

Flood Risk Assessment & Drainage Strategy

Proposed Dwellings – Windermere Road & Fell View Avenue, Woodhouse, Whitehaven

Thomas Armstrong Construction and Home Group

Ref: K39225.DS/001

Version	Date	Prepared By	Checked By	Approved By
Original	16 August 2022	C. Abram	T. Melhuish	T. Melhuish

Page | 1

Registered Office: RG Parkins & Partners Ltd, Meadowside, Shap Road, Kendal, LA9 6NY Registered in England & Wales - Company number 04107150

1. INDEMNITIES

This report is for the sole use and benefit of Thomas Armstrong Construction and Home Group and their professional advisors. RG Parkins & Partners Ltd will not be held responsible for any actions taken, nor decisions made, by any third party resulting from this report.

RG Parkins & Partners Ltd are not qualified to advise on contamination. Any comments contained within this report with regards to contamination are noted as guidance only and the Client should appoint a suitably qualified professional to provide informed advice. The absence of any comments regarding contamination does not represent any form of neglect, carelessness, or failure to undertake our service.

2. COPYRIGHT

The copyright of this report remains vested in RG Parkins & Partners Ltd.

All digital mapping reproduced from Ordnance Survey digital map data. ©Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. Licence Number 100038055

3. CONTENTS

1.	Inde	mnities	2
2.	Сор	yright	2
3.	Cont	tents	3
4.	Figu	res	4
5.	Tabl	es	4
6.	Glos	sary of Terms	5
7.	Intro	oduction	6
7	.1	Background	6
7	.2	Planning Policy	6
7	.3	The Development in the Context of Planning Policy	6
8.	Site	Characterisation	8
8	.1	Site Location	8
8	.2	Site Description	8
8	.3	Geology & Hydrogeology	9
8	.4	Hydrology	9
8	.5	Existing Sewers	9
8	.6	Drainage Investigation	10
8	.7	Ground Investigation	10
9.	Asse	ssment of Flood Risk	12
9	.1	Background	12
-			
9	.2	Flood Risk Terminology	12
9 9	.2 .3	Data Collection	12 13
9 9 9	.2 .3 .4	Data Collection Strategic Flood Risk Assessment	12 13 13
9 9 9 9	.2 .3 .4 .5	Data Collection Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning	12 13 13 13
9 9 9 9 9	.2 .3 .4 .5 .6	Data Collection Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning Surface Water Flood Risk	12 13 13 13 13
9 9 9 9 9 9	.2 .3 .4 .5 .6	Flood Risk Terminology Data Collection Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning Surface Water Flood Risk Groundwater Flood Risk	12 13 13 13 14 16
9 9 9 9 9 9	.2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8	Flood Risk Terminology Data Collection Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning Surface Water Flood Risk Groundwater Flood Risk Flooding From Reservoirs, Canals or Other Artificial Sources	12 13 13 13 14 16 17
9 9 9 9 9 9 9	.2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8	Flood Risk Terminology Data Collection Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning Surface Water Flood Risk Groundwater Flood Risk Flooding From Reservoirs, Canals or Other Artificial Sources Flooding from Sewers	12 13 13 14 16 17 17
9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	.2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 Su	Flood Risk Terminology Data Collection Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning Surface Water Flood Risk Groundwater Flood Risk Flooding From Reservoirs, Canals or Other Artificial Sources Flooding from Sewers	12 13 13 14 16 17 17 18
9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 10.	.2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 Su 0.1	Flood Risk Terminology Data Collection Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning Surface Water Flood Risk Groundwater Flood Risk Flooding From Reservoirs, Canals or Other Artificial Sources Flooding from Sewers Inface Water Drainage Strategy Introduction	12 13 13 14 16 17 17 18 18
9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 10. 1	.2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 Su 0.1 0.2	Flood Risk Terminology Data Collection Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning Surface Water Flood Risk Groundwater Flood Risk Flooding From Reservoirs, Canals or Other Artificial Sources Flooding from Sewers Inface Water Drainage Strategy Introduction Site Areas	12 13 13 14 16 17 17 18 18 18
9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 10. 1 1. 1	.2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 0.1 0.2 0.3	Flood Risk Terminology Data Collection Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning Surface Water Flood Risk Groundwater Flood Risk Flooding From Reservoirs, Canals or Other Artificial Sources Flooding from Sewers urface Water Drainage Strategy Introduction Site Areas Surface Water Drainage Design Parameters	12 13 13 14 16 17 17 18 18 18 20
9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 10. 1 1 1 1	.2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.3.1	Flood Risk Terminology Data Collection Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning Surface Water Flood Risk Groundwater Flood Risk Flooding From Reservoirs, Canals or Other Artificial Sources Flooding from Sewers urface Water Drainage Strategy Introduction Site Areas Climate Change	12 13 13 14 16 17 17 18 18 18 20 20
9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 10. 1 1 1 1 1	.2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.3.1 0.3.2	Flood Risk Terminology Data Collection Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning Surface Water Flood Risk Groundwater Flood Risk Flooding From Reservoirs, Canals or Other Artificial Sources Flooding from Sewers Inface Water Drainage Strategy Introduction Site Areas Surface Water Drainage Design Parameters Climate Change Percentage Impermeability (PIMP)	12 13 13 14 16 17 17 18 18 18 20 20 20
9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 10. 1 1 1 1 1 1	.2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.3.1 0.3.2 0.3.3	Flood Risk Terminology Data Collection Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning Surface Water Flood Risk Groundwater Flood Risk Flooding From Reservoirs, Canals or Other Artificial Sources Flooding from Sewers Flooding from Sewers Introduction Site Areas Surface Water Drainage Design Parameters Climate Change Percentage Impermeability (PIMP) Volumetric Runoff Coefficient (Cv)	12 13 13 14 16 17 17 18 18 18 20 20 20 20

10.4	4	Pre-development Runoff Assessment 2	1
10.5	5	Surface Water Disposal 2	2
10.5	5.1	Infiltration 2	2
10.5	5.2	Watercourses and/or culverts 2	2
10.5	5.3	Positive Drainage – surface water sewer	2
10.0	6	Surface Water Drainage Design 2	2
10.7	7	Storage Volume 2	3
10.8	8	Sewer Abandonment & Diversion 2	4
10.9	9	Designing for Local Drainage System Failure 2	4
10.3	10	Surface Water Quality 2	5
10.3	11	Operations & Maintenance Responsibility 2	6
11.	Fo	oul Water Drainage Strategy 2	7
12.	С	onclusions and Recommendations 2	8
13.	R	eferences 2	9

4. FIGURES

Figure 8.1 Site Location	8
Figure 9.1 Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning	14
Figure 9.2 Environment Agency Surface Water Flood Map	15
Figure 9.3 BGS Ground Water Flood Map	16

5. TABLES

Table 7.1 Vulnerability Classification	7
Table 8.1 Site Geological Summary	9
Table 9.1 Flood Return Periods & Exceedance Probabilities	12
Table 10.1 Land Cover Areas - Windermere Road	19
Table 10.2 Land Cover Areas – Fell View Avenue	19
Table 10.3 Area of Potentially Impermeable & Permeable Land Cover – Windermere Road	19
Table 10.4 Area of Potentially Impermeable & Permeable Land Cover – Fell View Avenue	19
Table 10.5 Peak Rainfall Intensity Allowance in Small and Urban Catchments	20
Table 10.6 Pre-Development Peak Runoff Rates - Windermere Road	21
Table 10.7 Pre-Development Peak Runoff Rates – Fell View Avenue	21
Table 10.8 Attenuation Storage Volumes	24
Table 10.9 Pollution Hazard & Mitigation Indices	25
Table 11.1 Peak Foul Flow Rates	27

6. GLOSSARY OF TERMS

AEP	Annual Exceedance Probability
AOD	Above Ordnance Datum
BGL	Below Ground Level
BGS	British Geological Society
СС	Climate Change
CCC	Cumbria County Council
DSM	Digital Surface Model
DTM	Digital Terrain Model
EA	Environment Agency
FEH	Flood Estimation Handbook
FFL	Finished Floor Level
FRA	Flood Risk Assessment
GIS	Geographical Information System
Lidar	Light Detection and Ranging
LLFA	Lead Local Flood Authority
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
OS	Ordnance Survey
RGP	RG Parkins & Partners Ltd
SFRA	Strategic Flood Risk Assessment
SuDS	Sustainable Drainage System
UU	United Utilities

7. INTRODUCTION

7.1 BACKGROUND

This report has been prepared by R. G. Parkins & Partners Ltd (RGP) acting on behalf of Thomas Armstrong Construction and Home Group in support of their proposal to construct 40 no. new dwellings at Windermere Road & Fell View Avenue, Whitehaven.

RGP has been appointed to undertake a Flood Risk Assessment and Foul and Surface Water Drainage Strategy to support a planning application that fulfils the requirements of the Local Planning Authority, Lead Local Flood Authority, Environment Agency and the Sewerage Undertaker.

The following report demonstrates the proposed development will not adversely affect flood risk elsewhere.

7.2 PLANNING POLICY

The NPPF^[1] and its Planning Practice Guidance^[2] states "a site-specific flood risk assessment should be provided for all development in Flood Zones 2 and 3. In Flood Zone 1, an assessment should accompany all proposals involving: sites of 1 hectare or more; land which has been identified by the Environment Agency as having critical drainage problems; land identified in a strategic flood risk assessment as being at increased flood risk in the future; or land that may be subject to other sources of flooding, where its development would introduce a more vulnerable use."

7.3 THE DEVELOPMENT IN THE CONTEXT OF PLANNING POLICY

Owing to the size of the development in terms of number of properties (40 no.), it is classed as major development (over 10 dwellings) in accordance with The Town and Country Planning Order 2015^[3].

The area covered by the application is 1.30 ha (hectares) and by reference to the Environment Agency Flood Map, the site lies entirely in Flood Zone 1.

Table 2 of the NPPF's Planning Practice Guidance ^[2] classifies each development into a vulnerability class, depending on the type of development, as outlined in Table 7.1. The site is to be developed for a housing development; and is classified as 'More vulnerable'. 'More Vulnerable' development classes are deemed acceptable in terms of flood risk within Flood Zones 1, 2 and 3a but are not generally considered acceptable within Flood Zone 3b.

Table 7.1 Vulnerability Classification

Vulnerability Classification	Development
Essential Infrastructure	Essential transport infrastructure (including mass evacuation routes) which has to cross the area at risk. Essential utility infrastructure, which has to be located in a flood risk area for operational reasons, including electricity generating power stations and grid and primary substations; and water treatment works that need to remain operational in times of flood. Wind turbines.
Highly Vulnerable	Police and ambulance stations; fire stations and command centres; telecommunications installations required to be operation during flooding. Emergency dispersal points. Basement dwellings. Caravans, mobile homes, and park homes intended for permanent residential use. Installations requiring hazardous substances consent.
More Vulnerable	Hospitals. Residential institutions such as residential care homes, children's homes, prisons and hostels. Buildings used for dwelling houses, student halls of residence, drinking establishments, nightclubs, and hotels. Non-residential uses for health services, nurseries, and education establishments. Landfill and sites used for waste management facilities for hazardous waste. Sites used for holiday or short let caravans and camping, subject to a specific warning and evacuation plan
Less Vulnerable	 Police, ambulance, and fire stations which are NOT required to be operational during flooding. Buildings used for shops; financial, professional, and other services; restaurants, cafes and hot food takeaways; offices; general industry, storage and distributions; non-residential institutions not included in the 'more vulnerable' class; and assemble and leisure. Land and buildings used for agriculture and forestry. Waste treatment (except landfill & hazardous waste facilities). Minerals working & processing (except for sand & gravel working). Water treatment works which do not need to remain operational during times of flood. Sewage treatment works, if adequate measures to control pollution and manage sewage during flooding events are in place.
Water- Compatible Development	Flood control infrastructure. Water transmission infrastructure & pumping stations. Sewage transmission infrastructure & pumping stations. Sand & gravel working. Docks, marinas, and wharves. Navigation facilities. Ministry of Defence installations. Ship building, repairing & dismantling, dockside fish processing & refrigeration & compatible activities requiring a waterside location. Water based recreation (excluding sleeping accommodation). Lifeguard and coastguard stations. Amenity open space, nature conservation & biodiversity, outdoor sports and recreation and essential facilities such as changing rooms. Essential ancillary sleeping or residential accommodation for staff required by uses in this category subject to a specific warning & evacuation plan.

8. SITE CHARACTERISATION

8.1 SITE LOCATION

The sites are located on Windermere Road and Fell View Avenue, off Woodhouse Road in Whitehaven, at National Grid Co Ordinates 297203E 516030N and 297139E 516353N.



Figure 8.1 Site Location

8.2 SITE DESCRIPTION

The entire combined site area covers approximately 1.3 ha (13,000 m²), and at present is unused. The site is formerly an area of residential terraced housing which was demolished approximately 10 years ago.

The site area to the north of Woodhouse Road is bounded by properties on Fell View Avenue to the west, with allotments to the north and former quarry workings to the east. The site area to the south of Woodhouse Road is bounded by properties on Windermere Road to the west, with Wastwater Road and Lowther Gardens to the east.

Topographically, both sites slope down away from the existing public highways and footways towards the east, with variable levels ranging from around approximately 98.00 mAOD down to 95.50 mAOD in the Windermere Road area and between approximately 93.00-94.00 mAOD down to 89.00 mAOD at the proposed Fell View Avenue site.

The sites are directly access from either Windermere Road or Fell View Avenue via Woodhouse Road.

8.3 GEOLOGY & HYDROGEOLOGY

British Geological Survey (BGS)^[4] and Land Information Systems (LandIS)^[5] mapping indicates the site is underlain by the geological sequences outlined in Table 8.1. The DEFRA Magic Map Application^[6] indicates that the nearest Groundwater Source Protection Zone is located approximately 3.75 km south-east of the site.

Geological Unit	Classification	Description	Aquifer Classification
Soil	Soilscape 6	Freely draining slightly acid loamy soils	N/A
Drift	None Recorded	-	N/A
Solid	Whitehaven Sandstone Formation	Sandstone	Summary: Secondary A

Table 8.1 Site Geological Summary

8.4 HYDROLOGY

The site is located approximately 1.15 km east of Saltom Bay off the coast of Whitehaven. The nearest Main River located to the site is Pow beck which lies approximately 620m to the east.

The nearest hydrological feature to the site identified on local ordnance survey maps is a small unnamed watercourse which appears to issue approximately 100m to the east of Fell View Avenue and flows in the direction of Low Road Cemetery where it is culverted through the cemetery to the east of Low Road. RGP have previously undertaken CCTV investigations of this watercourse and culvert as part of another proposed housing development located off Low Road. This work was presented in RGP report no. K36110/01/FRA/CA dated 25th November 2020 in support of CBC Planning Ref: 4/20/2514/OF1. It was confirmed that the watercourse and culvert was in a poor condition with clear root ingress and prone to localised flooding in Low Road. The culvert through the cemetery had significant structural defects and was deemed unsuitable to take any additional run-off from the proposed development site.

8.5 EXISTING SEWERS

Reference to the United Utilities sewer records (Appendix B) indicate there are a number of combined and surface water public sewers within each of the sites.

Windermere Road

A 225mm diameter public surface water sewer is located parallel to the road alignment within the front driveways of the proposed new dwellings. The surface water sewer then runs north towards Woodhouse Road.

150mm and 225mm diameter public combined sewers run through the development site towards the north.

Fell View Avenue

The 225mm dia. surface water sewer continues from Woodhouse Road and runs towards the north and is located within the front driveways of the proposed new dwellings.

The main 225mm diameter public combined sewer also continues to run north from Woodhouse Road through the development site towards the north. Additional sections of 100mm and 150mm dia. combined sewers associated with the previous housing are also present throughout the development site.

A pre-development wastewater enquiry has been submitted to United Utilities on 21st July 2022 and a response received on 4th August 2022 and is included in Appendix B for reference. RGP will continue to liaise with UU with regards to the detailed design of the proposed drainage.

