Asbestos we will retain a portion of the dried sample for a minimum of six months after the initial Asbestos testing is completed.

•Analytical results reflect the quality of the sample at the time of analysis only. •Opinions and Interpretations expressed are outside our scope of accreditation.

A deviating sample report is appended and will indicate if samples or tests have been found to be deviating. Any test results affected may not be an accurate record of the concentration at the time of sampling and, as a result, may be invalid.

•If a sample is outside of the calibration range or affected by interferences then it may need diluting. This will result in the limit of detection (LOD) being raised.

•Subcontracted Analysis: Please see the appended report for any deviations, current LODs and accreditation status of the test.

Kow

ney	
Superscript "#"	Accredited to ISO 17025
Superscript "M"	Accredited to MCertS
Superscript "U"	Individual result not accredited
None of the above symbols	Analysis unaccredited
Subscript "A"	Analysis performed on as-received Sample
Subscript "D"	Analysis performed on the dried sample, crushed to pass 2mm sieve.
Subscript "D" on Asbestos	Analysis performed on a dried aliquot of sample provided.
Subscript "^"	Analysis has dependant options against results. Details appear in the comments of your Sample receipt
IS	Insufficient Sample for analysis
US	Unsuitable Sample for analysis
NDP	No Determination Possible
NAD	No Asbestos Detected
Trace	Asbestos found not suitable for Gravimetric Quantification – not enough to accurately weigh.
N/A	Not applicable

Asbestos

Identification: Asbestos in soil analysis is performed on a dried aliquot of the submitted sample and cannot guarantee to identify asbestos if only present in small numbers as discrete fibres/fragments in the original sample.

Stones etc. are not removed from the sample prior to analysis

"Trace Asbestos Identified" will be reported if there is not enough present to verify the type.

Quantification: Generally a 2 stage process including visual identification, hand picking and weighing, and fibre counting. Where ACMs are found a percentage asbestos is assigned to each with reference to 'HSG264, Asbestos: The survey guide' and the calculated asbestos content is expressed as a percentage of the dried soil sample aliquot used. If asbestos is identified as being present but is not in a form that is suitable for analysis by hand picking and weighing (normally if the asbestos is present as free fibres). "TRACE" will be reported as a quantification result. PLEASE INFORM THE LABORATORY IF YOU WOULD LIKE THE STAGE 3 SEDIMENTATION PROCESS CARRIED OUT. Note this will be subcontracted.

Accir	hon	Matrix	Cod	عما
ASSIC	meu	waux		ies

, .ooig.		•					
1	SAND	6	CLAY/LOAM	А	Contains Stones		
2	LOAM	7	OTHER	В	Contains Construction Rubble		
3	CLAY	8	Asbestos Bulk (Only Asbestos ID accredited)	С	Contains visible hydrocarbons		
4	LOAM/SAND	9	Incinerator Ash (some Metals accredited)	D	Contains glass / metal		
5	SAND/CLAY			Е	Contains roots / twigs		
Note:	Note: 7.8.9 matrices are not covered by our ISO 17025 or MCertS accreditation, unless stated above						

Soil Chemical Analysis:

All results are reported as dry weight (<40°C).

For samples with Matrix Codes 1 - 6 natural stones, brick and concrete fragments >10mm and any extraneous material (visible glass, metal or twigs) are removed and excluded from the sample prior to analysis and reported results corrected to a whole sample basis. This is reported as '% stones >10mm'. For samples with Matrix Code 7 the whole sample is dried and crushed prior to analysis and this supersedes any "A" subscripts All analysis is performed on the sample as received for soil samples which are positive for asbestos or the client has informed asbestos may be present and/or if they are from outside the European Union and this supersedes any "D" subscripts.

TPH by method A-T-007:

For waters, free and visible oils are excluded from the sample used for analysis, so the reported result represents the dissolved phase only. Results "with Clean up" indicates samples cleaned up with Silica during extraction.

EPH CWG (method A-T-055) from TPH CWG:

EPH CWG results have humics mathematically subtracted through instrument calculation. Where these humic substances have been identified in any IDs from "TPH CWG with clean up" please note that the concentration is <u>NOT</u> included in the quantified results but present in the ID for information.

Electrical Conductivity of water by method A-T-037:

Results greater than 12900µS/cm @ 25⁰C / 11550µS/cm @ 20⁰C fall outside the calibration range and as such are unaccredited.

Please contact your client manager if you require any further information.



