Site Evolution Ltd Proposed Housing Development, Kirkland Road, Ennerdale Bridge. Drainage Strategy and Calculations



Civil Engineers
Structural Engineers
Project Managers

Document No: AA6874/9/1/1C

Asher Associates Ltd

32 George Street

DUMFRIES

DG1 1EH

	Name	Signature	Date				
Prepared by	William Milne	while.	18/05/21				
Purpose of Issue	Planning Application						

Surface Water Drainage Design

The proposed development lies the Northern edge of Ennerdale bridge. The site contains three positive outlets to which individual catchments for overland flows can be attributed totalling 4.01ha. Outlets are located on Back Lane at the south western boundary (western catchment), beneath prospect house on the southern boundary draining a portion of the site and via a culvert beneath Kirkland Road (eastern catchment). There is a ditch in the eastern catchment which enters the road boundary at the lay-by above the site before returning to the field. It is highly likely that this will overtop and run down Kirkland Road during storms. This potential loss off runoff from the upper eastern catchment has not been modelled and all overland flows have been retained within network 1.

The proposed development will bisect the east and west catchments at the northern site boundary. Filter drains will be installed to intercept the overland flows and direct them around the site to outfall at greenfield run-off rates via two drainage networks.

Network 1 will cater for the eastern catchment discharging eastwards to the culvert beneath Kirkland Road. This catchment is the most significant source of overland flow and will be intercepted by filter drains in branch 1 of the network and will be backed by a 'Kested' hedge. Branches 2 and 3 of this network will drain the main part of the site (plots 1,2. 5-9 and the access road). Flows from contributing areas to branches 2 and 3 will be treated by filter drains and attenuated by cellular storage with two Hydrobrakes and an orifice plate controlling flows. The first Hydrobrake is located in MH S14 and the orifice plate in MH S12 are used to mobilise upstream storage, the second Hydrobrake will be located in MH S17 controls the discharge to QBar.

It is likely that an area of the Kirkland Road (0.98ha) from the lay-by at the crest of the hill above may also drain to Croasdale Beck via the culvert (pipe 1.006 in the model) under the road and has been added to the contributing area for the culvert as 100% impermeable.

Network 2 accepts flows from the western catchment (0.22ha) and the remainder of the site (plots 3 and 4) will be directed to the outfall at Prospect house. The design follows similar design principals applied to network 1. Branch 1 will accommodate upstream overland flows. Branch 2 will cater for plots 3 and 4 with treatment and storage upstream of a flow control.

Greenfield runoff rates for the development site:

Greenfield runoff rates were calculated using HR Wallingford's Greenfield runoff rate estimation for sites and the following value was obtained for the positively drained site area of 0.87ha. Peak surface water discharge from the site is to be discharged at QBar = 11.98l/s. There are two proposed outfalls on the site. The main area plots 1,2. 5-9 and the access road are drained via Network 1 to the culvert to the east at a rate of 9.5l/s. The remainder of the site will discharge via Network 2 to the culvert on the southern boundary at 2.4l/s.

Runoff rates for Overland flows from upstream catchments:

Runoff to be intercepted at the site boundary will be modelled at peak greenfield runoff rates for each corresponding return period to ensure that the proposed networks can accommodate the flows without risk off flooding downstream. These flows are added to the model as 'base flows' and will remain constant for each event.

Western Catchment (0.22ha) Peak Run-off Rates:

1 Year 2.63
 30 Years 5.15l/s
 100years 6.3l/s
 200years 7.18l/s

Eastern Catchment (2.92ha) Peak Run-off Rates:

1 Year 34.97l/s
30 Years 68.33l/s
100years 83.6l/s
200years 95.26l/s

<u>Calculations</u>: All calculations are as prescribed by the Wallingford Procedure – 'Modified Rational Method' and use the parameters set out in Sewers for Adoption 8th edition as the design criteria. The CASDeF application within the Microdrainage suite was used to generate various rainfall events with return periods of 1, 30 & 100 years.

The design criteria for the network is as follows:

Design Storm, pipes full 1 year

Design Storm, no flooding 30 year

Flood Risk Assessment 100 year

Minimum velocity, pipe full 1m/sec

Ks roughness value 0.6mm

Time of Entry 5 mins

Contributing Area classification:

Impervious areas 100%

Soft landscaping 47%

For all storm simulations the model was set to record a flood risk when manhole surcharge reached a level of 300mm below the cover level. A 40% uplift for climate change was added to the design storms. A further 10% was added to the impervious areas contributing to the proposed networks to allow for urban creep. A 47% run-off factor was applied to the remainder of the surfaces in the development that will be soft landscaping.