8.6 DRAINAGE INVESTIGATION

RGP instructed Drain Doctor to carry out a drainage investigation and CCTV survey of all the existing sewers in the proposed development areas to verify the size, depth and condition of the existing drainage infrastructure. The survey was undertaken on 21st and 22nd April 2022. Full details of the drainage investigation are included in Drain Doctor's report (ref: 2022-03-17167, dated 22/04/22).

The investigations found most of the sewer locations and depths to be reasonably consistent with the UU sewer records. There was only one surface water sewer which was different to the south of Windermere Road, whereby the sewer appeared to flow to the north rather than the south.

Not all existing manholes were accessible at the time of the survey, and therefore further investigation is planned to be carried out. This will provide the required information to gain a complete survey record for the area and to assist in future detailed drainage design and for S185 sewer diversions and abandonments.

A detailed record of the existing drainage for the proposed development areas is included on RGP drawings nos. K39225/100-103 are included in Appendix A for reference.

8.7 GROUND INVESTIGATION

Ground investigation was undertaken at the site in June and July 2022 by GEO Environmental Engineering Ltd. Full details of the ground investigation are included in GEO's report (ref: 2022-5335, dated 29/07/22).

Intrusive investigations were undertaken and comprised a number of mechanically excavated trial pits, mini-percussive boreholes, shallow hand dug pits, rotary open-holed boreholes, falling head permeability tests and gas/groundwater monitoring.

Typical ground conditions encountered across the site were found to be topsoil over reworked gravelly clay at depths of between 0.4m and 0.9m BGL (below ground level), with localised areas of Made Ground comprising of brick and concrete and other anthropogenic materials and occasional relict foundations encountered at similar depths.

This was underlain by firm to stiff becoming stiff sandy gravelly clays, with Sandstone gravel noted at the far northern parts of Fell View Avenue.

Testing in the existing boggy areas of land found the ground to be very soft saturated silt with organic matter and anthropogenic materials to depths of c.1.20m BGL, underlain by firm to stiff clays.

Falling Head permeability tests were undertaken in 4 of the Boreholes, where only one test returned a very slow infiltration result (3.38×10^{-9} m/s classified as very poor infiltration media) whilst the other tests were terminated as unsuitable due to the very slow fall in water levels over time. As such, it is concluded that the underlying strata is not suitable for infiltration-based SuDS.

9. ASSESSMENT OF FLOOD RISK

9.1 BACKGROUND

The following risk assessment has been carried out in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework ^[1] and its Planning Practice Guidance ^[2] on Flood Risk. The broad aim of the guidance is to reduce the number of people and properties within the natural and built environment at risk of flooding. To achieve this aim, planning authorities are required to ensure that flood risk is properly assessed during the initial planning stages.

Responsibility for this assessment lies with the developers and they must demonstrate:

- Whether the proposed development is likely to be affected by flooding.
- Whether the proposed development will increase flood risk in other parts of the hydrological catchment.
- That the measures proposed to deal with any flood risk are sustainable.

The developer must prove to the Local Planning Authority and the Environment Agency that the existing flood risk or the flood risk associated with the proposed development can be satisfactorily managed.

9.2 FLOOD RISK TERMINOLOGY

Flood risk considers both the probability and consequence of flooding.

Flood events are often described in terms of their probability of recurrence or probability of occurring in any one year. The threshold between a medium flood and a large flood is often regarded as the 1 in 100-year event. This is an event which statistical analysis suggests will occur on average once every hundred years. However, this does not mean that such an event will not occur more than once every hundred years. Table 9.1 shows the event return periods expressed in years and annual exceedance probabilities as a fraction and a percentage. For example, a 1 in 100-year event has a 1% probability of occurring in any one year, i.e. a 1 in 100 probability. A 1000-year event has a 0.1% probability of occurring in any one year, i.e. a 1 in 100 probability.

Return Period	Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP)			
(years)	Fraction	Percentage		
2	0.5	50%		
10	0.1	10%		
25	0.04	4%		
50	0.02	2%		
100	0.01	1%		
200	0.005	0.5%		
500	0.002	0.2%		
1000	0.001	0.1%		

Table 9.1 Flood Return Periods & Exceedance Probabilities

9.3 DATA COLLECTION

The following information was referred to for the Flood Risk Assessment:

- Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning covering the site and adjacent area.
- Environment Agency Surface Water Flood Risk Map
- Environment Agency Reservoir Flood Risk Map
- Environment Agency Historic Flood Map
- United Utilities sewer records
- British Geological Survey Groundwater Flooding Susceptibility Map
- Copeland Borough Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment
- Development layout plan
- Topographic survey

9.4 STRATEGIC FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT

Copeland Borough Council commissioned JBA Consulting to produce a Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA)^[7] finalised in 2021 which refers to the Environment Agency Flood Maps to determine flood risk.

It states there are several historic flooding incidents in Whitehaven, but these are generally attributed to tidal flooding due to the proximity of the town centre to the coastline. Some properties are at risk from the main watercourse, Pow Beck which bisects the town and during extreme events, flooding can be exacerbated in certain areas by insufficient sewer capacities. This site however is located away from the historically affected areas and is not shown to be at risk of flooding.

However, since the original SFRA's were undertaken, the understanding of flood mechanisms and risks has developed, and the EA has carried out new modelling to define flood risks. The SFRA maps are therefore regarded as superseded by the new EA Flood Maps for Planning in any case which have been used to determine the sites flood risk for the basis of this report.

9.5 ENVIRONMENT AGENCY FLOOD MAP FOR PLANNING

Figure 9.2 is an extract from the EA's Flood Map for Planning^[6].

This has been reviewed to assess the level of flood risk to the area. The flood map shows areas that may be at risk of fluvial flooding in a 1% (1 in 100 year, dark blue) or 0.1% (1 in 1000 year, light blue) Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) event. Alternatively, if the flood risk is tidal the flood map will show areas predicted to be at risk of flooding from the sea in a 0.5% AEP event (1 in 200 year, dark blue) or a 0.1% AEP event (1 in 1000 year, light blue).

The Flood Map shows the current best information on the extent of the extreme flooding from rivers or the sea that would occur without the presence of flood defences. The potential impact of climate change is not considered by the mapping.



Figure 9.1 Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning

Reference to Figure 9.1 indicates the site lies within Flood Zone 1 "Low Probability", land assessed as having a less than 0.1% annual probability of flooding (i.e. rivers, lake or sea) in any year by reference to the NPPF and is therefore not considered to be at risk of fluvial flooding.

9.6 SURFACE WATER FLOOD RISK

Surface water flooding is that which results from extreme rainfall rather than overflowing rivers. This type of flooding typically occurs when extreme rainfall causes water to run down slopes and collect in depressions in the landscape or where runoff is focussed into an area where drainage is insufficient. It can also cause erosion resulting in the partial or complete blockage of drains or culverts.

Figure 9.2 shows an extract from the EA Surface Water Flood Risk Map^[6]. This has four risk classifications from very low probability (<0.1% AEP) to high probability (>3.3% AEP).

The EA surface water flood map indicates that the two areas proposed for development are predominantly at 'Very Low' risk of surface water flooding with the risk of flooding being less than 0.1% AEP (1 in 1000 year).

Outside the site boundary an area of 'High to Medium Probability' flooding is shown to the rear of Fell View Avenue down slope of the site along the line of the existing track. This is situated in an area located significantly lower levels than the proposed development site and is therefore not considered to pose any risk of flooding.

However, as surface water run-off from the greenfield site is currently directed towards this area due to the sloping topography, any development resulting in an increase in impermeable areas could cause additional run-off if not properly managed. It is therefore proposed to incorporate sufficient SuDS measures and attenuation storage to mitigate this as part of the overall Drainage Strategy. This is discussed in further detail in Section 10.0.



Figure 9.2 Environment Agency Surface Water Flood Map

9.7 GROUNDWATER FLOOD RISK

Groundwater flooding occurs when water levels in the ground rise above the ground surface. It is most likely to occur in low lying areas underlain by permeable drift and rocks.

British Geological Survey (BGS) records (Figure 9.3) indicate the majority of the site has 'Limited Potential for Groundwater Flooding to Occur at the Surface' particularly at the Fell View Avenue end. The dataset shows areas susceptible to groundwater flooding, but it does not indicate the likelihood of it occurring.

As the existing ground levels fall off consistently and steeply to the rear of the proposed plots. The proposals involve raising levels to allow consistent floor levels by incorporating features such as retaining walls etc which will mean the proposed buildings will be well above existing ground levels in the majority of places, and no below ground development is proposed in any case therefore groundwater would not pose a risk of flooding to the site.



Figure 9.3 BGS Ground Water Flood Map

9.8 FLOODING FROM RESERVOIRS, CANALS OR OTHER ARTIFICIAL SOURCES

The likelihood of reservoir flooding is considered to be much lower than other forms of flooding. Current reservoir regulation, which has been further enhanced by the Flood and Water Management Act, aims to make sure that all reservoirs are properly maintained and monitored to detect and repair any problem.

The Ordnance Survey map indicates that there are no reservoirs, canals or artificial structures in the close proximity of the proposed development site.

9.9 FLOODING FROM SEWERS

United Utilities (UU) do not provide information on flood risk from their assets and there have been no reports within the SFRA. It is therefore concluded the site is not at risk of flooding from these sources.

10. SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE STRATEGY

10.1 INTRODUCTION

The principal aim of the following drainage strategy is to design the development to avoid, reduce and delay the discharge of rainfall to public sewers and watercourses in order to protect watercourses and reduce the risk of localised flooding, pollution and other environmental damage.

In order to satisfy these criteria this surface water runoff assessment and drainage design has been undertaken in accordance with the following reports and guidance documents:

- SuDS Manual, CIRIA Report C753, 2015^[7]
- Code of Practice for Surface Water Management, BS8582:2013, November 2013 ^[9]
- Rainfall Runoff Management for Developments, Defra/EA, SC030219, October 2013 ^[10]
- Designing for Exceedance in Urban Drainage Good Practice, CIRIA Report C635, 2006 [11]
- Flood Estimation Handbook (FEH) ^[12]
- Flood Studies Report (FSR), Volume 1, Hydrological Studies, 1993 [13]
- Flood Studies Supplementary Report No 14 (FSSR14), Review of Regional Growth Curves, 1983^[14]
- Flood Estimation for Small Catchments, Marshall & Bayliss, Institute of Hydrology, Report No. 124 (IoH 124), 1994 ^[15]

The following assessment and drainage strategy are based on the latest site layout plan. Any alterations to the site plan resulting in changes to impermeable areas will require the drainage strategy to be revisited.

10.2 SITE AREAS

To support the exploration of options for site drainage, the spatial extent of different types of proposed land cover on the site have been measured.

As the site can be subdivided into the two distinct areas of Fell View Avenue and Windermere Road. The impermeable areas have therefore been calculated for each respective area.

Table 10.1 & 10.2 show the measured proposed land cover areas subdivided for the Fell View Avenue and Windermere Road sites.

Land Cover	Ar	Percentage of total	
	m²	На	site area
Total Roof Area	1432.0	0.143	24%
Total Parking Area	944.1	0.094	16%
Total Road Area	0.0	0.000	0%
Total Hardstanding Area	904.6	0.090	15%
Green & Landscaped Areas	2568.2	0.257	44%

Table 10.1 Land Cover Areas - Windermere Road

Table 10.2 Land Cover Areas – Fell View Avenue

Land Cover	Ar	Percentage of total	
	m²	На	site area
Total Roof Area	1248.2	0.125	21%
Total Parking Area	1083.4	0.108	19%
Total Road Area	0.0	0.000	0%
Total Hardstanding Area	913.2	0.091	16%
Green & Landscaped Areas	2604.1	0.260	45%

The site can be subdivided into land cover that could be permeable and that which could be impermeable. Potential impermeable areas are regarded as buildings, parking, roads, and hardstanding. All other areas (principally gardens) are regarded as having a permeable surface.

Table 10.3 & 10.4 give the areas of potentially permeable and impermeable land cover for each respective site area.

Table 10.3 Area of Potentially Impermeable & Permeable Land Cover – Windermere Road

Land Cover Area		ea	Percentage of total	
	m²	На	site area	
Total Impermeable Area	3520.6	0.352	49%	
Remaining Permeable Area	3628.8	0.363	51%	

Table 10.4 Area of Potentially Impermeable & Permeable Land Cover – Fell View Avenue

Land Cover	Area		Percentage of total
	m²	На	site area
Total Impermeable Area	3509.5	0.351	60%
Remaining Permeable Area	2339.4	0.234	40%

10.3 SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE DESIGN PARAMETERS

The surface water drainage system has been designed on the following basis using the modified rational method and a generated rainfall profile:

10.3.1 CLIMATE CHANGE

Projections of future climate change indicate that more frequent short-duration, high intensity rainfall and more frequent periods of long-duration rainfall are likely to occur over the next few decades in the UK. These future changes will have implications for river flooding and for local flash flooding. These factors will lead to increased and new risks of flooding within the lifetime of planned developments.

Climate change guidance is issued by the Environment Agency and outlines the anticipated changes to extreme rainfall intensity. Table 10.5 shows anticipated changes in extreme rainfall intensity in small and urban catchments. Guidance states that for site-specific flood risk assessments and strategic flood risk assessments, the upper end allowance should be assessed. A climate change allowance of 40% has been selected for the purpose of drainage design based on the 100-year anticipated design life of the proposed development. No properties are located immediately downstream of the site and therefore the site poses low risk to neighbouring property.

Applies across all of England	Total potential change anticipated for the '2020s' (2015 to 2039)	Total potential change anticipated for the '2050s' (2040 to 2069)	Total potential change anticipated for the '2080s' (2070 to 2115)
Upper End	10%	20%	40%
Central	5%	10%	20%

Table 10.5 Peak Rainfall Intensity Allowance in Small and Urban Catchments

10.3.2 PERCENTAGE IMPERMEABILITY (PIMP)

The percentage impermeability (PIMP) for all impermeable areas is modelled as 100%. The entirety of the impermeable areas is to be positively drained.

10.3.3 VOLUMETRIC RUNOFF COEFFICIENT (CV)

The volumetric runoff coefficient describes the volume of rainfall which runs off an impermeable surface following losses due to infiltration, depression storage, initial wetting and evaporation. The coefficient is dimensionless. Default industry standard volumetric runoff coefficients are 0.75 for summer and 0.84 for winter and are used for design.

10.3.4 RAINFALL MODEL

The calculations use the REFH2 unit hydrograph methodology in line with best practice as outlined in the SuDS Manual ^[10]. The calculations use the most up to date available catchment descriptors (2013) provided by the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology Flood Estimation Handbook web service.

10.4 PRE-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF ASSESSMENT

For the basis of this assessment the site is classified as Greenfield, despite the site having contained previous terraced housing. The ground investigations have also confirmed that the site is underlain by relatively impermeable natural clay.

For completeness greenfield runoff calculations have been undertaken. As the site covers an area of less than 200 ha, the Greenfield calculations have been undertaken in accordance with methodology described in IoH 124^[15]. For catchments of less than 50 ha the Greenfield runoff rate is scaled according to the size of the catchment in relation to a 50-hectare site.

Full details of the calculations and the methodology for deriving the Peak Rate of Runoff are in included in Appendix C. As the site can be subdivided into the two distinct areas of Fell View Avenue and Windermere Road. The equivalent greenfield runoff values have therefore been calculated for each respective area. A summary of the results is included in Table 10.6 & 10.7.

Without SuDS, the proposed development would increase the Rate of Runoff from the developed areas of the site.