Cool Box Temperatures (°C): 15.2-16.0

Envirolab Deviating Samples Report

Hattersley Science & Technology Park, Stockport Road, Hattersley, SK14 3QU Tel. 0161 368 4921 email. ask@envlab.co.uk

Client:	Geocon Site Investigations Ltd, Arden House, Shepley Lane Industrial Estate,	Project No:	24/06491
	Hawk Green, Marple, Stockport, Greater Manchester, UK, SK6 7JW	Date Received:	02/07/2024 (am)

Project: Moor Row, Cumbria **Clients Project No:** GSI 2132

Lab Sample ID	24/06491/28
Client Sample No	
Client Sample ID/Depth	DS10 0.30m
Date Sampled	25/06/24
Deviation Code	
F	\checkmark

Key F

Maximum holding time exceeded between sampling date and analysis for analytes listed below

HOLDING TIME EXCEEDANCES

Lab Sample ID	24/06491/28
Client Sample No	
Client Sample ID/Depth	DS10 0.30m
Date Sampled	25/06/24
Cyanide (total)	✓
PAH-16MS	✓
VPHCWG	 ✓

Note: If, at any point before reaching the laboratory, the temperature of the samples has breached those set in published standards, e.g. BS-EN 5667-3 (for water samples 5 ± 3°C), ISO 18400-105:2017, then the concentration of any affected analytes may differ from that at the time of sampling.



Envirolab Analysis Dates

Lab Sample ID	24/06491/4	24/06491/11	24/06491/19	24/06491/28	24/06491/30	24/06491/34	24/06491/38	24/06491/43	24/06491/44	24/06491/48	24/06491/51	24/06491/53
Client Sample No												
Client Sample ID/Depth	DS02 0.10m	DS04 0.40m	DS07 0.20m	DS10 0.30m	DS11 0.50m	DS12 0.70m	DS13 0.90m	DS14 0.90m	DS15 0.30m	DS16 0.60m	DS17a 0.50m	DS18 0.10m
Date Sampled	24/06/24	24/06/24	25/06/24	25/06/24	25/06/24	25/06/24	25/06/24	25/06/24	26/06/24	26/06/24	26/06/24	26/06/24
A-T-019s	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	14/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024
A-T-022s			05/07/2024	12/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	09/07/2024	09/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024
A-T-024s	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	16/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024
A-T-031s	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	16/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024
A-T-032s	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	16/07/2024	05/07/2024	08/07/2024	05/07/2024	08/07/2024	05/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024
A-T-040s	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	16/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024
A-T-042sTCN	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	12/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024
A-T-044	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	15/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024
A-T-045	04/07/2024	04/07/2024	04/07/2024	11/07/2024	04/07/2024	04/07/2024	04/07/2024	04/07/2024	04/07/2024	04/07/2024	04/07/2024	04/07/2024
A-T-050s	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	12/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024	08/07/2024
A-T-054					05/07/2024		05/07/2024		05/07/2024			
A-T-055s			05/07/2024	12/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024
Calc-As Recd			05/07/2024	12/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	09/07/2024	09/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024	05/07/2024

The above dates are the analysis completion dates, please note that these are not necessarily the date that the analysis was weighed/extracted.

End of Report



APPENDIX C

CHEMICAL SCREENING CRITERIA



GeoCon Site Investigations Ltd GENERIC SCREENING CRITERIA FOR GENERIC QUANTITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT

