

Christopher Harrison

From: Glen Beattie <
Sent: 21 September 2022 12:58
To: Christopher Harrison
Cc: John Swift
Subject: 4/22/2261/0F1 - SW attenuation basin, The Mount, Whitehaven
Attachments: Image 1.jpg; Image 2.jpg; Image 3.jpg

CAUTION: External email, think before you click!
Please report any suspicious email to our [IT Helpdesk](#)

Hi Chris,

I have just sent the email below to Dave Bechelli all of which is self-explanatory. I had tried unsuccessfully to engage with Dave via telephone so resorted to an email to explain the current situation on site.

I am forwarding you the information for your reference and planning file which I trust will lead to you being able to determine the application in due course.

Regards,
Glen

From: Glen Beattie
Sent: 21 September 2022 12:54
To: David Bechelli
Cc: John Swift
Subject: SW attenuation basin, The Mount, Whitehaven

Hi Dave,

I tried to call you last week to discuss the SW attenuation basin at The Mount but as we haven't spoken I thought I would touch base by email.

When we met you and Chris Harrison on site the basin was incomplete as site works on phase 4 were still in progress. By incomplete I mean at that point in time the following was still to do.

- Clay liner installation.
- Headwalls concreted in and sealed.
- Erosion matting placed.
- Rip-rap Rock Armour placed.

The purpose of the call was that I wanted to confirm that since the site meeting we have taken advantage of the summer months to complete the SW attenuation basin. The work has been undertaken in line with the construction methods that were approved as part of the phase 3 detailed design and outlined above. I should point out that the soft landscape planting is still to do in the November planting season although this is more of a planning matter than a drainage engineering matter.

The only exception to the previously approved details is that the outfall headwall is higher however the information submitted as part of the current application reflects this. The sole reason that the outfall headwall is higher is that we encountered bedrock during excavations and for obvious reasons you wouldn't want to form an attenuation

basin in bedrock. On the advice of our drainage engineers the outfall headwall level was amended and the drawings and micro-drainage calculations revised accordingly.

Since completion, we have continued to monitor the performance of the SW attenuation basin in times of heavy rain and it is functioning in the expected manner. I was going to suggest that if you wanted to view the completed basin then I would be quite happy to meet you on site with our site agent and we can escort you around the installation.

I have attached three images of the basin for your information/ reference and look forward to hearing from you.

Regards,
Glen