Event	Greenfield (l/s)
Q1	2.51
QBAR	2.89
Q10	3.99
Q30	4.91
Q100	6.01
Q100+ 40% CC	8.42

Table 10.6 Pre-Development Peak Runoff Rates - Windermere Road

Event	Greenfield (l/s)
Q1	2.51
QBAR	2.88
Q10	3.98
Q30	4.90
Q100	5.99
Q100+ 40% CC	8.39

Table 10.7 Pre-Development Peak Runoff Rates – Fell View Avenue

The Greenfield QBAR runoff rates for each distinct area equal 2.89 l/s and 2.88 l/s respectively, thus totalling 5.8 l/s for the total development site area.

10.5 SURFACE WATER DISPOSAL

Surface water disposal has been considered in line with the hierarchy outlined in the SuDS Manual^[8]. The approach considers infiltration drainage in preference to disposal to watercourse, in preference to discharge to sewer.

Cumbria County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority prefer design in accordance with the Cumbria Design Guide which identifies the following hierarchy of techniques to be used:

- **Prevention**: Prevention of runoff by good site design and the reduction of impermeable areas.
- **Source Control**: Dealing with water where and when it falls (e.g. permeable paving).
- Site Control: Management of water in the local area (e.g. swales, detention basins).
- **Regional Control**: Management of runoff from sites (e.g. balancing ponds, wetlands).

10.5.1 INFILTRATION

Permeability testing at the site confirmed that disposal via soakaways or other infiltration-based SuDS is not a viable option for this site due to the underlying firm to stiff clay strata (Section 8.7).

10.5.2 WATERCOURSES AND/OR CULVERTS

As discussed on Section 8.4 there is an existing watercourse and culvert located c. 100m to the east of Fell View Avenue. Based on the Ordnance Survey maps, the head of this watercourse appears to be c. 10-15m downslope of Fell View Avenue. The watercourse is culverted near to the southern boundary of the cemetery and was CCTV surveyed by RGP as part of another proposed housing development in Low Road. This work was presented in RGP report no. K36110/01/FRA/CA dated 25th November 2020 in support of CBC Planning Ref: 4/20/2514/OF1. It was confirmed that the watercourse and culvert was in a poor condition with clear root ingress and prone to localised flooding in Low Road. The culvert through the cemetery had significant structural defects and was deemed unsuitable to take any additional run-off from that development. It can therefore be concluded that any additional inflow from the current proposals from Fell View Avenue or Windermere Road would increase flood risk downstream, and for this reason is discounted as a viable off-site solution.

10.5.3 POSITIVE DRAINAGE – SURFACE WATER SEWER

The proposed impermeable area of the development will require a positive drainage solution. Runoff will be attenuated to pre-development Greenfield QBAR rates as far as practical, with discharge proposed to the existing and diverted public surface water sewer located within the development area.

10.6 SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE DESIGN

The proposed surface water network serving the entire developable area of the site has been modelled using MicroDrainage Source Control (results included in Appendix C).

The drainage design has been sized to store a future 1% AEP event of critical duration without any flooding. Future climate change (40%) and urban creep (10% to housing roof areas only) is accounted for within the calculations.

It is proposed that all plot roof and associated hardstanding areas will drain into shared private geocellular attenuation tanks, located predominantly within private drive areas.

Roof water, driveway and path runoff will connect directly into the surface water pipe network upstream of the attenuation systems, with inspection chambers utilised to route the new pipework and allow for future inspection and maintenance. Proposed ground and floor levels will be fall consistently to enable gravity connections to the drainage system.

Silt traps will be located upstream of each attenuation tank, which will provide surface water treatment and access for maintenance. Silt traps isolate silt and other particles by encouraging settlement into sumps, preventing ingress into the tank.

The attenuation tanks will be founded at a suitable level providing a minimum depth of suitable cover whilst allowing for connection to the existing or diverted surface water public sewers. These tanks will be wrapped and sealed with an impermeable membrane to provide a water-tight structure.

Orifice flow control chambers will restrict discharge from each geocellular attenuation system. With a total off-site discharge rate of 5.8 l/s equalling the pre-development greenfield QBAR rate.

Where practical the attenuation systems will be designed to be located outside of any easement areas associated with any existing or diverted public sewers.

Type C (no infiltration) permeable block paving with positive drainage connections to the proposed surface water drainage system will be used to construct the private driveways, providing enhanced treatment (i.e., removal of silt and pollutants), prior to discharge to the drainage network and additional surface water storage benefits.

Roof water will connect directly into the surface water pipe network. This will require ground levels to fall consistently around the site in order to enable gravity connections to the drainage system.

For further detail refer to the Drainage Layout Plans Nos K39225/105-108 included in Appendix A.

10.7 STORAGE VOLUME

The proposed surface water network serving the new plots has been modelled using MicroDrainage Source Control^[19]. A storage assessment has been undertaken for the Q100+40% CC storm event and has been designed with sufficient capacity to convey flows without causing flooding, the results are provided in Table 10.8.

Site Area	Tank Plan Area (m²)	Tank Dimensions I x w x d (m)	Volume to TWL (m³)	Discharge Rate (I/s)
Plots 1 - 22	348	29 x 12 x 0.8	261.1	2.9
Plots 23 - 28	130	52 x 2.5 x 0.8	96.7	1.1
Plots 29 - 40	217.5	87 x 2.5 x 0.8	164.3	1.8
			TOTAL	5.8

Table 10.8 Attenuation Storage Volumes

10.8 SEWER ABANDONMENT & DIVERSION

As discussed in Sections 8.5 & 8.6 there are several existing public surface water and combined sewers present in the areas proposed for development.

Drainage investigations have confirmed that some of these sewers are now redundant and can be abandoned. However, some of the existing sewers convey flows from the wider Woodhouse residential estate and will need to be retained. As such, a series of sewer diversions are required to ensure these sewers do not clash with the proposed development site layout.

Pre-application discussions with United Utilities have indicated that this would be acceptable in principle providing a 6m easement is included on the diversion of any assets to allow future access to maintenance if required.

Indicative sewer abandonment and diversion plans have therefore been produced to inform the works at planning stage and Drawing Nos K39225/109-112 are included in Appendix A for reference.

At detailed design stage, applications will need to be submitted to UU in order obtain binding S185 Sewer Diversion Agreements which will be required for any proposed diversions prior to commencement of any site works.

10.9 DESIGNING FOR LOCAL DRAINAGE SYSTEM FAILURE

In accordance with the general principles discussed in CIRIA Report C635 – Designing for Exceedance in Urban Drainage ^[13] the proposed surface water drainage, where practical, should be designed to ensure there is no increased risk of flooding on the site or elsewhere as a result of extreme rainfall, lack of maintenance, blockages or other causes. These measures are discussed below.

The following general measures will be implemented as part of the detailed drainage design:

Blockage & Exceedance - In the unlikely case of exceedance or blockage of the geocellular systems, associated silt traps and/or flow control chambers, spills would occur from the lowest access cover around the properties. Exceedance flows shall be retained on site within the drainage system as far as practical and in the case of extreme events site levels will be set to divert any exceedance flows to fall towards and disperse in more permeable green space areas towards the east and away from the development replicating the existing site runoff characteristics.

Surface Storage & External Levels – where possible car parking areas should be designed to offer additional surface water storage volume and conveyance of flood water should the SuDS and drainage system fail, flood or exceed capacity. Where appropriate, the kerb lines should be raised to channel surface water runoff back into the drainage system.

Drainage Contingency – the proposed surface water system will be designed to provide adequate storage volume against flooding for the Q100 event, including a 40% allowance to account for climate change. The drainage system will also provide capacity for lower probability (greater design storm events) which are not critical duration.

Building Layout & Detail – the buildings will be designed and situated to ensure that they are not at risk of flooding from overland flow. The finished floor and threshold levels of the proposed new buildings will be set above the external levels where possible and external footpaths will fall away from the dwellings, ensuring that any flood water runs away from, rather than towards the properties. Threshold drains will be installed where required for additional redundancy.

10.10 SURFACE WATER QUALITY

The treatment of surface water is not a statutory requirement. Water quality remains a material consideration but there are no prescriptive standards to be imposed in terms of treatment train management. In the absence of a design standard, the SuDS manual has been used which outlines best practice.

Pollutants such as suspended solids, heavy metals and organic pollutants may be present in surface water runoff, the quantity and composition of the runoff is highly dependent upon site use.

The SuDS Manual^[8] outlines best practice with regards to treatment of surface water by SuDS components prior to discharge to the environment. SuDS components can be effective in reducing the amount of pollutants within the surface water discharged and therefore environmental impact of the development. SuDS components may be installed in series to form a treatment train to treat the runoff.

The simple index approach as outlined in the SuDS Manual ^[8]. has been used to assess the pollution hazard indices and proposed treatment components. For the categories of runoff covering hardstanding including, parking, pavements and path areas, treatment is proposed by Type C permeable block paving.

Table 10.9 summarises the pollution hazard and mitigation indices for each type of runoff.

Permeable Paving for Highway Areas				
Indices Suspended Solids Metals Hydrocarbons				
Pollution Hazard	0.5	0.4	0.4	
Pollution Mitigation	0.7	0.6	0.7	
Treatment Suitability	Adequate	Adequate	Adequate	

Table 10.9 Pollution Hazard & Mitigation Indices

10.11 OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE RESPONSIBILITY

The drainage systems will be privately maintained by Home Group. A SuDS 'Operations & Maintenance Plan' has been prepared by RGP detailing the requirements for future maintenance of the SuDS components.

11. FOUL WATER DRAINAGE STRATEGY

It is proposed that foul water from the new dwellings shall be drained via gravity with discharge to the existing or diverted 225mm diameter combined sewer located to the rear of the proposed properties in both Windermere Road and Fell View Avenue.

A pre-development enquiry has been submitted to United Utilities to confirm acceptability of the foul water drainage proposals and a detailed response received on 4th August 2022 (see Appendix B). In principle, they are accepting of the outline proposals and have requested detailed design information, which will be provided once Planning Approval has been received and detailed design commenced.

Under Section 106 of The Water Industry Act 1991, 'the owner / occupier of any premises shall be entitled to have his drain or sewer communicate with the public sewer of any sewerage undertaker and thereby to discharge foul water and surface water from those premises or that private sewer.' Unless 'the making of the communication would be prejudicial to the undertaker's sewerage system'.

Preliminary foul water discharge calculations have been undertaken for the new dwellings in accordance with the Design and Construction Guidance for Foul and Surface Water Sewers ^[17], as shown in Table 11.1 below.

Table 11.1 Peak Foul Flow Rates

Sewerage Sector Design and Construction Guidance Clause B3.1			
Peak Load Based on Number of Dwellings – 40 No. @ 4000 L/day	160,000		
Peak Foul Flow Rate from Site (I/s)	1.85		

The estimated predicted peak foul flow rate from the new development is 1.85 l/s.

The outline foul water drainage pipe routes are shown on drawing Nos K39225/105-108, included in Appendix A.

12. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In consideration of the proposed drainage strategy for the site the following conclusions and recommendations are made:

- The site is located in Flood Zone 1 with a predicted annual probability of flooding from rivers or the sea of less than 0.1% AEP (1 in 1000).
- By reference to the National Planning Policy Framework^[1] on Flood Risk, More Vulnerable development is acceptable within this flood zone.
- The site is not considered to be at significant risk of flooding from surface water, groundwater, reservoirs, canals, or any artificial structures.
- Ground investigations have confirmed that the underlying cohesive natural strata is not suitable for infiltration-based SuDS components. The watercourse and culvert located to the east of Fell View Avenue are also not suitable points of discharge due to known issues with localised flooding, root ingress and damaged sections of pipework.
- It is proposed that surface water drainage shall be positively drainage and attenuated, using geocellular tanks systems, with individual flow control devices restricting discharge to the pre-development Greenfield QBAR rate of 5.8 l/s. Discharge will be into the existing or diverted public surface water sewers
- Treatment of surface water runoff will be provided by incorporating Type C (No infiltration) permeable block paving into the construction of all driveway areas with a positive connection to the drainage network.
- A SuDS Operations and Maintenance Plan has been prepared detailing future maintenance requirements of all sustainable drainage systems.
- Foul flows from the site shall discharge via gravity to the existing public combined sewers via several new connections. A pre-development wastewater enquiry has been submitted to UU.
- Several sewer abandonments and diversions will be required to facilitate the development. Pre-application discussions with United Utilities have confirmed this is acceptable in principle and a S185 Sewer Diversion application will be progressed following Planning Approval
- Further intrusive investigation of the existing public sewer network within the development site is planned to ensure new connections and diversions are achievable.
- Detailed drainage design will be undertaken once Planning Approval has been granted in order to discharge any relevant pre-commencement Planning Conditions relating to drainage.

13. REFERENCES

- [1] Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, National Planning Policy Framework, July 2021.
- [2] Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, Planning Practice Guidance to the National Planning Policy Framework, June 2021
- [3] Defra/Environment Agency, The Town and Country Planning Order 2015, 2015 No.595, April 2015.
- [4] British Geological Survey, 2020. Geoindex. http://mapapps2.bgs.ac.uk/geoindex/home.html
- [5] Land Information System (LANDIS)- Soilscapes viewer, Accessed June 2022. http://www.landis.org.uk/soilscapes
- [6] Defra Magic Maps, 2022. https://magic.defra.gov.uk/MagicMap.aspx . Accessed June 2022
- [7] Copeland Borough Council, Draft Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA), October 2021
- [8] CIRIA, The SuDS Manual, Report C753, 2015.
- [9] BS8582:2013, Code of Practice for Surface Water Management, November 2013.
- [10] DEFRA/EA, Rainfall Runoff Management for Developments, SC030219, October 2013.
- [11] CIRIA, Designing for Exceedance in Urban Drainage Good Practice, Report C635, London, 2006.
- [12] Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Flood Estimation Handbook, Vols. 1 5 & FEH CD-ROM 3, 2009.
- [13] Institute of Hydrology, Flood Studies Report, Volume 1, Hydrological Studies, 1993.
- [14] Institute of Hydrology, Flood Studies Supplementary Report No 14 Review of Regional Growth Curves, August 1983.
- [15] Marshall & Bayliss, 1994. Flood Estimation for Small Catchments, Report No. 124 (IoH 124), Institute of Hydrology.
- [16] Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, Non-Statutory Technical Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems, March 2015
- [17] Water UK, Design and Construction Guidance for Foul & Surface Water Sewers Offered for Adoption Under the Code for Adoption Agreements for Water and Sewage Companies Operating Wholly or Mainly in England, Approved Version 2.0, March 2020

APPENDIX A

DRAWINGS

EXISITNG DRAINAGE PLAN – SHEETS 1 – 4

PROPOSED DRAINAGE PLAN - SHEETS 1 - 4

PROPOSED SEWER DIVERSIONS & ABANDONMENT PLAN – SHEETS 1 – 4




















R G PARKINS

APPENDIX B

UNITED UTILITIES INFORMATION

UU DETAILED SEWER RECORDS & CORRESPONDENCE

RG Parkins & Partners Ltd

RG Parkins & Partners Ltd

Kendal, Cumbria LA9 6NY

RG Parkins & Partners Ltd, Meadowside, Shap Road

How to contact us:

United Utilities Water Limited Property Searches Haweswater House Lingley Mere Business Park Great Sankey Warrington WA5 3LP

Telephone: 0370 7510101

E-mail: propertysearches@uuplc.co.uk

Your Ref: K39225 - Windermere Road & Fell View Avenue, Whitehaven Our Ref: UUPS-ORD-402511 Date: 14/06/2022

Dear Sirs

FAO:

Location: Windermere Road & Fell View Avenue Woodhouse Whitehaven

I acknowledge with thanks your request dated 09/06/2022 for information on the location of our services.

Please find enclosed plans showing the approximate position of United Utilities' apparatus known to be in the vicinity of this site.

The enclosed plans are being provided to you subject to the United Utilities terms and conditions for both the wastewater and water distribution plans which are shown attached.

If you are planning works anywhere in the North West, please read United Utilities' access statement before you start work to check how it will affect our network. <u>http://www.unitedutilities.com/work-near-asset.aspx</u>.