Contributing Area	Plot Area	'	Impermeable	Soft Landscaping (47%)	Total Areas for
	(m²)	Within Plots (m²)	Area (110%) (m²)	for Remainder (m²)	Modelling (m²)
Plot 1	877.50	269.74	296.71	272.97	569.68
Plot 2	437.00	211.64	232.80	95.97	328.78
Plot 3	406.50	247.50	272.25	63.10	335.35
Plot 4	628.00	277.20	304.92	151.85	456.77
Plot 5	958.14	339.00	372.90	275.06	647.96
Plot 6	968.00	339.60	373.56	279.39	652.95
Plot 7	897.00	332.50	365.75	249.69	615.44
Plot 8	1333.60	328.50	361.35	456.96	818.31
Plot 9	1127.60	318.80	350.68	365.15	715.83
Access Road	1066.00				1066.00
Total	8699.34				6207.06

Where possible, a minimum cover of 1200mm has been applied to pipework under roads and 900mm in open ground. Where cover is less then 1200mm below the road, concrete protection will be applied to pipework.

Critical Storms:

The network was modelled in Microdrainage to ensure that no flooding is experienced for storms with a return period of up to 30 years and also to establish the critical storms with return periods of 1, 30 and 100 years for use in the network simulation. The critical storms for the network were established during this process and are presented in the MicroDrainage calculations.

Network Simulation

The network including all manholes, pipes, storage features and flow control was simulated for the critical storm events shown in the Microdrainage calculations.

During the 1 year return period critical storms all flows are contained within the system. Some minor surcharging was experienced at the lowest points in each system during the 1 year return period critical storms.

During the 30 year return period critical storms all flows are contained within the system, some surcharging is evident in the manholes. No flooding is experienced at any point in the system during the 30 year return period critical storms.

No flooding is experienced in the system during the 100 year return period critical storms. Flooding (9.3m³) occurred in network 1 at the flow control in MH S16 during the 200 year critical storm. This will follow the exceedance route to the proposed ditch at the south of the site.

Microdrainage calculations – Network 1

1 Year

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 1
32 George Street	6874	
Dumfries	Ennerdale Bridge	
DG1 1EH	1yr	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S NET 1A-3.MDX	Checked by WAM	Diamage
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	<u>'</u>

STORM SEWER DESIGN by the Modified Rational Method

Network Design Table for S NET -1.SWS

« - Indicates pipe capacity < flow

PN	Length	Fall	Slope	I.Area	T.E.	Ва	ase	k	HYD	DIA	Section Type	Auto
	(m)	(m)	(1:X)	(ha)	(mins)	Flow	(l/s)	(mm)	SECT	(mm)		Design
G1 000	20 607	0 100	162.0	0 000	F 00		25.0	0 600		200	Di (G di+	
S1.000	30.687			0.000	5.00			0.600	0		Pipe/Conduit	•
S1.001	60.683		32.4	0.000	0.00				0		Pipe/Conduit	•
S1.002			196.9	0.000	0.00			0.600	0		Pipe/Conduit	•
S1.003	30.125		15.7	0.000	0.00			0.600	0		Pipe/Conduit	<u> </u>
S1.004	33.195	1.916	17.3	0.000	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	300	Pipe/Conduit	٥
S1.005	3.266	0.149	21.9	0.000	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	300	Pipe/Conduit	•
S2.000	8.298	0.055	150.9	0.030	5.00		0.0	0.600	0	150	Pipe/Conduit	@
S2.001	9.587	0.064	149.8	0.035	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	225	Pipe/Conduit	<u> </u>
S2.002	4.446	0.030	150.0	0.014	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	225	Pipe/Conduit	8
s2.003	9.627	0.064	150.0	0.065	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	225	Pipe/Conduit	ē
S2.004	9.296	0.062	150.0	0.011	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	300	Pipe/Conduit	ĕ
S2.005	7.017	0.117	60.0	0.015	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	300	Pipe/Conduit	ĕ
												=
s3.000	10.921	0.106	103.0	0.010	5.00		0.0	0.600	0	150	Pipe/Conduit	a
s3.001	8.895	0.059	150.8	0.072	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	150	Pipe/Conduit	<u> </u>
s3.002	9.514	0.063	151.0	0.082	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	225	Pipe/Conduit	ē
s3.003	19.050	0.127	150.0	0.067	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	225	Pipe/Conduit	ĕ
S3.004	9.390	0.063	149.0	0.062	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	300	Pipe/Conduit	ĕ
											. .	_
S2.006	13.745	0.092	149.4	0.047	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	300	Pipe/Conduit	0
S2.007	33.661	1.426	23.6	0.057	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	300	Pipe/Conduit	ŏ
S2.008	3.947	0.020	197.4	0.000	0.00		0.0		0		Pipe/Conduit	ŏ
											1 -,	_