Compound / Determinands	Source / Residential WITH consumption of home-grow		of home-grown	Residential W	esidential WITHOUT consumption of home-			Commercial			Allotments			Public Open Space - Residential			Public Open Space - Parks		
	Reference	Reference vegetables		grown vegetables															
		1 % SOM	2.5% SOM	6 % SOM	1 % SOM	2.5% SOM	6 % SOM	1 % SOM	2.5% SOM	6 % SOM	1 % SOM	2.5% SOM	6 % SOM	1 % SOM	2.5% SOM	6 % SOM	1 % SOM	2.5% SOM	6 % SOM
No.		mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Antimony	C	141		222	437	-	437	4650		4650		-	58.3	749		749	3090	-	3090
Arsenic	Ă	37	37	37	40	40	40	640	640	640	43	43	43	79	79	79	170	170	170
Barium	С	56.8	-	110	1340	-	1340	22000	-	22000	-	-	18.1	2680	-	2680	5770	-	63
Beryllium	А	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	12	12	12	35	35	35	2.2	2.2	2.2	532	532	532
Boron	A	290	290	290	11000	11000	11000	240000	240000	240000	45	45	45	21000	21000	21000	46000	46000	46000
Cadmium (pH 6, 7, 8)	A	11	11	11	85	85	85	190	190	190	1.9	1.9	1.9	120	120	120	532	532	532
Chromium III Chromium VI	A	910	910	910	910	910	910	33	8600	8600	18000	18000	18000	1500	1500	1500	33000	33000	33000
Copper	A	2400	2400	2400	7100	7100	7100	68000	68000	68000	520	520	520	12000	12000	12000	44000	44000	44000
Lead	В	210	210	210	330	330	330	6000	6000	6000	84	84	84	760	760	760	1400	1400	1400
Mercury (elemental)	A	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	58	58	58	21	21	21	16	16	16	30	30	30
Mercury (Inorganic)	A	40	40	40	56	56	56	1100	1100	1100	19	19	19	120	120	120	240	240	240
Mercury (methyl)	A	11	11	11	15	15	15	320	320	320	6	6	6	40	40	40	68	68	68
Nickel	د ۱	95.2	- 180	97.4	180	180	180	17600	1/600	17600	230	230	1/	1360	230	230	2880	2880	2880
Selenium	A	250	250	250	430	430	430	12000	12000	12000	88	88	88	1100	1100	1100	1800	1800	1800
Vanadium	A	410	410	410	1200	1200	1200	9000	9000	9000	91	91	91	2000	2000	2000	5000	5000	5000
Zinc	А	3700	3700	3700	40000	40000	40000	730000	730000	730000	620	620	620	81000	81000	81000	170000	170000	170000
Non-Metals / Inorganics																			
Free-Cyanide (Total)	С	34	34	34	34	34	34	373	373	373	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34
Phenol and Chlorophenols				ī		ī					-		1			ī.	-	ī.	
Phenol	A	280	550	1100	750	1300	2300	760	1500	3200	66	140	280	760	1500	3200	760	1500	3200
Chiorophenois	A	0.87	2	4.5	94	150	210	3500	4000	4300	0.13	0.3	0.7	620	620	620	1100	1100	1100
Poly Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH)	A	0.22	0.52	1.2	27	23	51	400	400	400	0.03	0.08	0.19	00	00	00	110	120	120
Acenaphthene	A	210	510	1100	3000	4700	6000	84000	97000	100000	34	85	200	15000	15000	15000	29000	30000	30000
Acenaphthylene	A	170	420	920	2900	4600	6000	83000	97000	100000	28	69	160	15000	15000	15000	29000	30000	30000
Anthracene	A	2400	5400	11000	31000	35000	37000	520000	540000	540000	380	950	2200	74000	74000	74000	150000	150000	150000
Benzo(a)anthracene	А	7.2	11	13	11	14	15	170	170	180	2.9	6.5	13	29	29	29	49	56	62
Benzo(a)pyrene	A	2.2	2.7	3	3.2	3.2	3.2	35	35	36	0.97	2	3.5	5.7	5.7	5.7	11	12	13
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	A	2.6	3.3	3.7	3.9	4	4	44	44	45	0.99	2.1	3.9	7.1	7.2	7.2	13	15	16
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	A	320	93	100	110	110	110	1200	1200	1200	37	75	130	190	190	190	370	410	440
Chrysene	A	15	22	27	30	31	32	350	350	350	4.1	9.4	19	57	57	57	93	110	120
Dibenzo(ah)anthracene	А	0.24	0.28	0.3	0.31	0.32	0.32	3.5	3.6	3.6	0.14	0.27	0.43	0.57	0.57	0.58	1.1	1.3	1.4
Fluoranthene	A	280	560	890	1500	1600	1600	23000	23000	23000	52	130	290	3100	3100	3100	6300	6300	6400
Huorene	A	1/0	400	860	2800	3800	4500	63000	68000	/1000	2/	6/	160	9900	9900	9900	20000	20000	20000
Naphthalene	Δ	27	56	41	45	40	40	190	460	1100	9.5	10	24	4900	4900	4900	1200	1900	3000
Phenanthrene	A	95	220	440	1300	1500	1500	2200	22000	23000	15	38	90	3100	3100	3100	6200	6200	6300
Pyrene	Α	620	1200	2000	3700	3800	3800	54000	54000	54000	110	270	620	7400	7400	7400	15000	15000	15000
PAH (Total 16)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH CWG)		1 47.4				r			1	· · · · · · ·	1	1	1		1			r	
MIBE	ι	27.6	- 0.17	0.37	33.3	- 0.7	318	3140	-	22400	- 0.017	- 0.034	108	73600	- 72	75000	70800	- 100	11/000
Toluene	A	130	290	660	880	1900	3900	56000	110000	180000	22	51	120	56000	56000	56000	87000	95000	100000
Ethylbenzene	Α	47	110	260	83	190	440	5700	13000	27000	16	39	91	24000	24000	25000	17000	22000	27000
o-Xylene	A	60	140	330	88	210	480	6600	15000	33000	28	67	160	41000	42000	43000	17000	24000	33000
m-Xylene	A	59	140	320	82	190	450	6200	14000	31000	31	74	170	41000	42000	43000	17000	24000	32000
p-Xylene	A	56	130	310	79	180	430	5900	14000	30000	29	69	160	41000	42000	43000	17000	24000	31000
TPH Aliphatic EC3-6	Δ	42	230	530	42	230	530	7800	17000	40000	2300	5600	13000	60000	610000	620000	150000	220000	320000
TPH Aliphatic EC>8-10	A	27	65	150	27	65	150	2000	4800	11000	320	770	1700	13000	13000	13000	14000	18000	21000
TPH Aliphatic EC>10-12	А	130	330	760	130	330	770	9700	23000	47000	2200	4400	7300	13000	13000	13000	21000	23000	24000
TPH Aliphatic EC>12-16	А	1100	2400	4300	1100	2400	4400	59000	82000	90000	11000	13000	13000	13000	13000	13000	25000	25000	26000
TPH Aliphatic EC>16-35	A	65000	92000	110000	65000	92000	110000	1600000	1700000	1800000	260000	270000	270000	250000	250000	250000	450000	480000	490000
TPH Aliphatic EC>35-44	A	65000	92000	110000	65000	92000	110000	1600000	1/00000	1800000	260000	2/0000	2/0000	250000	250000	250000	450000	480000	490000
TPH Aromatic EC>7-8	A	130	290	660	860	1800	3900	56000	110000	180000	22	51	120	56000	56000	56000	87000	95000	100000
TPH Aromatic EC>8-10	A	34	83	190	47	110	270	3500	8100	17000	8.6	21	51	5000	5000	5000	7200	8500	9300
TPH Aromatic EC>10-12	A	74	180	380	250	590	1200	16000	28000	34000	13	31	74	5000	5000	5000	9200	9700	10000
TPH Aromatic EC>12-16	Α	140	330	660	1800	2300	2500	36000	37000	38000	23	57	130	5100	5100	5000	10000	10000	10000
TPH Aromatic EC>16-21	A	260	540	930	1900	1900	1900	28000	28000	28000	46	110	260	3800	3800	3800	7600	7700	7800
TPH Aromatic EC>21-35	A	1100	1500	1/00	1900	1900	1900	28000	28000	28000	370	820	1600	3800	3800	3800	7800	7800	7900
		1100	100	1/00	100	1000	1300	20000	20000	20000	570	020	1000	3800	5000	5000	7300	7000	, 300
TPH Aliphatic + Aromatic EC>44-70	A	1600	1800	1900	1900	1900	1900	28000	28000	28000	1200	2100	3000	3800	3800	3800	7800	7800	7900