I trust the above meets with your requirements and look forward to hearing from you should you need anything further.

If you have any queries regarding this matter please contact us.

Yours Faithfully,

and

Karen McCormack Property Searches Manager

TERMS AND CONDITIONS - WASTEWATER AND WATER DISTRIBUTION PLANS

These provisions apply to the public sewerage, water distribution and telemetry systems (including sewers which are the subject of an agreement under Section 104 of the Water Industry Act 1991 and mains installed in accordance with the agreement for the self construction of water mains) (UUWL apparatus) of United Utilities Water Limited "(UUWL)".

TERMS AND CONDITIONS:

- This Map and any information supplied with it is issued subject to the provisions contained below, to the exclusion of all others and no party relies upon any representation, warranty, collateral contract or other assurance of any person (whether party to this agreement or not) that is not set out in this agreement or the documents referred to in it.
- This Map and any information supplied with it is provided for general guidance only and no representation, undertaking or warranty as to its accuracy, completeness or being up to date is given or implied.
- In particular, the position and depth of any UUWL apparatus shown on the Map are approximate only. UUWL strongly recommends that a comprehensive survey is undertaken in addition to reviewing this Map to determine and ensure the precise location of any UUWL apparatus. The exact location, positions and depths should be obtained by excavation trial holes.
- The location and position of private drains, private sewers and service pipes to properties are not normally shown on this Map but their presence must be anticipated and accounted for and you are strongly advised to carry out your own further enquiries and investigations in order to locate the same.
- The position and depth of UUWL apparatus is subject to change and therefore this Map is issued subject to any removal or change in location of the same. The onus is entirely upon you to confirm whether any changes to the Map have been made subsequent to issue and prior to any works being carried out.
- This Map and any information shown on it or provided with it must not be relied upon in the event of any development, construction or other works (including but not limited to any excavations) in the vicinity of UUWL apparatus or for the purpose of determining the suitability of a point of connection to the sewerage or other distribution systems.
- No person or legal entity, including any company shall be relieved from any liability howsoever and whensoever arising for any
 damage caused to UUWL apparatus by reason of the actual position and/or depths of UUWL apparatus being different from
 those shown on the Map and any information supplied with it.
- If any provision contained herein is or becomes legally invalid or unenforceable, it will be taken to be severed from the remaining provisions which shall be unaffected and continue in full force and affect.
- This agreement shall be governed by English law and all parties submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the English courts, save that nothing will prevent UUWL from bringing proceedings in any other competent jurisdiction, whether concurrently or otherwise.

Crown copyright and database rights 2017 Ordnance Survey 100022432. Unauthorised reproduction will infringe these copyrights.

nvert	Size x 150	Size y	Shape	Matl VC	Length 6	Grad	LEGEND
15.62 15.62 192.5 19.69	225 225 100 225			VC VC VC VC	93.24998 93.24998 12.64911 25.01999	1 in 17	Abandoned Foul Surface Water Combined
9.61 9.61 6.56 6.56	150 150 100 100			VC VC VC VC	5.09902 5.09902 7.81025 7.81025	1 in 30 1 in 30 1 in 34 1 in 34	Public Sewer
8.69 90.4 0 0	100 100 150 100			VC VC VC	10.44031 22.13594 19.0263 23.34524	1 in 58 1 in 37	Section 104
9.83 9.83 0 0	100 100 150 150			VC VC VC	4 4 29.01724 29.01724		→ Overflow Water Course
0 16.81 0	150 100 225 225			VC VC VC	11.18034 27.89265 43.95435		→ Highway Drain
0 0 3.33	150 100 100			VC VC VC	9.848858 12.36932 14	1 in 32	
0 0 0 0	100 100 100 100			VC VC VC VC	14 6 9.433981 9.219544	1 in 32	All point assets follow the standard colour convention: red - combined blue - surface water
6.51 4.34 2.87 3.44	100 150 225 100			VC VC VC VC	5.830952 2 31.14657 6.708204	1 in 56	brown - foul purple - overflow
2.18 2.18 98.1 98.1	150 150 100 100			VC VC VC VC	4 4 6.708204 6.708204	1 in 31 1 in 31	Manhole Side Entry Manhole Side Entry Manhole Side Entry Manhole
15.18 15.18 15.26	100 100 100			VC VC VC	2.236068 2.236068 15.25221		 Extent of Survey Screen Chamber Rodding Eye Inspection Chamber
7.11 9.71 9.71	100 150 150			VC VC VC	5 9.055386 9.055386	1 in 70 1 in 70	 Inlet Bifurcation Chamber Discharge Point Lamp Hole
8.65 4.65 4.65	100 100 225 225			VC VC VC	10.44031 54.56189 54.56189		 Vortex Fenstock Catchpit
0 69 69	150 150 150 150			VC VC VC VC	1.973066 18.60108 9 9	1 in 33 1 in 33	 Washout Chamber Valve Chamber Vent Column
0 98.7 98.7 '0.28	100 100 100 100			VC VC VC VC	20.12461 6.403124 6.403124 3.162278	1 in 40	Air Valve O Vortex Chamber Air Valve O Penstock Chamber T
0 9.37 2.33 0	225 150 225 150			VC VC VC VC	104.1729 16.12452 45.69464 39.66106		Soakaway Image: Construction of the second seco
1.49 1.49 7.55 7.55	150 150 150 150			VC VC VC VC	33.01515 33.01515 8 8 8	1 in 25 1 in 25 1 in 30 1 in 30	Cascade ▲ Ww Pumping Station
97.3 96.2 96.8 15.44	100 150 100 225			VC VC VC VC	7.81025 14.60968 7.81025 92.9017	1 in 60	Hatch Box Septic Fails Gil Interceptor
18.22 18.22 12.02 12.02	225 225 150 150			VC VC VC	18.35756 18.35756 5 5	1 in 31 1 in 31 1 in 33 1 in 33	 [™] Summit ^{DS} Drop Shaft [™] Change of Characteristic
0 7.82 1.82	225 150 150 150			VC VC VC	20.12461 8.544003 9 9	1 in 61 1 in 30 1 in 30	Orifice Plate
0.84 6.77 8.49	100 150 100			VC VC VC	34.48188 6.324555 5.830952 5.830952		
00.14 0 8.99	100 100 150 100			VC VC VC	15.6205 10.29563 16.49242	1 in 92	MANHOLE FUNCTION
00.02 00.02 07.58	100 100 225			VC VC VC	2.828427 2.828427 47.94831		FO Foul SW Surface Water
2.34 2.34 3.86	225 150 150 225			VC VC VC VC	47.94831 4 4 88.20431	1 in 31 1 in 31 1 in 90	CO Combined OV Overflow
17.37 19.82 19.82 10.82	100 100 100 100			VC VC VC VC	10.19804 35 35 12.08305	1 in 11 1 in 40 1 in 40 1 in 35	
0 0 89.5 15.22	100 100 225 150			VC VC VC VC	20.80865 20.80865 150.5482 24.59675	1 in 114	SEWER SHAPE
07.95 06.21 06.21	150 100 150 150			VC VC VC VC	18.43909 11.13753 4.472136 4.472136		CI Circular TR Trapezoidal EG Egg AR Arch
99.4 99.4 0 0	100 100 225 225			VC VC VC VC	4.123106 4.123106 15.23155 15.23155		OV Oval BA Barrel FT Flat Top HO HorseShoe
00.4 00.4 05.68 9.73	100 100 150 100			VC VC VC VC	5.385165 5.385165 18.05997 10	1 in 71	RE Rectangular UN Unspecified SQ Square
97.8 91.6	100 150 150				14.4222 15.81139 8.547535	1 in 0.15227753 1 in 66	C21
9.01 9.01 00.46 8.82	150 150 100 225			VC VC VC VC	5 5 4.123106 135.3864	1 in 56 1 in 56 1 in 9	SEWER MATERIAL
69.4 69.4 8.71 6.37	150 150 150 150			VC VC VC VC	4.123106 4.123106 28.3196 13.45362	1 in 41 1 in 41 1 in 20	AC Asbestos Cement BR Brick
0 0 2.94 0	100 150 100 150			VC CO VC VC	7.28011 56.36581 8.602325 10.04988		PE Polyethylene RP Reinforced Plastic Matrix
0 00.01 00.6 00.6	150 100 100 100			VC VC VC	10.04988 4.472136 7.071068 7.071068	1 in 42 1 in 42	CO Concrete CSB Concrete Segment Bolted
0 99.6 17.99	150 100 150 100			VC VC VC	44.04543 5.759168 9.486833	1 in 63	CSU Concrete Segment Unbolted CC Concrete Box Culverted
0	100 100 225 100			VC VC VC	15.43769 72.03471 6.082763	1 in	PSC Plastic / Steel Composite GRC Glass Reinforecd Plastic
0 18.92 18.92	100 100 100			VC VC VC	6 6	13.1533406 1 in 35 1 in 35	DI Ductile Iron
0 9.97 9.97	100 100 100 100			VC VC VC VC	31.06445 31.06445 3	1 in 43 1 in 43	Cl Cast Iron
0.42 0 0	100 225 225			VC VC VC	2 3.605551 12.16553 12.16553		ST Steel
0.38 0.63 0 0	100 100 100			VC VC VC	ь 11.6619 14.21267 6.082763	1 in 51	PP Polypropylene
0 0 1.98 0	100 100 150 100			VC VC VC VC	4.123106 4.123106 13 2.236068		PF Pitch Fibre MAC Masonry, Coursed
0 94.11 94.71 93.79	100 100 150 100			VC VC VC VC	2.236068 8.246211 38.58874 28.01785	1 in 53	MAR Masonry, Random U Unspecified
9.41 0 0 9.59	150 150 150 100			VC VC VC VC	70 6.708204 6.708204 17.11724	1 in 15	Address or Site Reference:
9.59 4.55 4.13 8.73	100 150 150 100			VC VC VC VC	17.11724 9.848858 5 5.385165		Windermere Road & Fell View Avenue Woodbouse
18.73 15.21 18.56 18.56	100 150 150 150			VC VC VC VC	5.385165 21.93171 5 5	1 in 83 1 in 83	Whitehaven,
1.45 5.58 5.58	150 100 100 225			VC VC VC VC	8.544003 17.26284 17.26284 94.09643		
9.41 0 17.68	225 150 100 225			VC VC VC VC	94.09643 37 10.29563 16.12452		
16.03 16.03 12.17 16.32	150 150 150 100			VC VC VC VC	6.403124 6.403124 9.899495 8.944272	1 in 15	OS sheet NX9716SW
0 0 17.24	100 100 100 100			VC VC VC VC	8.944272 15.84752 6.708204 21.84033		Number: Scale: 1:1250 Date: 14/06/2022
0 0 15.67	100 100 100			VC VC VC	22.56103 22.56103 13.89244		Nodes: 401
00.54 00.54 8.02	100 100 150			VC VC VC	36.01389 36.01389 24.02082	1 in 33 1 in 33 1 in 15	Sneet: 1 OT 2
5.81 0 0	150 150 150 100			VC VC VC	9.055386 9.055386 5.09902 13.0384		Printed by: Property Searches
υ 19.55	150 100			VC	31.38471 9.899495	1 in 71	SEWER
							RECORDS Utilities
							Water ort e ∿orth ∿est

	Inter Inter <th< th=""></th<>
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

nvert 0 0	Size x 100 100	Size y	Shape	Matl VC VC	Length 22.56103 22.56103	Grad	LEGEND
95.67 95.67 71.83 00.54 00.54 75.81 75.81	100 100 100 100 100 150 150			VC VC VC VC VC VC VC	13.89244 13.89244 22.2036 36.01389 36.01389 9.055386 9.055386	1 in 27 1 in 33 1 in 33	Abandoned Foul Surface Water Combined Public Sewer Private Sewer
8.69 8.69 7.08 9.55 9.55	150 150 100 100 100			VC VC VC VC VC	8.246211 8.246211 17.20465 9.899495 9.899495 6	1 in 32 1 in 71 1 in 71	Section 104
00.24 7.56 7.56 5.76 5.76 5.76 65.76	100 150 150 150 150 150			VC VC VC VC VC VC	6 13.0384 13.0384 9 9 1.414214	1 in 33 1 in 33 1 in 60 1 in 60 1 in 16	→ Water Course → Highway Drain
07.42 08.48 08.48 0 0 0	100 150 150 100 100			VC VC VC VC VC	4 19.36066 19.36066 4.74843 4.74843	1 in 22 1 in 22	All point assets follow the standard colour convention:
97.17 97.17 97.69 97.69 95.62 95.62	100 100 150 150 225 225				15.65248 15.65248 25.80698 93.24998 93.24998		red - combined blue - surface water brown - foul purple - overflow
76.17 96.21 96.21 0 0 0 99.61	100 100 100 150 150			VC VC VC VC VC	16.49242 3.605551 3.605551 9.055386 9.055386 5.09902	1 in 30	Manhole Side Entry Manhole Side
9.61 96.56 96.56 98.43 98.43	150 100 100 150 150			VC VC VC VC VC	5.09902 7.81025 7.81025 23.34524 23.34524	1 in 30 1 in 34 1 in 34 1 in 34 1 in 180 1 in 180	Ref Rodding Eye Inspection Chamber Inlet Inspection Chamber Inlet Inspection Chamber Inspection Chamber Inspection Chamber Inspection Chamber Inspection Chamber
0 0 0 99.83	150 150 100 100 100 100			VC VC VC VC VC VC	7.615773 7.615773 5 5 4 4		 Vortex T Junction / Saddle Penstock Catchpit Washout Chamber Valve Chamber
97.19 97.19 0 0 0 7.93	150 150 150 150 150			VC VC VC VC VC	9.219544 9.219544 29.01724 29.01724 8.246211 3.605551	1 in 14 1 in 14	Valve Vent Column Valve Vortex Chamber Valve O Penstock Chamber
97.9 97.9 97.9 96.4 96.4 0	225 225 100 100 225			VC VC VC VC VC VC	32.7026 32.7026 8.602325 8.602325 43.95435	1 in 45 1 in 45	Image: Source of the second
0 73.33 73.33 73.52 0 0	225 100 100 100 150 100			VC VC VC VC VC VC	43.95435 14 14 8.062258 7.615773 11.40175	1 in 32 1 in 32	Control Kiosk Control Kiosk
2.18 2.18 98.1 98.1 98.1 95.18	150 150 100 100 100			VC VC VC VC VC	4 6.708204 6.708204 2.236068 2.236068	1 in 31 1 in 31	 SM Summit ^{DS} Drop Shaft ^{OF} Orifice Plate
7.11 77.11 99.71 99.71 0	100 100 150 150 150				5 5 9.055386 9.055386 4.24264	1 in 70 1 in 70	
04.65 04.65 70.62 0 0	225 225 100 150				54.56189 54.56189 10 15.56737 15.56737	1 in 48	FO Foul
69 69 8.89 8.89 98.7	150 150 100 100 100				9 9 9.219544 9.219544 6.403124	1 in 33 1 in 33	SW Surface Water CO Combined
98.7 70.28 0 0 0 0	100 100 225 150 225 225				6.403124 3.162278 67.02985 6.324555 51.10773 51.10773	1 in 40	
0 99.44 71.49 71.49 97.55 97.55	100 150 150 150 150 150			VC VC VC VC VC VC	3 7.615773 33.01515 33.01515 8 8 8	1 in 25 1 in 25 1 in 30 1 in 30	SEWER SHAPE CI Circular TR Trapezoidal EG Egg AR Arch
71.66 96.2 0 0 95.44	100 150 100 100 225			VC VC VC VC VC	7.071068 14.60968 13.60147 13.60147 92.9017		OV Oval BA Barrel FT Flat Top HO HorseShoe
							SQ Square
							SEWER MATERIAL
							BR Brick PE Polyethylene
							CO Concrete CSB Concrete Segment Bolted
							CSU Concrete Segment Unbolted CC Concrete Box Culverted
							GRC Glass Reinforecd Plastic DI Ductile Iron
							PVC Polyvinyl Chloride Cl Cast Iron
							ST Steel VC Vitrified Clay
							PP Polypropylene PF Pitch Fibre MAC Masonry Coursed
							MAR Masonry, Random U Unspecified
							Address or Site Reference:
							Windermere Road & Fell View Avenue Woodhouse Whitehaven,
							OS sheet NX9715NW
							Scale: 1:1250 Date: 14/06/2022 Nodes: 307
							Printed by: Property Searches
							SEWER RECORDS
							Water ort e North Vest

Troy Melhuish

From:	Chris Abram
Sent:	04 August 2022 15:27
То:	Troy Melhuish
Subject:	FW: Our reference - 02489509 Windermere Road & Fell View Avenue, Woodhouse, Whitehaven, Cumbria, CA28 9LL
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

FYI

Chris Abram BEng(Hons) GMICE Engineer

Meadowside | Shap Road | Kendal | Cumbria | LA9 GNY 01539 729393 www.rgparkins.com ©@RG_Parkins

RG Parkins & Partners Ltd is a private limited company registered in England & Wales. Registered office: Meadowside, Shap Road, Kendal, Cumbria, LA9 6NY. Registration No. 04107150

The content of this email is confidential and intended for the recipient specified in message only. It is strictly forbidden to share any part of this message with any third party, without a written consent of the sender. If you received this message by mistake, please reply to this message and follow with its deletion, so that we can ensure such a mistake does not occur in the future.