Network Results Table

PN	Rain (mm/hr)	T.C.	US/IL	Σ I.Area (ha)	Σ Base Flow (1/s)	Foul	Add Flow	Vel	Cap	Flow
	(mm/nr)	(mins)	(m)	(na)	FIOW (I/S)	(1/s)	(I/S)	(m/s)	(1/s)	(1/s)
S1.000	50.00	5.42	114.101	0.000	35.0	0.0	14.0	1.23	86.8	49.0
S1.001	50.00	5.78	113.913	0.000	35.0	0.0	14.0	2.77	195.9	49.0
S1.002	50.00	5.86	112.065	0.000	35.0	0.0	14.0	1.12	79.0	49.0
S1.003	50.00	5.99	112.038	0.000	35.0	0.0	14.0	3.98	281.7	49.0
S1.004	50.00	6.13	110.122	0.000	35.0	0.0	14.0	3.80	268.3	49.0
S1.005	50.00	6.15	108.207	0.000	35.0	0.0	14.0	3.37	238.4	49.0
S2.000	50.00	5.17	110.100	0.030	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.82	14.4	5.7
S2.001	50.00	5.32	109.970	0.065	0.0	0.0	3.5	1.07	42.4	12.3
S2.002	50.00	5.39	109.906	0.079	0.0	0.0	4.3	1.07	42.4	15.0
S2.003	50.00	5.54	109.876	0.144	0.0	0.0	7.8	1.07	42.4	27.3
S2.004	50.00	5.66	109.737	0.155	0.0	0.0	8.4	1.28	90.6	29.4
S2.005	50.00	5.72	109.675	0.170	0.0	0.0	9.2	2.03	143.7	32.2
S3.000	50.00	5.18	110.144	0.010	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.99	17.5	1.9
S3.001	50.00	5.37	110.038	0.082	0.0	0.0	4.4	0.82	14.4«	15.5
S3.002	50.00	5.51	109.904	0.164	0.0	0.0	8.9	1.06	42.2	31.1
S3.003	50.00	5.81	109.840	0.231	0.0	0.0	12.5	1.07	42.4«	43.8
S3.004	50.00	5.93	109.638	0.293	0.0	0.0	15.9	1.29	90.9	55.5
S2.006	50.00	6.11	109.576	0.510	0.0	0.0	27.6	1.28	90.8«	96.7
S2.007	50.00	6.29	109.484	0.567	0.0	0.0	30.7	3.25	229.7	107.5
S2.008	50.00	6.34	108.078	0.567	0.0	0.0	30.7	1.12	78.9«	107.5

©1982-2020 Innovyze

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 2
32 George Street	6874	
Dumfries	Ennerdale Bridge	
DG1 1EH	1yr	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S NET 1A-3.MDX	Checked by WAM	Dialilade
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	,

STORM SEWER DESIGN by the Modified Rational Method

Network Design Table for S NET -1.SWS

PN Length Fall Slope I.Area T.E. Base k HYD DIA Section Type Auto (m) (m) (1:X) (ha) (mins) Flow (l/s) (mm) SECT (mm) Design

S1.006 8.144 0.054 150.8 0.098 0.00 0.0 0.600 o 300 Pipe/Conduit

Network Results Table

PN Rain T.C. US/IL Σ I.Area Σ Base Foul Add Flow Vel Cap Flow (mm/hr) (mins) (m) (ha) Flow (1/s) (1/s) (1/s) (m/s) (1/s) (1/s)

S1.006 50.00 6.45 108.058 0.665 35.0 0.0 50.0 1.28 90.3« 175.1

Simulation Criteria for S NET -1.SWS

Volumetric Runoff Coeff 0.750 Additional Flow - % of Total Flow 0.000
Areal Reduction Factor 1.000 MADD Factor * 10m³/ha Storage 2.000
Hot Start (mins) 0 Inlet Coefficient 0.800
Hot Start Level (mm) 0 Flow per Person per Day (1/per/day) 0.000
Manhole Headloss Coeff (Global) 0.500 Run Time (mins) 30
Foul Sewage per hectare (1/s) 0.000 Output Interval (mins) 1

Number of Input Hydrographs 0 Number of Offline Controls 0 Number of Time/Area Diagrams 0 Number of Online Controls 3 Number of Storage Structures 6 Number of Real Time Controls 0

Synthetic Rainfall Details

Rainfall Model FSR Profile Type Summer Return Period (years) 100 Cv (Summer) 0.750
Region England and Wales Cv (Winter) 0.840
M5-60 (mm) 17.400 Storm Duration (mins) 30
Ratio R 0.243

Asher Associates Ltd				
32 George Street	6874			
Dumfries	Ennerdale Bridge			
DG1 1EH	1yr	Micro		
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage		
File S NET 1A-3.MDX	Checked by WAM	Dialilade		
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	·		

Online Controls for S NET -1.SWS

Orifice Manhole: S11, DS/PN: S2.003, Volume (m³): 2.1

Diameter (m) 0.057 Discharge Coefficient 0.600 Invert Level (m) 109.876

Hydro-Brake® Optimum Manhole: S14, DS/PN: S2.006, Volume (m³): 3.3

Unit Reference MD-SHE-0133-9500-1600-9500 Design Head (m) 1.600 Design Flow (1/s) Flush-Flo™ Calculated Objective Minimise upstream storage Application Surface Sump Available Yes Diameter (mm) 133 Invert Level (m) 109.576 Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter (mm) 150 Suggested Manhole Diameter (mm) 1200