CONFIDENTIAL

Not to be copied or distributed to third parties without written consent from GeoCon.



GeoCon Site Investigations Ltd

January 2023

GENERIC SCREENING CRITERIA

FOR

GENERIC QUANTITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT

Generio	Generic Screening Criteria Reference Guide:					
Soils - O	Soils - Generic Screening Criteria Source Reference					
Α	LQM/CIEH S4ULs for Human Health Risk Assessment Values 2015					
В	Defra C4SL's 2014					
С	AtRisk Soil Screening Values and Water Screening Values Produced by Atkins 2017					
D	NHBC Technical Extra 15 - 2014					
E	UK (CLEA) Soil Guideline Value 2009					
F	CL:AIRE GAC Values December 2009					
Waters	- Generic Screening Criteria Source Reference					
1	Environmental Quality Standard from List 2 EC Dangerous Substances Directive (76/464/EEC) - Freshwater					
2	Environmental Quality Standard from EC Surface Water Abstraction Directive (75/440/EEC) - Imperative (A1)					
3	Drinking Water Standard from World Health Organisation (WHO) Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, 1984 - health value					
4	Environmental Quality Standard from List 2 EC Dangerous Substances Directive (76/464/EEC) - Freshwater (hardness related)					
5	Environmental Quality Standard from EC Surface Water Abstraction Directive (75/440/EEC) - Imperative (A2)					
6	Drinking Water Standard from World Health Organisation (WHO) Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, 1984 - ATO					
7	Ayscough et al. (2002) Proposed Environmental Quality Standards for Ethylbenzene in Water (EA R&D Technical Report P2-115/TR4).					
8	UK Drinking Water Inspectorate - threshold for objectionable odour/taste of 5μg/l to 10μg/l					
9	US EPA advisory limits (1997). As published in Environment Agency "The fuel additive MTBE a groundwater protection issue?" booklet.					
10	Ahlberg et al. (2001) An Environmental Risk Assessment of MTBE use in Europe. ECETOC/EFOA Task Force on ERA of MTBE.					
11	Environmental Quality Standard from List 1 EC Dangerous Substances Directive (76/464/EEC) - Freshwater					
12	As Presented in Appendix 8 "Selected Water Quality Standards" in Hydrogeological Risk Assessments for Landfills (LFTGN01 - Environment Agency, March 2003).					
13	UK Drinking Water Standards (DWS)					
14	Environment Agency, Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) 2015					
Abbrev	iations					
SOM	Soil Organic Matter					