From: wastewaterdeveloperservices@uuplc.co.uk <wastewaterdeveloperservices@uuplc.co.uk>
Sent: 04 August 2022 12:20
To: Chris Abram <chris.abram@rgparkins.com>
Subject: RE: Our reference - 02489509 Windermere Road & Fell View Avenue, Woodhouse, Whitehaven, Cumbria, CA28 9LL

Good Morning Chris,

Pre Development Enquiry for: Windermere Road & Fell View Avenue, Whitehaven CA28 9NZ – UU ref 02489509

We have carried out an assessment of your application which is based on the information provided. This predevelopment advice on your drainage strategy will be valid for 12 months. Your drainage strategy will need to be reviewed by other competent authorities as part of the planning process, and we advise that you carry out the necessary site investigations to confirm the viability of your proposals.

If your investigations require access to our public sewer network, we ask that you contact our network engineers with a request for an access certificate via our main contact telephone number 0345 6723 723 or refer to the link below:

https://www.unitedutilities.com/builders-developers/working-near-our-assets/

Foul Water

Foul flow from this site will be allowed to drain into the public foul water/combined sewer system.

Southern site: It is noted the proposed connection point is to two new manholes, upstream of UUMM2901 and UUMH6002. Our only concern would be if these connection points have cover levels that are similar or higher than the proposed private manhole cover levels and FFL'S – if this is the case please connect foul flows to the rear of the plots, which is at a lower level.

Northern site: For the same reasons as above, please can the foul flows be connected to the rear of the plots which is lower.

If you are able to identify an alternative, more suitable point of discharge, we request that you contact us at your earliest convenience so that we can assess suitability.

In accordance with our infrastructure plans we may ask you to change your point of connection. Therefore please contact us when you are ready to formalise your drainage proposals, we would suggest before you submit for Full Planning.

Surface Water

All surface water flow from the proposed development should drain in-line with the drainage hierarchy, as outlined in Paragraph 80, (Reference ID: 7-080-20150323), of the National Planning Practice Guidance. We also recommend you prioritise the use of multi-functional sustainable drainage systems for the management of surface water in accordance with national planning policy.

Generally, the aim should be to discharge surface run off as high up the following hierarchy of drainage options as reasonably practicable.

This is outlined as follows, in order of priority:

- 1. into the ground (infiltration);
- 2. to a surface waterbody;
- 3. to a surface water sewer or highway drain;
- 4. to a combined sewer.

For guidance, The <u>North West SuDS Pro-Forma</u> provides information on the appropriate evidence required at each stage of the hierarchy, to demonstrate how each level has been discounted.

The Lead Local Flood Authority has responsibility for all surface water drainage concerns and their input to your proposal is critical. You should also consider whether it is necessary to discuss your proposal with the Environment Agency, or Internal Drainage Board (if operating in your area).

The Local Planning Authority are the determining authority for any application for planning permission and the appropriate authority for determining cost viability of a proposed drainage scheme, such assessments are outside of the jurisdiction of United Utilities.

Infiltration

Surface water runoff generated from this development should discharge to the ground via infiltration system where feasible. It is noted you are awaiting the Ground Investigation report, for information:

A detailed evidence based feasibility assessment must be carried out in line with Chapter 25 of the CIRIA SuDS Manual 2015 to determine whether infiltration is a suitable method of surface water disposal.

Particular attention must be paid to Ground Water Source Protection Zones to ensure that the risk of pollution to these valuable resources is not compromised. Details can be obtained from the government website:

https://www.gov.uk/guidance/groundwater-source-protection-zones-spzs#find-groundwater-spzs

If your site is in a Groundwater Source Protection Zone, you should have regard to the Environment Agency's approach to Groundwater Protection. Information on this is available via the link below:

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/groundwater-protection-position-statements

Please note that such a location could have implications for the principle of your development and the need for additional mitigating measures to protect the groundwater environment and public water supply in the detailed design of your site.

Waterbody

Our records appear to show a surface water body to the east of the northern site. If an evidence based assessment has been carried out and confirms that infiltration is not feasible, we recommend that you contact the Lead Local Flood Authority and/or Environment Agency to discuss a point of discharge to the watercourse, particularly for the Northern site.

We would encourage you to identify and engage with any third party landowner and riparian owner to agree access and discharge rights to the water body if this is not in your ownership.

Public Sewer

In accordance with the hierarchy of drainage options within the National Planning Practice Guidance, both discharge to ground via infiltration and discharge to a waterbody should be discounted prior to consideration of discharging surface water to the public sewer system. Evidence should be provided to demonstrate how these have been discounted, as outlined in the North West SuDS pro-forma.

Once evidence is provided as outlined above, United Utilities will consider connections to the surface water sewers as follows:

Southern site: It is noted the proposed connection point is to a new manhole upstream of UUMH1301. It is unclear what the existing and proposed situation is for the flow direction from this manhole, and our modelling data suggests this manhole is at an increased risk of surcharge than others. Therefore, we would request the southern site is routed to connect further northwards (to the manholes proposed at roughly opposite 68 Windermere Road). We would require all private cover levels and FFL's to be higher than the cover level of the connection point to the public sewer. United Utilities would have no objection to the proposed flow rates of 1.8 and 1.1 l/s, although the orifice size required to achieve these flows would be susceptible to blocking – can the two connections be converged into one single connection with a larger orifice size?

Northern Site: Noted the proposed connection point is to the bend on the diversion roughly opposite number 77. This would be acceptable to United Utilities **providing all private cover levels and FFL's are above the cover level of this connection point.** The proposed rate of 2.9 I/s is acceptable to United Utilities, although if the orifice size required to achieve these flows would be susceptible to blocking, we would accept a slightly increased flow rate. This should also be discussed/agreed with the LLFA.

Levels

For low-lying sites, (where the ground level of the site or the level of a basement is below the ground level at the point where the drainage connects to the public sewer), care should be taken to ensure that the property is not at increased risk of flooding. If these circumstances exist, we recommend that you contact us to discuss further. It could affect the detailed design of your site and result in the need to incorporate appropriate mitigating measures in your drainage scheme.

Land drainage / Overland flows / track drainage

United Utilities have no obligation, and furthermore we do not accept land drainage, overland flows or track drainage into the pubic sewerage network <u>under any circumstances</u>

Existing Wastewater Assets Crossing the Site

As identified within the provided drawings, there are a large number of public sewers within the site boundary. Some initial comments on the proposals are as follows (please note a full review would be required once the S185 applications are submitted):

- We would need multiple S185 applications one for each site should be sufficient
- The normal minimum gradient and cover requirements for adoptable sewers would apply
- We will likely need to have the diversion proposals modelled which can take up to 6 months (following the formal S185 applications) in order to confirm the diversion proposals are acceptable. This is because the diversions appear to be reducing the amount of cover on the public sewers, and also because the northern site appears to be joining two runs into one.
- The S185 applications will need to include evidence (e.g. cross sections) confirming no loading from any structures/retaining walls will be placed onto the public sewers where retaining walls are close to the sewers, the wall foundation should go below the public sewer.
- The very first combined manholes on the diversion routes for both sites appear to be squeezed between two retaining walls? The easement widths do not appear to be achieved here. Can the layout be amended to ensure easement is achieved between retaining walls UU must be able to access and carry out maintenance activities on the manholes
- Please provide confirmation/demonstration within the future S185 applications of how UU will access all manholes along the diversion routes and maintain the assets
- Regarding the southern sections of surface water sewers within the southern site it is unclear what is
 occurring for both the existing and proposed arrangements (appears to be two opposite directions of flows
 from the same point?)
- We would need full clarity/evidence of what the existing lines to be abandoned/diverted are serving.

Please refer to the links below to obtain full details of the processes involved with sewer diversions and abandonments:

https://www.unitedutilities.com/builders-developers/larger-developments/wastewater/sewer-diversions/ https://www.unitedutilities.com/builders-developers/wastewater-services/close-a-sewer/sewer-closures/

Existing Water Assets Crossing the Site

It is the developer responsibility to identify utilities on-site. Where clean water assets are shown on our records, we recommend that you contact our Water Pre-Development Team, via the following email address: <u>DeveloperServicesWater@uuplc.co.uk</u>. Further information for this service can be found on our website via the link below:

https://www.unitedutilities.com/builders-developers/larger-developments/pre-development/water-pre-dev/

Connection Application

Although we may discuss and agree discharge points and rates in principle, please be aware that you will have to apply for a formal sewer connection. This is so that we can assess the method of construction, Health & Safety requirements and to ultimately inspect the connection when it is made. Details of the application process and the form itself can be obtained from our website by following the link below:

https://www.unitedutilities.com/builders-developers/wastewater-services/sewer-connections/sewerconnection/

We recommend that the detailed design should confirm the locations of all utilities in the area and ensure that any proposed drainage solution considers routing and clash checks where required.

If we can be of any further assistance please don't hesitate to contact us further.

Sewer Adoptions

You have indicated on your application form that you intend to put the sewers forward for adoption, however we would not be willing to adopt the proposed assets as these are all within private land.

Many thanks and kind regards,

Tom

Thomas Bethell Developer Engineer Developer Services & Metering Customer Services M: 07880 339 195 unitedutilities.com

If you have received a great service today why not tell us? Visit: <u>unitedutilities.com/wow</u>

Good Morning,

We have been asked to undertake some feasibility studies for a foul and surface water drainage strategy for a proposed development at Windermere Road and Fell View Avenue, in the Woodhouse area of Whitehaven, Cumbria.

This pre-development enquiry follows on from a pre-development Teams meeting with your Developer Services Engineer Tom Bethell held on the 27/06/22 for reference.

Ground Investigation has been conducted at the site and we are still awaiting the finalised report which can be forwarded in due course. In summary, the investigations found the site to be underlain with firm to stiff clays, with falling head permeability testing proving an infiltration-based strategy is not viable at this location.

This proposed development is expected to comprise of 40 dwellings, and it is anticipated the development drainage will remain private.

An assumed foul flow rate of 1.85 l/s has been calculated for the proposed development on this basis using the latest Design and Construction Guidance for Foul and Surface Water Sewers. Due to space constraints, it is proposed to attenuate surface water runoff using a series of SuDs features with controlled discharge to the existing public surface water sewers restricted to match existing greenfield runoff rates.

Indicative Foul and Surface water connection locations are shown on the attached Draft Proposed Drainage Plans Nos. 105 - 108.

The site is in the location of long since demolished terraced housing and is now considered greenfield for planning

purposes, however a number of public combined and surface water sewers are still present in the area, which will require either abandonment or diversion to facilitate the new development.

We have therefore included Draft Sewer Diversion and Abandonment plans for information (Drawing Nos. 109 - 112).

Please could you advise whether the above proposals are acceptable in principle to UU?

Best regards,

Chris Abram BEng(Hons) GMICE Engineer

RG Parkins & Partners Ltd is a private limited company registered in England & Wales. Registered office: Meadowside, Shap Road, Kendal, Cumbria, LA9 6NY. Registration No. 04107150

The content of this email is confidential and intended for the recipient specified in message only. It is strictly forbidden to share any part of this message with any third party, without a written consent of the sender. If you received this message by mistake, please reply to this message and follow with its deletion, so that we can ensure such a mistake does not occur in the future.

The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the individual to whom it is addressed. It may contain legally privileged or confidential information or otherwise be exempt from disclosure. If you have received this Message in error or there are any problems, please notify the sender immediately and delete the message from your computer. You must not use, disclose, copy or alter this message for any unauthorised purpose. Neither United Utilities Group PLC nor any of its subsidiaries will be liable for any direct, special, indirect or consequential damages as a result of any virus being passed on, or arising from the alteration of the contents of this message by a third party.

United Utilities Group PLC, Haweswater House, Lingley Mere Business Park, Lingley Green Avenue, Great Sankey, Warrington, WA5 3LP Registered in England and Wales. Registered No 6559020

www.unitedutilities.com/subsidiaries

APPENDIX C

CALCULATIONS

PRE-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF CALCULATIONS

MICRODRAINAGE SOURCE CONTROL RESULTS

Page | 32

	Wallingford Runoff	Job Number K39443	Page Number 1 of 6
97 King Street Lancaster LA1 1RH	Estimation	Calc by JB	Check by RH
Email: office@rgparkinslancaster.co.uk	Windermere Road	Date	Revised
	Whitehaven	10/06/2022	-

DESIGN BASIS MEMORANDUM - PEAK RATE OF RUN-OFF CALCULATION

<u>Design Brief</u>

The following peak rate of run-off calculations have been undertaken to determine changes in peak flow resulting from the development of a greenfield or brownfield site. These calculations are for the **Peak Rate of Run-Off** requirements only.

Background Information & References

The site area **is less than** 200ha and the Greenfield (pre-development) calculation has been undertaken in accordance with methodology described by Marshall & Bayliss, Institute of Hydrology, Report No. 124, Flood Estimation for Small Catchments, 1994 (IoH 124).

In addition, the following references have been used in the preparation of these calculations:

- Interim Code of Practice for Sustainable Drainage Systems (SUDS), CIRIA, 2004
- CIRIA, The SUDS Manual, Report C753, 2015
- Designing for Exceedance in Urban Drainage good practice, CIRIA Report C635, 2006
- Flood Estimation Handbook (FEH)
- Flood Studies Report (FSR), Volume 1, Hydrological Studies, 1993
- Flood Studies Supplementary Report No 2 (FSSR2), The Estimation of Low Return Period Floods
- Flood Studies Supplementary Report No 14 (FSSR14), Review of Regional Growth Curves, 1983
- Planning Practice guidance of the National Planning Policy Framework, Recommended national precautionary sensitivity ranges for peak rainfall intensities, peak river flows, offshore wind speeds and wave heights.