Control P	Points	Head (m)	Flow (1/s)	Control Points	Head (m) I	Flow (l/s)
Design Point (C	Calculated)	1.600	9.5	Kick-Flo®	0.980	7.5
	Flush-Flo™	0.466	9.5	Mean Flow over Head Range	_	8.3

The hydrological calculations have been based on the Head/Discharge relationship for the Hydro-Brake® Optimum as specified. Should another type of control device other than a Hydro-Brake Optimum® be utilised then these storage routing calculations will be invalidated

Depth (m)	Flow (1/s)								
0.100	4.8	0.800	8.8	2.000	10.5	4.000	14.7	7.000	19.1
0.200	8.4	1.000	7.6	2.200	11.0	4.500	15.5	7.500	19.8
0.300	9.2	1.200	8.3	2.400	11.5	5.000	16.3	8.000	20.4
0.400	9.4	1.400	8.9	2.600	11.9	5.500	17.1	8.500	21.0
0.500	9.5	1.600	9.5	3.000	12.8	6.000	17.8	9.000	21.6
0.600	9.4	1.800	10.0	3.500	13.7	6.500	18.5	9.500	22.2

Hydro-Brake® Optimum Manhole: S16, DS/PN: S2.008, Volume (m³): 4.0

Unit Reference MD-SHE-0135-9500-1500-9500 Design Head (m) 1.500 Design Flow (1/s) 9.5 Calculated Flush-Flo™ Objective Minimise upstream storage Application Surface Sump Available Yes 135 Diameter (mm) Invert Level (m) 108.078 Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter (mm) 150 1200 Suggested Manhole Diameter (mm)

Control Points	Head (m)	Flow (1/s)	Control Points	Head (m)	Flow (1/s)
Design Point (Calculated)	1.500	9.5	Kick-Flo®	0.927	7.6
Flush-Flo™	0.436	9.5	Mean Flow over Head Range	_	8.3

The hydrological calculations have been based on the Head/Discharge relationship for the Hydro-Brake® Optimum as specified. Should another type of control device other than a Hydro-Brake Optimum® be utilised then these storage routing calculations will be invalidated

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 4
32 George Street	6874	
Dumfries	Ennerdale Bridge	
DG1 1EH	lyr	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S NET 1A-3.MDX	Checked by WAM	Dialilade
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	-

Hydro-Brake® Optimum Manhole: S16, DS/PN: S2.008, Volume (m³): 4.0

Depth (m)	Flow (1/s)								
0.100	4.9	0.800	8.7	2.000	10.9	4.000	15.1	7.000	19.8
0.200	8.6		7.9		11.4	4.500	16.0	7.500	20.4
0.300	9.3	1.200	8.6	2.400	11.9	5.000	16.8	8.000	21.1
0.400	9.5	1.400	9.2	2.600	12.3	5.500	17.6	8.500	21.7
0.500	9.5	1.600	9.8	3.000	13.2	6.000	18.4	9.000	22.3
0.600	9.3	1.800	10.4	3.500	14.2	6.500	19.1	9.500	22.9

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 5
32 George Street	6874	
Dumfries	Ennerdale Bridge	
DG1 1EH	lyr	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S NET 1A-3.MDX	Checked by WAM	Diamage
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	1

Storage Structures for S NET -1.SWS

Cellular Storage Manhole: S10, DS/PN: S2.002

Invert Level (m) 109.906 Safety Factor 2.0 Infiltration Coefficient Base (m/hr) 0.00000 Porosity 0.95 Infiltration Coefficient Side (m/hr) 0.00000

Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²) Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²)

0.000 40.0 0.0 0.800 40.0 0.0

Cellular Storage Manhole: S12, DS/PN: S2.004

Invert Level (m) 109.737 Safety Factor 2.0 Infiltration Coefficient Base (m/hr) 0.00000 Porosity 0.95 Infiltration Coefficient Side (m/hr) 0.00000

Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²) Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²)

0.000 40.0 0.0 0.800 40.0 0.0

Cellular Storage Manhole: S19, DS/PN: S3.002

Invert Level (m) 109.912 Safety Factor 2.0 Infiltration Coefficient Base (m/hr) 0.00000 Porosity 0.95 Infiltration Coefficient Side (m/hr) 0.00000

Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²) Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²)

0.000 40.0 0.0 0.800 40.0 0.0

Cellular Storage Manhole: S20, DS/PN: S3.003

Invert Level (m) 109.864 Safety Factor 2.0 Infiltration Coefficient Base (m/hr) 0.00000 Porosity 0.95 Infiltration Coefficient Side (m/hr) 0.00000

Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²) Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²)

0.000 40.0 0.0 1.200 40.0 0.0

Cellular Storage Manhole: S14, DS/PN: S2.006

Invert Level (m) 109.652 Safety Factor 2.0 Infiltration Coefficient Base (m/hr) 0.00000 Porosity 0.95 Infiltration Coefficient Side (m/hr) 0.00000

Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²) Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²)

0.000 40.0 0.0 1.200 40.0 0.0

Cellular Storage Manhole: S16, DS/PN: S2.008

Invert Level (m) 108.078 Safety Factor 2.0 Infiltration Coefficient Base (m/hr) 0.00000 Porosity 0.95 Infiltration Coefficient Side (m/hr) 0.00000

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 6
32 George Street	6874	
Dumfries	Ennerdale Bridge	
DG1 1EH	1yr	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S NET 1A-3.MDX	Checked by WAM	pranade
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	·

Cellular Storage Manhole: S16, DS/PN: S2.008

 Depth (m)
 Area (m²)
 Inf. Area (m²)
 Depth (m)
 Area (m²)
 Inf. Area (m²)

 0.000
 60.0
 0.0
 0.800
 60.0
 0.0

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 1
32 George Street	6874	
Dumfries	Ennerdale Bridge	
DG1 1EH	30yr +40%	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S NET 1A-3.MDX	Checked by WAM	Dialilacie
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	1

Summary of Critical Results by Maximum Level (Rank 1) for S NET -1.SWS

Simulation Criteria

Areal Reduction Factor 1.000 Additional Flow - % of Total Flow 0.000 Hot Start (mins) 0 MADD Factor * $10m^3$ /ha Storage 2.000 Hot Start Level (mm) 0 Inlet Coefficient 0.800 Manhole Headloss Coeff (Global) 0.500 Flow per Person per Day (1/per/day) 0.000 Foul Sewage per hectare (1/s) 0.000

Number of Input Hydrographs 0 Number of Offline Controls 0 Number of Time/Area Diagrams 0 Number of Online Controls 3 Number of Storage Structures 6 Number of Real Time Controls 0

Synthetic Rainfall Details

Rainfall Model FSR M5-60 (mm) 17.400 Cv (Summer) 0.750 Region England and Wales Ratio R 0.246 Cv (Winter) 0.840

Margin for Flood Risk Warning (mm) 300.0

Analysis Timestep 2.5 Second Increment (Extended)

DTS Status

ON

DVD Status

ON

Inertia Status

Profile(s) Summer and Winter Duration(s) (mins) 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 360, 480, 600, 720 Return Period(s) (years) 30 Climate Change (%) 40

	US/MH			Return	Climate	Firs	t (X)	First (Y)	First (Z)	Overflow	Water Level	Surcharged Depth
PN	Name	s	torm	Period	Change	Surc	harge	Flood	Overflow	Act.	(m)	(m)
S1.000	S1	15	Summer	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				114.472	0.071
S1.001	S2	720	Summer	30	+40%						114.065	-0.148
S1.002	s3	15	Summer	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				112.480	0.115
S1.003	S4	15	Summer	30	+40%						112.170	-0.168
S1.004	S5A	15	Summer	30	+40%						110.258	-0.164
S1.005	S5	60	Summer	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				108.677	0.170
S2.000	S8	180	Winter	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				110.819	0.569
S2.001	S9	180	Winter	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				110.816	0.621
S2.002	S10	180	Winter	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				110.815	0.684
S2.003	S11	180	Winter	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				110.823	0.721
S2.004	S12	240	Winter	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				110.545	0.508
S2.005	S13	240	Winter	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				110.543	0.568
s3.000	S17	240	Winter	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				110.557	0.263
S3.001	S18	240	Winter	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				110.556	0.368
s3.002	S19	240	Winter	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				110.553	0.424
s3.003	S20	240	Winter	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				110.550	0.485
S3.004	S21	240	Winter	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				110.544	0.606
S2.006	S14	240	Winter	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				110.542	0.666
S2.007	S15	15	Winter	30	+40%						109.560	-0.224
S2.008	S16	720	Winter	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				109.100	0.722
S1.006	S 6	60	Summer	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				108.533	0.175

		Flooded			Half Drain	Pipe		
	US/MH	Volume	Flow /	Overflow	Time	Flow		Level
PN	Name	(m³)	Cap.	(1/s)	(mins)	(1/s)	Status	Exceeded
S1.000	S1	0.000	1.21			95.3	SURCHARGED	
S1.001	S2	0.000	0.51			95.3	OK	
S1.002	S3	0.000	1.81			96.3	SURCHARGED	
S1.003	S4	0.000	0.38			96.9	OK	

©1982-2020 Innovyze

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 2
32 George Street	6874	
Dumfries	Ennerdale Bridge	
DG1 1EH	30yr +40%	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S NET 1A-3.MDX	Checked by WAM	Dialilade
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	

