

Christie Burns

From: Ethan King [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 May 2026 08:18
To: Christie Burns
Subject: Re: 4/26/2103/DOC - LAND TO THE NORTH WEST OF POOLSIDE, HAVERIGG

CAUTION: External email, think before you click!
Please report any suspicious email to our [IT Helpdesk](#)

Morning,

It would be item FL03BPCS as per the datasheet.

This is aligned to the EH reply - PIR, 300k etc. drawings as positioned not on side of building facing residents, lights will be positioned downward illuminating access only.

Let me know if this is agreeable.

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On 1 May 2026, at 13:14, Christie Burns <Christie.Burns@cumberland.gov.uk> wrote:

Hi Ethan,

Further to our previous correspondence I have now received the following comments from our Environmental Health team in relation to condition 11:

1. Condition 11 (Artificial External Lighting)

The needs of security and safety can sometimes conflict with amenity and light pollution when it comes to the provision of artificial external lighting.

There are minimal details provided in the submission of the proposed lighting scheme other than the two security lights.

We would recommend lights with PIR sensors and of a warm colour (3000K) if possible.

Their positioning should also avoid being directly in line with residential dwellings and be tilted downwards at an angle that is as acute as possible (at least 60 degrees).

The applicant will need to consider whether there is excessive glare and light spill to neighbouring dwellings from the proposed security lights, and look at whether additional shielding may be required on the lights.

We are happy to discharge this condition but the applicant should note that the statutory nuisance provisions of Environmental Protection Act 1990 can be applied if unreasonable artificial light pollution results.