Proposed Land Use Changes

Changes to the existing site are as follows:

Greenfield Site to Brownfield Site

Results Summary

Rate of Run-Off (I/s)						
Event	Greenfield					
Q1	2.5					
QBAR	2.9					
Q10	4.0					
Q30	4.9					
Q100	6.0					
Q100 + 40% CC	8.4					

D		Wallingford Runoff	Job Number K39443	Page Number 2 of 6
K	97 King Street Lancaster LA1 1RH Tel:01524 32548	Estimation	Calc by JB	Check by RH
	Email: office@rgparkinslancaster.co.uk	Windermere Road	Date	Revised
		Whitehaven	10/06/2022	-

SITE AREAS (LAND COVER AREAS)

Existing Impermeable & Permeable Land Cover

Total Site Area:

0.71494 ha

7149.4 m²	7149.4	m²
-----------	--------	----

Existing Impermeable & Permeable Land Cover

Land Cover	Are	a	Percentage of total site area	
	m²	ha		
Total impermeable area	0.0	0.000	0%	
Remaining permeable area	7149.4	0.715	100%	

Proposed Land Cover Areas

Land Covor	Are	a	Percentage of total site	
	m²	ha	area	
Total roof area	1432.0	0.143	20%	
Total parking area	1033.5	0.103	14%	
Total road area	0.0	0.000	0%	
Total hardstanding area	1055.1	0.106	15%	
Garden & landscaped areas	3628.8	0.363	51%	

Proposed Impermeable & Permeable Land Cover

Land Cover	Are	a	Percentage of total site	
	m²	ha	area	
Total impermeable area	3520.6	0.352	49%	
Remaining permeable area	3628.8	0.363	51%	

				Job Number	Page Number					
DG	DADKNC	Walling	ord Runoff	K39443	3 of 6					
R U	FARNING	Esti	mation	Calc by	Check by					
Email	Tel:01524 32548	Windor	more Read	JB	KH					
Cinan	. once@rgparkinsiancaster.co.uk	Whi	tehaven	10/06/2022	revised					
			tonavon	10,00,2022						
ESTIMATION OF QBAR (RURAL) (GREENFIELD RUNOFF RATE)										
loH 124 base	ed on research on small catchmer	nts < 25 km2								
Method is ba using catchn	Method is based on regression analysis of response times using catchments from 0.9 to 22.9 km ²									
QBAR _{rural} QBAR _{rural}	is mean annual flood on rural cat depends on SOIL, SAAR and AR	chment EA most siç	nificantly							
QBAR _{rural}	= 0.00108	3 x AREA ^{0.89}	x SAAR ^{1.17} x	SOIL ^{2.17}						
For SOIL ref	er to FSR Vol 1, Section 4.2.3 and	4.2.6 and I	oH 124							
Contributing	watershed area									
Area, A	=	500000	m ²	insert 50 ha for EA						
	=	= 0.500 = 50.000	km² ha	small catchment m	ethod					
SAAR	=	1102	mm	From FEH Web Se	ervice (point data)					
Soil index ba	ised on soil type, SOIL		= <u>(0.1S1+0.3</u> (S1+	3S2+0.37S3+0.47S4 S2+S3+S4+S5)	+0.53S5)					
Where:	S1 =	-	%							
	S2 =	=	%							
	S3 =	100	%	UK Suds website p	rovides a value of 4					
	S5 =	100	%	seems reasonable	based on ground					
		100	%	investigation.	0					
So,	SOIL =	0.47								
Note: for ver	y small catchments it is far better	to rely on loc	cal site investi	gation information.						
QBAR _{rural}	=	0.410	m ³ /s							
	=	410.5	l/s							
Small rural catchments less than 50 ha The Environment Agency recommends that this method should be used for development sizes from 0 to 50 ha and should linearly interpolate the formula to 50 ha.										
So catching	nt size -	3501	m ²	Evoluding signifi	nt opon opoco which					
So, catchine		0.004	km ²	Excluding signification would remain disco	ni open space which					
	=	= 0.352	ha	positive drainage s	ystem during flood					
QBAR	-	- 0.00280	m ³ /s	events.						
" trurai site	=	= 2.89	l/s							

		Wallingford Runoff		Job Number K39443	Page Number 4 of 6	
	PARN		Estimation		Calc by	Check by
Email	Tel:01524 32548 Email: office@rgparkinslancaster.co.uk		Winderme Whitel	ere Road naven	Date 10/06/2022	Revised -
GREENFIEL	D RETURN PERIOD O	RDINATE	8			
				<u> </u>		
QBAR can b	e factored by the UK FS Is to obtain peak flow es	R regional	growth curve required retu	s for return Irn periods	periods <2 years a	nd for all other
roturn ponou			roquirou rotu	in ponodo.		
These regior	nal growth curves are co	nstant thro	oughout a regi	on, whateve	er the catchment ty	pe and size.
Os a Tabla O					Defenses Dr. 47	
See Table 2.	.39 for region curve ordi	nates ate Obar			Reference- Pg 17	3-FSR V.1, ch 2.6.2
0361 001/2	Growin Guives to estim	ale Quai				
Region	=	10			Use Figure A1.1 t	o determine region
			-			
			-0			
GREENFIEL	D RETURN PERIOD F	LOW RAT	<u> </u>			
	Return Period	Ordinate	Q (I/s)	1		
	1	0.87	2.51	Ordinate fr	om FSSR2	
	2	0.93	2.69			
	5	1.19	3.44	-		
	25	1.38	3.99	-		
	30	1.7	4.91			
	50	1.85	5.35			
	100	2.08	6.01			
	200	2.32	6.71			
	500	2.73	7.89		Interpolation take	on from Figure 24.2 (ng
	1000	3.04	0.79		515) S	SuDS Manual

	Wallingford Runoff	Job Number K39443	Page Number 5 of 6
97 King Street Lancaster LA1 1RH	Estimation	Calc by JB	Check by RH
Tel:01524-32548 Email: office@rgparkinslancaster.co.uk	Windermere Road Whitehaven	Date 10/06/2022	Revised -
ESTIMATE OF BROWNFIELD RUNOFF			
Total site impermeable area, A =	3521 m ²		
M5-60 rainfall depth Ratio M5-60/M5-2Day, r	20 mm 0.30	[Flood Studies Rep [The Wallingford P Modified Rational I (Hydraulics Resea	port (NERC, 1975)] Proceedure - V4 Method, Fig A.2 Irch, 1983)]
Storm Duration	15 mins	Anticipated critical usually 15 minutes	duration for the site -
Duration factor, Z1	0.59	[The Wallingford P Modified Rational I (Hydraulics Resea	Proceedure - V4 Method, Fig A.3b rch, 1983)]
M5-15 rainfall depth =	11.8 mm		
Return per M1-15 M10-15 M30-15 M100-15	riod ratio, Z2 0.61 1.23 1.50 1.94	[The Wallingford P Modified Rational I (Hydraulics Resea	Proceedure - V4 Method, Table A1 Irch, 1983)]
	Rainfall Denth Intensity i		
M1-15 M10-15 M30-15 M100-15	Intensity, 1 (mm) (mm/hr) 7.2 29 14.5 58 17.8 71 22.9 92		
Peak discharge, Qp =	Cv Cr i A		
Where: Cv = Cr = i =	Volumetric Runoff Coeffic Routing Coefficient Rainfall intensity (mm/ho	cient ur)	
Cv = Cr =	0.95		
Peak Q1 Q10 Q30 Q100	Runoff I/s 35.0 70.0 85.8 110.7		

		Job Number	Page Number
RGPARKINS	Wallingford Runoff	K39443	6 01 6
97 King Street Lancaster LA1 1RH	LStination	JB	RH
Tel:01524 32548 Email: office@rgparkinslancaster.co.uk	Windermere Road	Date	Revised
	Whitehaven	10/06/2022	-
		-	•
ESTIMATION OF QBAR (BROWNFIELD RUN	IOFF RATE)	Poforonoo Da 17	2 ESP V (1 ab) 6 0
Use FSSR2 Growth Curves to estimate Qbar		Relefence- Fy 17.	J-FOR V. I, CII 2.0.2
Region =	10	Use Figure A1.1 to	o determine region
Return	Ordinata		
		Ordinate from ESS	SR2
2	0.93	ordinate from roc	
5	1.19		
10	1.38		
25	1.64		
30	1.70		
100	2.08		
200	2.32		
500	2.73		
1000	3.04	Interpolation take	en from Figure 24.2 (pg
		515) S	uDS Manual
C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Obar		
Ordinate used	l/s		
10 year	50.7		
30 year	50.5		
100 year	53.2		
Proposed Brownfield Runoff, Qbar =	51.47 l/s	Using the average	e Qbar
		derived from three	<u>;</u>
		UIUIIIales.	

R G PARKINS	Wallingford Runoff	Job Number K39225	Page Number 1 of 6
	Estimation	Calc by CA	Check by TM
Email: office@rgparkinslancaster.co.uk	Fell View Avenue	Date	Revised
	Whitehaven	08/07/2022	-

DESIGN BASIS MEMORANDUM - PEAK RATE OF RUN-OFF CALCULATION

<u>Design Brief</u>

The following peak rate of run-off calculations have been undertaken to determine changes in peak flow resulting from the development of a greenfield or brownfield site. These calculations are for the **Peak Rate of Run-Off** requirements only.

Background Information & References

The site area **is less than** 200ha and the Greenfield (pre-development) calculation has been undertaken in accordance with methodology described by Marshall & Bayliss, Institute of Hydrology, Report No. 124, Flood Estimation for Small Catchments, 1994 (IoH 124).

In addition, the following references have been used in the preparation of these calculations:

- Interim Code of Practice for Sustainable Drainage Systems (SUDS), CIRIA, 2004
- CIRIA, The SUDS Manual, Report C753, 2015
- Designing for Exceedance in Urban Drainage good practice, CIRIA Report C635, 2006
- Flood Estimation Handbook (FEH)
- Flood Studies Report (FSR), Volume 1, Hydrological Studies, 1993
- Flood Studies Supplementary Report No 2 (FSSR2), The Estimation of Low Return Period Floods
- Flood Studies Supplementary Report No 14 (FSSR14), Review of Regional Growth Curves, 1983
- Planning Practice guidance of the National Planning Policy Framework, Recommended national precautionary sensitivity ranges for peak rainfall intensities, peak river flows, offshore wind speeds and wave heights.

Proposed Land Use Changes

Changes to the existing site are as follows:

Greenfield Site to Brownfield Site

Results Summary

Rate of Run-Off (I/s)					
Event	Greenfield				
Q1	2.5				
QBAR	2.9				
Q10	4.0	_			
Q30	4.9				
Q100	6.0				
Q100 + 40% CC	8.4				

R G PARKINS 97 King Street Lancaster LA1 1RH Tel:01524 32548 Email: office@rgparkinslancaster.co.uk		Wallingford Runoff	Job Number K39225	Page Number 2 of 6
	97 King Street Lancaster LA1 1RH Tel:01524 32548	Estimation	Calc by CA	Check by TM
	Fell View Avenue	Date	Revised	
		Whitehaven	08/07/2022	-

SITE AREAS (LAND COVER AREAS)

Existing Impermeable & Permeable Land Cover

Total Site Area:

0.58489 ha

5848.9	m²
--------	----

Τ_

Existing Impermeable & Permeable Land Cover

Land Cover	Are	a	Percentage of total site area	
	m²	ha		
Total impermeable area	0.0	0.000	0%	
Remaining permeable area	5848.9	0.585	100%	

Proposed Land Cover Areas

Land Covor	Are	a	Percentage of total site	
Lanu Cover	m²	ha	area	
Total roof area	1248.4	0.125	21%	
Total parking area	1083.4	0.108	19%	
Total road area (Existing)	0.0	0.000	0%	
Total hardstanding area	1177.7	0.118	20%	
Garden & landscaped areas	2339.4	0.234	40%	

Proposed Impermeable & Permeable Land Cover

Land Cover	Are	a	Percentage of total site
Lanu Cover	m²	ha	area
Total impermeable area	3509.5	0.351	60%
Remaining permeable area	2339.4	0.234	40%

				Job Number	Page Number				
RG	PARKINS	Wallingford Runoff Estimation		K39225	3 of 6				
97 K	ing Street Lancaster LA1 1RH	Lotin	lation	Calc by CA	Спеск by ТМ				
Email	Tel:01524 32548 : office@rgparkinslancaster.co.uk	Fell Viev	v Avenue	Date	Revised				
		White	haven	08/07/2022	-				
ESTIMATIO	ESTIMATION OF QBAR (RURAL) (GREENFIELD RUNOFF RATE)								
IoH 124 base	IoH 124 based on research on small catchments < 25 km2								
Method is based on regression analysis of response times using catchments from 0.9 to 22.9 km ²									
QBAR _{rural} QBAR _{rural}	is mean annual flood on rural cate depends on SOIL, SAAR and AR	chment EA most sign	ificantly						
QBAR _{rural}	= 0.00108	x AREA ^{0.89} x	SAAR ^{1.17} x	SOIL ^{2.17}					
For SOIL ref	er to FSR Vol 1, Section 4.2.3 and	4.2.6 and lol	H 124						
Contributing	watershed area		0						
Area, A	=	500000	m^2 km^2	insert 50 ha for EA	athad				
	=	50.000	ha	small catchment m	ethod				
SAAR	=	1102	mm	From FEH Web Se	ervice (point data)				
Soil index ba	ised on soil type, SOIL	:	= <u>(0.1S1+0.3</u> (S1+	<u>882+0.3783+0.4784</u> 82+83+84+85)	+0.53S5)				
Where:	S1 =		%						
	S2 =		%						
	S3 =	100	%	UK Suds website p based on the equiv	rovides a value of 4 value. This				
	S5 =		%	seems reasonable	based on ground				
		100	%	investigation.					
So,	SOIL =	0.47							
Note: for ver	y small catchments it is far better t	o rely on loca	ıl site investiç	gation information.					
			37						
QBAR _{rural}	=	0.410 410.5	m°/s I/s						
Small rural catchments less than 50 ha The Environment Agency recommends that this method should be used for development sizes from 0 to 50 ha and should linearly interpolate the formula to 50 ha.									
So, catchme	nt size =	3510	m ²	Excluding significat	nt open space which				
,	=	0.004	km ²	would remain disco	onnected from the				
	=	0.351	ha	positive drainage s	ystem during flood				
QBAR _{rural site}	=	0.00288	m³/s						
	=	2.88	l/s						

R G 97 KI Email	PARRK ing Street Lancaster LA1 1RI Tel:01524 32548 : office@rgparkinslancaster.co.t	NS ¹	Wallingfor Estim Fell View Whitel	rd Runoff ation Avenue naven	Job Number K39225 Calc by CA Date 08/07/2022	Page Number 4 of 6 Check by TM Revised -
GREENFIEL	D RETURN PERIOD O	RDINATES	<u>6</u>			
QBAR can b return period	e factored by the UK FS Is to obtain peak flow es	R regional timates for	growth curve required retu	s for return rn periods.	periods <2 years a	nd for all other
These regior	nal growth curves are co	nstant thro	oughout a regi	on, whateve	er the catchment ty	pe and size.
See Table 2. Use FSSR2	39 for region curve ordin Growth Curves to estima	nates ate Qbar			Reference- Pg 17	'3-FSR V.1, ch 2.6.2
Region	=	10]		Use Figure A1.1 t	o determine region
GREENFIEL	Return Period 1 2 5 10 25 30 50	Ordinate 0.87 0.93 1.19 1.38 1.64 1.7 1.85 2.00	Q (I/s) 2.51 2.68 3.43 3.98 4.73 4.90 5.33	Ordinate fr	om FSSR2	
	200	2.08	5.99 6.68	-		
	500 1000	2.73 3.04	7.87 8.76		Interpolation take 515) S	en from Figure 24.2 (pg SuDS Manual