Summary of Critical Results by Maximum Level (Rank 1) for S NET -1.SWS

		Flooded			Half Drain	Pipe		
	US/MH	Volume	Flow /	Overflow	Time	Flow		Level
PN	Name	(m³)	Cap.	(1/s)	(mins)	(1/s)	Status	Exceeded
S1.004	S5A	0.000	0.39			96.4	OK	
S1.004	S5A	0.000	1.00					
							SURCHARGED	
S2.000	S8	0.000	0.23			2.9	SURCHARGED	
S2.001	S9	0.000	0.18			6.4	SURCHARGED	
S2.002	S10	0.000	0.11		166	3.3	SURCHARGED	
S2.003	S11	0.000	0.11			4.0	SURCHARGED	
S2.004	S12	0.000	0.06		179	4.0	SURCHARGED	
S2.005	S13	0.000	0.05			4.1	SURCHARGED	
s3.000	S17	0.000	0.06			0.9	SURCHARGED	
S3.001	S18	0.000	0.56			7.1	SURCHARGED	
S3.002	S19	0.000	0.28		148	9.7	SURCHARGED	
s3.003	S20	0.000	0.30		157	11.3	SURCHARGED	
S3.004	S21	0.000	0.24			15.1	SURCHARGED	
S2.006	S14	0.000	0.13		198	9.5	SURCHARGED	
S2.007	S15	0.000	0.14			30.4	OK	
S2.008	S16	0.000	0.19		199	9.5	SURCHARGED	
S1.006	S6	0.000	2.01			123.3	SURCHARGED	

100 Year

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 1
32 George Street	6874	
Dumfries	Ennerdale Bridge	
DG1 1EH	100yr +40%	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S NET 1A-3.MDX	Checked by WAM	Dialilacie
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	1

Summary of Critical Results by Maximum Level (Rank 1) for S NET -1.SWS

Simulation Criteria

Areal Reduction Factor 1.000 Additional Flow - % of Total Flow 0.000 Hot Start (mins) 0 MADD Factor * $10m^3$ /ha Storage 2.000 Hot Start Level (mm) 0 Inlet Coefficient 0.800 Manhole Headloss Coeff (Global) 0.500 Flow per Person per Day (1/per/day) 0.000 Foul Sewage per hectare (1/s) 0.000

Number of Input Hydrographs 0 Number of Offline Controls 0 Number of Time/Area Diagrams 0 Number of Online Controls 3 Number of Storage Structures 6 Number of Real Time Controls 0

Synthetic Rainfall Details

Rainfall Model FSR M5-60 (mm) 17.400 Cv (Summer) 0.750 Region England and Wales Ratio R 0.246 Cv (Winter) 0.840

Margin for Flood Risk Warning (mm) 300.0

Analysis Timestep 2.5 Second Increment (Extended)

DTS Status

ON

DVD Status

ON

Inertia Status

Profile(s) Summer and Winter
Duration(s) (mins) 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 360, 480, 600, 720
Return Period(s) (years) 100
Climate Change (%) 40

	US/MH			Return	Climate	First	: (X)	First (Y)	First	(Z)	Overflow	Water Level	Surcharged Depth
PN	Name	S	torm	Period	Change	Surch	arge	Flood	Overf	low	Act.	(m)	(m)
S1.000	S1	15	Summer	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					114.408	0.007
S1.001	S2	720	Summer	100	+40%							114.053	-0.160
S1.002	S 3	15	Summer	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					112.443	0.078
S1.003	S4	15	Summer	100	+40%							112.161	-0.177
S1.004	S5A	15	Summer	100	+40%							110.248	-0.174
S1.005	S5	30	Summer	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					108.663	0.156
S2.000	S8	240	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					111.205	0.955
S2.001	S9	240	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					111.203	1.008
S2.002	S10	240	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					111.200	1.069
S2.003	S11	240	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					111.202	1.100
S2.004	S12	360	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					110.896	0.859
S2.005	S13	360	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					110.894	0.919
s3.000	S17	360	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					110.910	0.616
S3.001	S18	360	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					110.910	0.722
S3.002	S19	360	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					110.906	0.777
s3.003	S20	360	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					110.902	0.837
S3.004	S21	360	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					110.895	0.957
S2.006	S14	360	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					110.892	1.016
S2.007	S15	15	Winter	100	+40%							109.568	-0.216
S2.008	S16	480	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					109.117	0.739
S1.006	S6	30	Summer	100	+40%	100/15	Summer					108.532	0.174

		Flooded			Half Drain	Pipe		
	US/MH	Volume	Flow /	Overflow	Time	Flow		Level
PN	Name	(m³)	Cap.	(1/s)	(mins)	(1/s)	Status	Exceeded
S1.000	S1	0.000	1.06			83.6	SURCHARGED	
S1.001	S2	0.000	0.45			83.6	OK	
S1.002	s3	0.000	1.59			84.4	SURCHARGED	
S1.003	S4	0.000	0.33			84.9	OK	

©1982-2020 Innovyze

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 2
32 George Street	6874	
Dumfries	Ennerdale Bridge	
DG1 1EH	100yr +40%	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S NET 1A-3.MDX	Checked by WAM	Diamage
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	1