R G PARKINS 97 King Street Lancaster LA1 1RH Tel:01524 32548 Email: office@rgparkInslancaster.co.uk	Wallingford Runoff Estimation Fell View Avenue	Job Number K39225 Calc by CA Date	Page Number 5 of 6 Check by TM Revised
	vvnitenaven	08/07/2022	-
ESTIMATE OF BROWNFIELD RUNOFF			
Total site impermeable area, A =	3510 m ²		
M5-60 rainfall depth Ratio M5-60/M5-2Day, r	17 mm 0.30	[Flood Studies Re _l [The Wallingford F Modified Rational (Hydraulics Resea	port (NERC, 1975)] Proceedure - V4 Method, Fig A.2 arch, 1983)]
Storm Duration	15 mins	Anticipated critical usually 15 minutes	duration for the site -
Duration factor, Z1	0.59	[The Wallingford F Modified Rational (Hvdraulics Resea	Proceedure - V4 Method, Fig A.3b arch. 1983)1
M5-15 rainfall depth =	10.0 mm	(1) 41 441100 1 10004	
Return pe M1-15 M10-15 M30-15 M100-15	0.61 1.22 1.49 1.91	[The Wallingford F Modified Rational (Hydraulics Resea	Proceedure - V4 Method, Table A1 arch, 1983)]
	Rainfall	1	
M1-15 M10-15 M30-15 M100-15	Depth Intensity, I (mm) (mm/hr) 6.1 24 12.2 49 14.9 60 19.2 77		
Peak discharge, Qp =	Cv Cr i A		
Where: Cv = Cr = i =	Volumetric Runoff Coeffi Routing Coefficient Rainfall intensity (mm/ho	cient ur)	
Cr =	1.3		
Pea Q1 Q10 Q30 Q100	Runoff 1/s 29.5 58.9 72.0 92.3		

	Wallingford Runoff	Job Number K39225	Page Number 6 of 6
97 King Street Lancaster LA1 1RH	Estimation	Calc by CA	Check by TM
Email: office@rgparkinslancaster.co.uk	Fell View Avenue Whitehaven	Date 08/07/2022	Revised -
ESTIMATION OF QBAR (BROWNFIELD RUN See Table 2.39 for region curve ordinates Use FSSR2 Growth Curves to estimate Qbar Region =	IOFF RATE) 10	Reference- Pg 17 Use Figure A1.1 t	3-FSR V.1, ch 2.6.2 o determine region
Return Period 1 2 5 10 25 30 50 100 200 500 1000	Ordinate 0.87 0.93 1.19 1.38 1.64 1.70 1.85 2.08 2.32 2.73 3.04	Ordinate from FS	SR2
Ordinate used 10 year 30 year 100 year	2bar 1/s 42.7 42.3 44.4	515) 5	
Proposed Brownfield Runoff, Qbar =	43.13 l/s	Using the average derived from three ordinates.	e Qbar e

R G Parkins & Partne	ers Ltd							Page 1
Meadowside								
Sharp Road Kendal								Contraction of the
Cumbria LA9 6NY								Merce
	6		Dogiar	ad br	, Charle 7	haam		- MICLO
Date 08/07/2022 15:	00		Design		y CHILLS F	ADLalli		Drainage
File 1-22.SRCX			Checke					
XP Solutions			Source	e Cont	trol 2020	0.1.3		
Summary	of Resul	ts fo	r 100	year	Return 1	Period	(+40%)	
								-
	Hal	f Drai	n Time	: 933	minutes.			
Storm	Max	Max	Max		Max	Max	Max	Status
Event	Level D	epth I	nfiltra	ation	Control E	Outflow	Volume	
	(m)	(m)	(l/s)	(l/s)	(l/s)	(m³)	
15 min Gumma	- 00 400 0	240		0 0	1 5	1 5	70 4	0 17
15 min Summer	- 90.490 0 - 90 507 0	.⊿4U 337		0.0	1.5 1.8	1.5 1 0	/9.4 111 ⊑	OK
60 min Summer	- 90.387 U	450		0.0	⊥.o 2 1	1.0 2 1	148 9	0 K
120 min Summer	- 90 782 0	532		0.0	2.1	2.⊥ 2.2	176 0	O K
180 min Summer	- 90 831 0	581		0.0	2.3	∠.3 2.4	192 0	O K
240 min Summer	~ 90.851 0	614		0.0	2.4	2.1	202.0	O K O K
360 min Gummon	~ 90 903 0	653		0.0	2.7	2.4	215 0	O K
	- 90.903 0	674		0.0	2.5	2.5	213.9	OK
400 min Summer	~ 90.924 0	684		0.0	2.0	2.0	222.0	O K
	~ 90.934 0	690		0.0	2.0	2.0	220.1	OK
960 min Summer	~ 90.940 0	696		0.0	2.0	2.0	220.0	O K
	~ 00.940 0	609		0.0	2.0	2.0	230.2	OK
2160 min Summon	- 90.948 0	690		0.0	2.0	2.0	230.0	OK
2100 min Summo	-90.9390	.009		0.0	2.0	2.0	227.7	OK
4320 min Summer	~ 90 881 0	631		0.0	2.0	2.0	208 7	O K O K
5760 min Summer	~ 90 844 0	594		0.0	2.5	2.5	196 3	O K O K
	~ 00.0110	562		0.0	2.1	2.1	105 0	OK
8640 min Summer	~ 90.012 0	535		0.0	2.5	2.5	176 9	O K O K
10080 min Summer	~ 90 762 0	512		0.0	2.5	2.5	169 3	0 K
15 min Winter	90.519 0	.269		0.0	1.6	1.6	88.9	O K
		. 205		0.0	1.0	1.0	00.9	0 11
	Storm	Ra	ain Fl	Looded	Discharge	a Time-Pe	eak	
	Event	(mm	/hr) V	olume	Volume	(mins)	
		•		(m ³)	(m ³)	•		
				-	-			
1	5 min Summ	er 117	.942	0.0	71.6	5	23	
3	0 min Summ	er 83	.377	0.0	98.7	1	37	
6	0 min Summ	er 56	.324	0.0	149.4	ł	66	
12	0 min Summ	er 34	.110	0.0	180.9) [L26	
18	0 min Summ	er 25	.392	0.0	201.8	3	L84	
24	U min Summ	er 20	.570	0.0	217.5) 2	244	
36	0 min Summ	er 15	.250	0.0	240.5	5	362	
48	0 min Summ	er 12	.319	0.0	257.2	2	182	
60	U min Summ	er 10	.432	0.0	269.8	3	596	
72	U min Summ	er 9	.104	0.0	279.2	. 6	544	
96	u min Summ	er 7	.338	0.0	291.8	5	/62	
144	u min Summ	er 5	.413	0.0	302.8	s 10	122	
216	u min Summ	er 4	.012	0.0	391.1	. 14	±32	
288	u min Summ	er 3	.254	0.0	422.2		548	
432	u min Summ	er 2	.430	0.0	466.4	E 26	D/b	
576	o min Summ	er 1	.90/	0.0	519./	غ م	±04	
/20	0 min Summ	er 1 or 1	./⊥⊥ ⊑ЭЭ	0.0	559.2	5 42) F	440 016	
864	0 min Summ	er 1	202	0.0	270.2 601 0	יים אין	750 750	
1 1	5 min Win+	er 117	942	0.0	70 0	, D.	22	
1 ±		/		0.0				

©1982-2020 Innovyze

R G Parkins & Partners Ltd							Page 2		
Meadowside								1	
Sharp Road Kendal									
Cumbria LA9 6NY								Micco	100
Date $08/07/2022$ 15:5	56		Degi	aned h	v Chrig Z	hram			
Date 00/07/2022 13:	Dest	lead by	y CIILIS P			Drain	age		
FILE I-22.SRCX			Chec	кеа ру					
XP Solutions			Sour	ce Cont	trol 2020).1.3			
Summary	of Resu	ults f	or 10	00 year	Return 1	Period	(+40%)		
Storm	Max	Max	м	ax	Max	Max	Max	Status	
Event	Level	Depth	Intil	ration	Control Σ	Outilow	Volume		
	(m)	(m)	(1	/\$)	(1/8)	(1/8)	(ш)		
30 min Winter	90.628	0.378		0.0	1.9	1.9	125.1	ОК	
60 min Winter	90.755	0.505		0.0	2.2	2.2	167.1	ΟK	
120 min Winter	90.849	0.599		0.0	2.4	2.4	197.9	ОК	
180 min Winter	90.904	0.654		0.0	2.5	2.5	216.3	ΟK	
240 min Winter	90.942	0.692		0.0	2.6	2.6	228.8	O K	
360 min Winter	90.989	0.739		0.0	2.7	2.7	244.4	O K	
480 min Winter	91.016	0.766		0.0	2.7	2.7	253.1	O K	
600 min Winter	91.030	0.780		0.0	2.8	2.8	258.0	O K	
720 min Winter	91.038	0.788		0.0	2.8	2.8	260.5	O K	
960 min Winter	91.040	0.790		0.0	2.8	2.8	261.1	ОК	
1440 min Winter	91.038	0.788		0.0	2.8	2.8	260.5	ОК	
2160 min Winter	91.016	0.766		0.0	2.7	2.7	253.4	ОК	
2880 min Winter	90.986	0.736		0.0	2.7	2.7	243.3	ОК	
4320 min Winter	90.918	0.668		0.0	2.6	2.6	221.0	ОК	
5760 min Winter	90.858	0.608		0.0	2.4	2.4	201.0	OK	
7200 min Winter	90.807	0.55/		0.0	2.3	2.3	184.3	ОК	
8640 min Winter	90.765	0.515		0.0	2.2	2.2	1 - 0 - 4	0 K	
10080 min winter	90.730	0.480		0.0	2.2	2.2	128./	ΟK	
	Storm	F	Rain	Flooded	Discharge	e Time-Pe	eak		
	Event	(m	m/hr)	Volume	Volume	(mins)		
				(m ³)	(m ³)				
3() min Wir	nter 8	3.377	0.0	108.5	5	37		
6) min Wir	nter 5	6.324	0.0	167 4	ļ	66		
120) min Wir	nter 3	4.110	0.0	202	- 5 1	L24		
180) min Wir	nter 2	5.392	0.0	225.5	5 1	L82		
240) min Wir	nter 2	0.570	0.0	242.7	1 2	240		
360) min Wir	nter 1	5.250	0.0	267.7	7 3	354		
480) min Wir	nter 1	2.319	0.0	285.1	4	168		
600) min Wir	nter 1	0.432	0.0	297.5	5 5	578		
720) min Wir	nter	9.104	0.0	306.9) 6	584		
960) min Wir	nter	7.338	0.0	319.5	5 8	306		
1440) min Wir	nter	5.413	0.0	329.8	3 10	88		
2160) min Wir	nter	4.012	0.0	438.1	. 15	556		
2880) min Wir	nter	3.254	0.0	472.7	19	992		
4320) min Wir	nter	2.430	0.0	518.9	9 28	356		
5760) min Wir	nter	1.987	0.0	582.2	2 36	88		
7200) min Wir	nter	1.711	0.0	626.4	£ 44	172		
8640) min Wir	iter	1.522	0.0	667.9	y 52	2/2		
10080	u min Wir	icer	1.383	0.0	/07.1	- 60	148		
		©198	32-20	20 Inno	ovyze				
L									

R G Parkins & Partners Ltd		Page 3
Meadowside		
Sharp Road Kendal		
Cumbria LA9 6NY		Micco
Date 08/07/2022 15:56	Designed by Chris Abram	Designed
File 1-22.SRCX	Checked by	Drainage
XP Solutions	Source Control 2020.1.3	
Ra	infall Details	
Rainfall Mode	el FEH	
Return Period (years	s) 100 2012	
Site Locatio	on GB 297201 516096 NX 97201 16096	
Data Tyr	pe Point	
Summer Storr	ns Yes	
Winter Storr	ns Yes	
Cv (Summer Cv (Winter	r) 0.840	
Shortest Storm (mins	s) 15	
Longest Storm (mins	s) 10080	
Climate Change	* +40	
Tin	ne Area Diagram	
Tota	al Area (ha) 0.364	
Time (mins)	Area Time (mins) Area	
FIOM. 10.		
0 4	4 0.182 4 8 0.182	
©198	32-2020 Innovyze	

R G Parkins & Partners Ltd		Page 4			
Meadowside					
Sharp Road Kendal					
Cumbria LA9 6NY		Micro			
Date 08/07/2022 15:56	Designed by Chris Abram	Drainago			
File 1-22.SRCX	Checked by	Drainage			
XP Solutions	Source Control 2020.1.3				
Model Details					

Storage is Online Cover Level (m) 91.650

Cellular Storage Structure

Invert Level (m) 90.250 Safety Factor 2.0 Infiltration Coefficient Base (m/hr) 0.00000 Porosity 0.95 Infiltration Coefficient Side (m/hr) 0.00000

Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²) Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²)

0.000	348.0	0.0	0.801	0.0	0.0
0.800	348.0	0.0			

Orifice Outflow Control

Diameter (m) 0.039 Discharge Coefficient 0.600 Invert Level (m) 90.250

R G Parkins & Partne	ers Ltd						Page 1	
Meadowside								٦
Sharp Road Kendal								
Cumbria LA9 6NY							Micco	
Date 11/07/2022 11:2	25	Desi	aned b	v Chris A	bram			
File Plots $23-28$ Per	Chec	ked by				Urainago	2	
VD Colutions	A. SICA	Cirec	Red by	+ 2020	1 2			
XP Solutions		Sour	rce con	trol 2020	.1.3			
G		£ 1 ((, 109.)		
Summary	OI RESULLS	TOT I	JU year	Recurii E	Period	(+403)		
	Half D	rain Ti	me : 899	minutes.				
Ch ann				New	Norr	Nor	Chaburg	
Event	Max Max	n h Tnfil	tration	Max Control S	Max Outflow	Max	Status	
livenc	(m) (m)	 ()	./s)	(1/s)	(1/s)	(m ³)		
	((_/_/	(_/~/	()		
15 min Summer	95.730 0.24	0	0.0	0.6	0.6	29.6	O K	
30 min Summer	95.827 0.33	7	0.0	0.7	0.7	41.6	O K	
60 min Summer	95.940 0.45	0	0.0	0.8	0.8	55.5	ОК	
120 min Summer	96.021 0.53	1	0.0	0.9	0.9	65.6	ОК	
180 min Summer	96.069 0.57	9	0.0	0.9	0.9	71.5	OK	
240 min Summer	96.101 0.61	L	0.0	0.9	1.0	/5.5	OK	
480 min Summer	96.140 0.65	0	0.0	1.0	1.0	80.Z	OK	
400 min Summer	96.169 0.67	a a	0.0	1.0	1.0	02.7 83.8	O K O K	
720 min Summer	96 174 0 68	4	0.0	1.0	1.0	84 5	O K O K	
960 min Summer	96.180 0.69	0	0.0	1.0	1.0	85.2	O K	
1440 min Summer	96.180 0.69	0	0.0	1.0	1.0	85.2	O K	
2160 min Summer	96.169 0.67	9	0.0	1.0	1.0	83.8	O K	
2880 min Summer	96.151 0.66	1	0.0	1.0	1.0	81.6	O K	
4320 min Summer	96.109 0.61	9	0.0	0.9	0.9	76.4	ОК	
5760 min Summer	96.071 0.58	1	0.0	0.9	0.9	71.7	O K	
7200 min Summer	96.039 0.54	9	0.0	0.9	0.9	67.8	O K	
8640 min Summer	96.012 0.52	2	0.0	0.9	0.9	64.4	O K	
10080 min Summer	95.989 0.49	9	0.0	0.8	0.8	61.6	O K	
15 min Winter	95.759 0.26	9	0.0	0.6	0.6	33.2	ОК	
	Storm	Rain	Flooded	l Discharge	Time-Pe	ak		
	Event	(mm/hr)	Volume	Volume	(mins))		
			(m³)	(m³)				
15	5 min Summer	117.942	0.0	27.8		23		
30) min Summer	83.377	0.0	37.9		37		
60) min Summer	56.324	0.0	56.6		66		
120) min Summer	34.110	0.0	68.5	1	26		
180) min Summer	25.392	0.0	76.3	1	84		
240) min Summer	20.570	0.0	82.3	2	44		
360) min Summer	15.250	0.0	91.1	3	62		
480	min Summer	10 422	0.0	97.4	4	82		
600) min Summer	10.432 0 101	0.0	102.1 105 6	5	30 20		
) min Summer	9.104 7 228	0.0	, 105.0) 110.4	0 7	52 60		
1 4 4 0) min Summer	,	0.0) 114 7	10	14		
2160) min Summer	4.012	0.0) 146.7	14	32		
2880) min Summer	3.254	0.0	158.5	18	48		
4320) min Summer	2.430	0.0	175.7	26	44		
5760) min Summer	1.987	0.0	194.4	34	56		
7200) min Summer	1.711	0.0	209.2	42	48		

8640 min Summer 1.522 10080 min Summer 1.383

15 min Winter 117.942

0.0

0.0

0.0

©1982-2020 Innovyze

223.1

236.4

31.0

5016

5752

22
R G Parkins & Partne	rs Ltd							Page 2	
Meadowside									
Sharp Road Kendal								4	
Cumbria LA9 6NV									Jun 1
$\frac{11}{12} \frac{11}{12} 11$	F		Dogi	and h	. Ohnia 7	\ brom		MICIC	J
Date 11/07/2022 11.2	5 		Desi		y CHILS P	ADLall		Drain	ane
File Plots 23-28 Rev	A.SRC)	ζ	Chec	ked by					
XP Solutions			Sour	ce Con	trol 2020	0.1.3			
Summary of	of Resu	lts f	or 10	0 year	Return 1	Period	(+40%)		
Storm	Max	Max	м	ax	Max	Max	Max	Status	
Event	Level	Depth	Infilt	ration	Control S	Outflow	Volume		
	(m)	(m)	(1	/s)	(l/s)	(l/s)	(m³)		
20 min Wintor	0 - 0 - 0	0 270		0 0	0 7	0 7	16 7	o v	
50 min Winter	95.808 95.808	0.3/8		0.0	0.7	0.7	40.7	OK	
120 min Winter	95.995	0.505		0.0	0.8	0.0	73 8	0 K	
180 min Winter	96 143	0.557		0.0	1 0	1 0	80 6	O K	
240 min Winter	96 190	0.000		0.0	1.0	1.0	95 C	0 K	
360 min Winter	96.100	0.090		0.0	1.0	1.0	0J.2 00 0	0 K	
480 min Winter	96 252	0.750		0.0	1.0	1.0	94 1	0 K	
600 min Winter	96 266	0.702		0.0	1 1	1 1	95.8	0 K	
720 min Winter	96.272	0.782		0.0	1.1	1.1	96.6	O K	
960 min Winter	96.273	0.783		0.0	1.1	1.1	96.7	O K	
1440 min Winter	96.270	0.780		0.0	1.1	1.1	96.3	O K	
2160 min Winter	96.246	0.756		0.0	1.0	1.0	93.4	ОК	
2880 min Winter	96.214	0.724		0.0	1.0	1.0	89.5	ОК	
4320 min Winter	96.145	0.655		0.0	1.0	1.0	80.9	ОК	
5760 min Winter	96.084	0.594		0.0	0.9	0.9	73.3	ОК	
7200 min Winter	96.033	0.543		0.0	0.9	0.9	67.1	ОК	
8640 min Winter	95.991	0.501		0.0	0.8	0.8	61.9	ОК	
10080 min Winter	95.955	0.465		0.0	0.8	0.8	57.5	ΟK	
	Storm	F	Rain	Flooded	Discharge	e Time-Pe	eak		
	Event	(m	m/hr)	Volume	Volume	(mins)		
				(m³)	(m³)				
30	min Win	iter 8	3.377	0.0	41.4	Ł	37		
60	min Win	iter 5	6.324	0.0	63.3	}	66		
120	min win	ter 3	4.110	0.0	/6.6)) 1	L24		
180	min win	ter 2	5.392	0.0	85.3		182		
240	min Win	tor 1	0.5/0 E 2E0	0.0	91.9 101 3		240		
300	min Win	tor 1	2.230	0.0	101.3		169		
400	min Win	tor 1	2.319 0 432	0.0	112 5	,	100 578		
720	min Win	ter i	9 104	0.0	116 1	, F	584		
960	min Win	ter	7.338	0.0	120.9		794		
1440	min Win	ter	5.413	0.0	124.9) 10	086		
2160	min Win	ter	4.012	0.0	164.3	15	544		
2880	min Win	ter	3.254	0.0	177.4	19	992		
4320	min Win	ter	2.430	0.0	195.4	28	356		
5760	min Win	ter	1.987	0.0	217.7	36	588		
7200	min Win	ter	1.711	0.0	234.3	3 44	172		
8640	min Win	iter	1.522	0.0	249.9	9 52	272		
10080	min Win	iter	1.383	0.0	264.8	60	048		
		@100	22.20	20 T~~-					
		@T96	5Z-ZU	ZO THUC	Jvyze				

R G Parkins & Partners Ltd		Page 3
Meadowside		
Sharp Road Kendal		
Cumbria LA9 6NY		Micco
Date 11/07/2022 11:25	Designed by Chris Abram	
File Plots 23-28 Rev A.SRCX	Checked by	Diginaria
XP Solutions	Source Control 2020.1.3	
Ra	infall Details	
Raintall Mode Return Period (years	el FEH	
FEH Rainfall Versio	on 2013	
Site Locatio	on GB 297201 516096 NX 97201 16096	
Data Tyr Summer Storr	pe Point	
Winter Storr	ns Yes	
Cv (Summer	c) 0.750	
Cv (Winter	c) 0.840	
Longest Storm (mins	s) 10080	
Climate Change	% +40	
<u></u>	ne Area Diagram	
Tota	al Area (ha) 0.136	
Time (mins)	Area Time (mins) Area	
From: To:	(ha) From: To: (ha)	
0		
- U		
©198	32-2020 Innovyze	

R G Parkins & Partners Ltd				Page 4				
Meadowside								
Sharp Road Kendal								
Cumbria LA9 6NY				Micro				
Date 11/07/2022 11:25	Designe	ed by Chri	s Abram	Drainage				
File Plots 23-28 Rev A.SRCX	Checked	d by		brainage				
XP Solutions	Source	Control 2	020.1.3					
	Model De	etails						
Storage is Online Cover Level (m) 96.890								
Cellula	ar Stora	ge Structı	ire					
Inve Infiltration Coefficient Infiltration Coefficient	ert Level Base (m/ Side (m/	(m) 95.490 hr) 0.00000 hr) 0.00000	Safety Facto Porosit	r 2.0 y 0.95				
Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Ar	rea (m²) [epth (m) Ar	ea (m²) Inf.	Area (m²)				
0.000 130.0 0.800 130.0	0.0	0.801	0.0	0.0				
Orifi	ce Outfl	ow Contro	1					

©1982-2020 Innovyze

R G Parkins & Partne	rs Ltd							Page 1
Meadowside								
Sharp Road Kendal								Contraction of the
Cumbria LA9 6NV								
	0		Devi			1		MICLO
Date 11/0//2022 09:4	0		Desi	gnea by	y Chris A	Abram		Drainage
File Plots 29-40.SRC	X		Chec	ked by				Brainage
XP Solutions			Sour	ce Cont	trol 2020).1.3		
Summary of	of Resu	lts f	or 10	0 year	Return H	Period	(+40%)	
	Ha	alf Dra	in Tir	ne : 910	minutes.			
Storm	Max	Max	M	ax	Max	Max	Max	Status
Event	Level	Depth	Infilt	ration	Control S	Outflow	Volume	
	(m)	(m)	(1	/s)	(1/s)	(1/s)	(m ³)	
15 min Summer	95.733	0.243		0.0	1.0	1.0	50.1	ОК
30 min Summer	95.831	0.341		0.0	1.1	1.1	70.4	O K
60 min Summer	95.945	0.455		0.0	1.3	1.3	94.0	O K
120 min Summer	96.028	0.538		0.0	1.4	1.4	111.1	ОК
180 min Summer	96.076	0.586		0.0	1.5	1.5	121.2	O K
240 min Summer	96.109	0.619		0.0	1.6	1.6	127.9	O K
360 min Summer	96.149	0.659		0.0	1.6	1.6	136.1	O K
480 min Summer	96.169	0.679		0.0	1.6	1.6	140.3	ОК
600 min Summer	96.179	0.689		0.0	1.6	1.6	142.3	OK
960 min Summer	96.184 96 191	0.094		0.0	1.7	1.7	143.5	OK
1440 min Summer	96 192	0.701		0.0	1 7	1 7	145 0	O K
2160 min Summer	96.181	0.691		0.0	1.6	1.6	142.8	ОК
2880 min Summer	96.164	0.674		0.0	1.6	1.6	139.3	ОК
4320 min Summer	96.122	0.632		0.0	1.6	1.6	130.6	ОК
5760 min Summer	96.084	0.594		0.0	1.5	1.5	122.7	O K
7200 min Summer	96.051	0.561		0.0	1.5	1.5	116.0	O K
8640 min Summer	96.024	0.534		0.0	1.4	1.4	110.4	O K
10080 min Summer	96.001	0.511		0.0	1.4	1.4	105.6	ОК
15 min Winter	95.762	0.272		0.0	1.0	1.0	56.2	ΟK
	Ctorm	-	ain	Floodod	Diachargo	Time De	-l-	
	Fuent	י הי	m/hr)	Volume	Volume	(ming	ar.	
	Evenc	(10	, III)	(m ³)	(m ³)	(IIIII))	
				((
15	min Sum	mer 11	7.942	0.0	46.2		23	
30	min Sum	mer 8	3.377	0.0	63.3		37	
60	min Sum	mer 5	6.324	0.0	95.1		66	
120	min Sum	mer 3	4.110	0.0	115.1	. 1	26	
180	min Sum	mer 2	5.392	0.0	128.4	. 1	184	
240	min Sum	mer 2	0.5/0 E 2E0	0.0	158.4 152 1	. 4	244	
480	min Sum	mer 1	2 319	0.0	163 7		182	
600	min Sum	mer 1	0.432	0.0	171.6		594	
720	min Sum	mer	9.104	0.0	177.5	6	542	
960	min Sum	mer	7.338	0.0	185.6	5	762	
1440	min Sum	mer	5.413	0.0	192.6	10)16	
2160	min Sum	mer	4.012	0.0	247.7	14	132	
2880	min Sum	mer	3.254	0.0	267.5	18	348	
4320	min Sum	mer	2.430	0.0	296.0	26	576	
5760	min Sum	mer	1.987	0.0	328.6	34	104 10	
/200	min Sum	mer	⊥./⊥⊥ 1 500	0.0	353.6 277 1	42 50	140 116	
10080	min Sum	mer	1.383	0.0	399.3	51	752	
							~~	

©1982-2020 Innovyze

R G Parkins & Partne	rs Ltd							Page	2
Meadowside								0	-
Sharp Road Kendal								1. Contra	
Cumbria LA9 6NY								Micc	
Date 11/07/2022 09:4	0		Desi	aned b	v Chris	Abram			
Filo Diota $29-40$ SPC	v		Choo	kod by		101 ann		Urair	nage
FILE PIOUS 29-40.SRC	Δ		Chec	Keu by	1 000	0 1 0			
XP Solutions			Sour	ce Con	trol 2020	J.1.3			
_									
Summary of Results for 100 year Return Period (+40%)									
Storm	Max	Max	M 	iax	Max	Max	Max	Status	
Event	Level	Deptn (m)	Intil	(a)	Control 2	OUTIIOW	volume		
	(111)	(ш)	(1	/8/	(1/5)	(1/5)	(111-)		
30 min Winter	95.872	0.382		0.0	1.2	1.2	79.0	ОК	
60 min Winter	96.001	0.511		0.0	1.4	1.4	105.5	ОК	
120 min Winter	96.095	0.605		0.0	1.5	1.5	124.9	O K	
180 min Winter	96.151	0.661		0.0	1.6	1.6	136.5	ΟK	
240 min Winter	96.189	0.699		0.0	1.7	1.7	144.3	ΟK	
360 min Winter	96.236	0.746		0.0	1.7	1.7	154.1	ΟK	
480 min Winter	96.262	0.772		0.0	1.7	1.7	159.5	ΟK	
600 min Winter	96.277	0.787		0.0	1.8	1.8	162.5	ОК	
720 min Winter	96.284	0.794		0.0	1.8	1.8	164.0	ОК	
960 min Winter	96.285	0.795		0.0	1.8	1.8	164.3	OK	
1440 min Winter	96.282	0.792		0.0	1.8	1.8	163./	OK	
2100 min Winter	90.200	0.770		0.0	1.7	1./ 1.7	159.1	OK	
4220 min Winter	90.220	0.730		0.0	1.7	1.7	120 2	0 K	
5760 min Winter	96.139	0.009		0.0	1.0	1.0	125 6	0 K	
7200 min Winter	96.047	0.557		0.0	1.5	1.5	115.0	ОК	
8640 min Winter	96.004	0.514		0.0	1.4	1.4	106.2	ОК	
10080 min Winter	95.968	0.478		0.0	1.4	1.4	98.8	ОК	
	Storm	F	Rain	Flooded	Discharge	e Time-Pe	ak		
	Event	(m	m/hr)	Volume	Volume	(mins)		
				(m³)	(m³)				
30	min Wir	iter 8	3.377	0.0	69.3	3	37		
60	min Wir	iter 5	6.324	0.0	106.5	5	66		
120	min Wir	iter 3	4.110	0.0	128.8	3 1	24		
180	min Wir	iter 2	5.392	0.0	143.5	o 1 - ,	182		
240	min Wir	iter 2	0.570	0.0	154.5		44U 0 E 4		
360	min Wir	iter 1	J.45U	0.0	101 C		168		
480	min Wir	iter 1	△.) 19	0.0	101.3 100 1	, 4 [578		
000 720	min Wir	iter I	9,104	0.0	195 1		584		
960	min Wir	iter	7.338	0.0	203.1	- [8	300		
1440	min Wir	iter	5.413	0.0	209.5	7 10	088		
2160	min Wir	iter	4.012	0.0	277.4	1 15	556		
2880	min Wir	iter	3.254	0.0	299.5	5 19	92		
4320	min Wir	iter	2.430	0.0	329.2	2 28	856		
5760	min Wir	iter	1.987	0.0	368.1	L 36	588		
7200	min Wir	lter	1.711	0.0	396.1	L 44	172		
8640	min Wir	iter	1.522	0.0	422.4	1 52	272		
10080	min Wir	lter	1.383	0.0	447.4	1 60	048		
		©198	32-20	20 Inno	ovyze				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									

R G Parkins & Partners Ltd		Page 3
Meadowside		
Sharp Road Kendal		
Cumbria LA9 6NY		Micco
Date 11/07/2022 09:40	Designed by Chris Abram	Dcainago
File Plots 29-40.SRCX	Checked by	Diamaye
XP Solutions	Source Control 2020.1.3	
Ra	infall Details	
Rainfall Mode	el FEH	
Return Period (years	s) 100	
FEH Rainfall Versio	on 2013	
Data Typ	pe Point	
Summer Store	ns Yes	
Winter Storr	ns Yes	
Cv (Summer Cv (Winter	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	
Shortest Storm (mins	s) 15	
Longest Storm (mins	s) 10080	
Climate Change	୫	
Tir	ne Area Diagram	
Tota	al Area (ha) 0.230	
Time (mins)	Area Time (mins) Area	
From: To:	(ha) From: To: (ha)	
0 4	0.115 4 8 0.115	
©198	32-2020 Innovyze	

R G Parkins & Partners Ltd			Page 4					
Meadowside								
Sharp Road Kendal			The second					
Cumbria LA9 6NY	Designed by Chuis New		Micro					
Date $11/07/2022 09.40$	Checked by	am	Drainage					
XP Solutions	Source Control 2020.1	. 3						
Model Details								
Storage is On	line Cover Level (m) 96.89	90						
Cellula	r Storage Structure							
Inver Infiltration Coefficient Infiltration Coefficient	t Level (m) 95.490 Safet Base (m/hr) 0.00000 Side (m/hr) 0.00000	y Factor 2.0 Porosity 0.95						
Depth (m) Area (m ²) Inf. Are	a (m²) Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²)					
0.000 217.5 0.800 217.5	0.0 0.0	0	0.0					
Orific	e Outflow Control							
		/						

©1982-2020 Innovyze