Summary of Critical Results by Maximum Level (Rank 1) for S NET -1.SWS

US/MH	Flooded Volume		Overflow		Pipe Flow		Level
Name	(m³)	Cap.	(1/s)	(mins)	(1/s)	Status	Exceeded
S5A	0.000	0.34			84.5	OK	
S5	0.000	0.87			84.6	SURCHARGED	
S8	0.000	0.25			3.2	SURCHARGED	
S9	0.000	0.20			6.9	SURCHARGED	
S10	0.000	0.12		257	3.5	SURCHARGED	
S11	0.000	0.12			4.3	SURCHARGED	
S12	0.000	0.06		276	4.0	SURCHARGED	
S13	0.000	0.05			4.1	FLOOD RISK	
S17	0.000	0.05			0.8	SURCHARGED	
S18	0.000	0.55			6.9	SURCHARGED	
S19	0.000	0.24		243	8.2	SURCHARGED	
S20	0.000	0.26		252	10.0	SURCHARGED	
S21	0.000	0.21			13.1	SURCHARGED	
S14	0.000	0.13		295	9.5	SURCHARGED	
S15	0.000	0.17			36.7	OK	
S16	0.000	0.19		546	9.5	SURCHARGED	
S6	0.000	2.00			122.4	SURCHARGED	
	Name \$5A \$5 \$8 \$9 \$10 \$11 \$12 \$13 \$17 \$18 \$19 \$20 \$21 \$14 \$15 \$16	US/MH Volume (m³) S5A 0.000 S5 0.000 S8 0.000 S9 0.000 S10 0.000 S11 0.000 S12 0.000 S13 0.000 S17 0.000 S18 0.000 S19 0.000 S20 0.000 S21 0.000 S14 0.000 S15 0.000 S16 0.000	US/MH Volume Flow / Name (m³) Cap. S5A 0.000 0.87 S8 0.000 0.25 S9 0.000 0.12 S11 0.000 0.12 S12 0.000 0.05 S17 0.000 0.05 S18 0.000 0.55 S19 0.000 0.24 S20 0.000 0.24 S21 0.000 0.21 S14 0.000 0.21 S15 0.000 0.13 S15 0.000 0.17 S16 0.000 0.17	US/MH Volume (m³) Flow / Cap. Overflow (1/s) S5A 0.000 0.34	US/MH Volume Flow / Cap. Overflow (mins) S5A 0.000 0.34 S5 0.000 0.87 S8 0.000 0.25 S9 0.000 0.20 S11 0.000 0.12 S12 0.000 0.05 S13 0.000 0.05 S17 0.000 0.05 S18 0.000 0.55 S19 0.000 0.24 243 S20 0.000 0.26 252 S21 0.000 0.21 252 S21 0.000 0.21 252 S21 0.000 0.21 252 S21 0.000 0.21 252 S15 0.000 0.13 295 S15 0.000 0.17 546	US/MH Volume Flow / Cap. Overflow (1/s) Time (mins) Flow (1/s) S5A 0.000 0.34 84.5 S5 0.000 0.87 84.6 S8 0.000 0.25 3.2 S9 0.000 0.12 257 3.5 S11 0.000 0.12 257 3.5 S11 0.000 0.12 257 4.3 S12 0.000 0.05 276 4.0 S13 0.000 0.05 4.1 0.8 S18 0.000 0.05 0.8 0.8 S18 0.000 0.55 6.9 0.8 S19 0.000 0.24 243 8.2 S20 0.000 0.26 252 10.0 S21 0.000 0.21 13.1 S14 0.000 0.13 295 9.5 S15 0.000 0.17 36.7 S16 0.000	US/MH Volume Flow / Cap. Overflow (mins) Time (1/s) Flow (1/s) Status S5A 0.000 0.34 84.5 OK S5 0.000 0.87 84.6 SURCHARGED S8 0.000 0.25 3.2 SURCHARGED S9 0.000 0.12 257 3.5 SURCHARGED S11 0.000 0.12 4.3 SURCHARGED S12 0.000 0.012 276 4.0 SURCHARGED S13 0.000 0.05 4.1 FLOOD RISK S17 0.000 0.05 0.8 SURCHARGED S18 0.000 0.55 6.9 SURCHARGED S19 0.000 0.24 243 8.2 SURCHARGED S20 0.000 0.24 243 8.2 SURCHARGED S21 0.000 0.21 13.1 SURCHARGED S14 0.000 0.13 295 9.5 SURCHARGED </td

200 Year

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 1
32 George Street	6874	
Dumfries	Ennerdale Bridge	
DG1 1EH	200yr +40%	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S NET 1A-3.MDX	Checked by WAM	pramarje
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	-1

Summary of Critical Results by Maximum Level (Rank 1) for S NET -1.SWS

Simulation Criteria

Areal Reduction Factor 1.000 Additional Flow - % of Total Flow 0.000 Hot Start (mins) 0 MADD Factor * $10m^3$ /ha Storage 2.000 Hot Start Level (mm) 0 Inlet Coefficient 0.800 Manhole Headloss Coeff (Global) 0.500 Flow per Person per Day (1/per/day) 0.000 Foul Sewage per hectare (1/s) 0.000

Number of Input Hydrographs 0 Number of Offline Controls 0 Number of Time/Area Diagrams 0 Number of Online Controls 3 Number of Storage Structures 6 Number of Real Time Controls 0

Synthetic Rainfall Details

Rainfall Model FSR M5-60 (mm) 17.400 Cv (Summer) 0.750 Region England and Wales Ratio R 0.246 Cv (Winter) 0.840

Margin for Flood Risk Warning (mm) 300.0

Analysis Timestep 2.5 Second Increment (Extended)

DTS Status

ON

DVD Status

ON

Inertia Status

Profile(s) Summer and Winter
Duration(s) (mins) 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 360, 480, 600, 720
Return Period(s) (years) 200
Climate Change (%) 40

PN	US/MH Name	Sto	orm		Climate Change	First Surch	• •	First (• •	First Overfl	 Overflow Act.	Water Level (m)	Surcharged Depth (m)
S1.000	S1	15 S	Summer	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					114.472	0.071
S1.001	S2	720 S	Summer	200	+40%							114.065	-0.148
S1.002	s3	15 S	Summer	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					112.480	0.115
S1.003	S4	15 S	Summer	200	+40%							112.170	-0.168
S1.004	S5A	15 S	Summer	200	+40%							110.258	-0.164
S1.005	S5	30 S	Summer	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					108.749	0.242
S2.000	S8	240 W	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					111.488	1.238
S2.001	S9	240 W	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					111.485	1.290
S2.002	S10	240 W	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					111.483	1.352
S2.003	S11	240 W	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					111.492	1.390
S2.004	S12	360 W	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					111.145	1.108
S2.005	S13	360 W	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					111.143	1.168
S3.000	S17	360 W	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					111.161	0.867
S3.001	S18	360 W	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					111.160	0.972
S3.002	S19	360 W	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					111.156	1.027
s3.003	S20	360 W	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					111.152	1.087
S3.004	S21	360 W	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					111.144	1.206
S2.006	S14	360 W	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					111.141	1.265
S2.007	S15	600 W	Winter	200	+40%							109.599	-0.185
S2.008	S16	600 W	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer	200/480 W	inter			109.587	1.209
S1.006	S6	30 S	Summer	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					108.605	0.247

		Flooded			Half Drain	Pipe		
	US/MH	Volume	Flow /	Overflow	Time	Flow		Level
PN	Name	(m³)	Cap.	(1/s)	(mins)	(1/s)	Status	Exceeded
S1.000	S1	0.000	1.21			95.3	SURCHARGED	
S1.001	S2	0.000	0.51			95.3	OK	
S1.002	S 3	0.000	1.81			96.3	SURCHARGED	
S1.003	S4	0.000	0.38			96.9	OK	
			@1	002 202	O Tnna			

©1982-2020 Innovyze

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 2
32 George Street	6874	
Dumfries	Ennerdale Bridge	
DG1 1EH	200yr +40%	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S NET 1A-3.MDX	Checked by WAM	pianiade
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	

Summary of Critical Results by Maximum Level (Rank 1) for S NET -1.SWS

PN	US/MH Name	Flooded Volume (m ³)		Overflow (1/s)	Half Drain Time (mins)	Pipe Flow (1/s)	Status	Level Exceeded
S1.004	S5A	0.000	0.39			96.4	OK	
S1.005	S5	0.000	1.00			96.6	SURCHARGED	
S2.000	S8	0.000	0.29			3.7	SURCHARGED	
S2.001	S9	0.000	0.23			8.0	SURCHARGED	
S2.002	S10	0.000	0.13		287	3.9	FLOOD RISK	
S2.003	S11	0.000	0.13			4.6	FLOOD RISK	
S2.004	S12	0.000	0.07		323	4.5	SURCHARGED	
S2.005	S13	0.000	0.05			4.6	FLOOD RISK	
S3.000	S17	0.000	0.05			0.8	SURCHARGED	
S3.001	S18	0.000	0.63			8.0	FLOOD RISK	
S3.002	S19	0.000	0.24		287	8.4	SURCHARGED	
s3.003	S20	0.000	0.26		298	9.7	SURCHARGED	
S3.004	S21	0.000	0.21			13.4	SURCHARGED	
S2.006	S14	0.000	0.13		343	9.5	SURCHARGED	
S2.007	S15	0.000	0.06			13.3	OK	
S2.008	S16	9.281	0.19		127	9.5	FLOOD	3
S1.006	S6	0.000	2.28			139.7	SURCHARGED	

Microdrainage calculations – Network 2

1 Year

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 1
32 George Street	Ennerdale Bridge	
Dumfries	Network 2	
DG1 1EH	1	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S-Net 2a.MDX	Checked by WAM	Dialilade
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	1

STORM SEWER DESIGN by the Modified Rational Method

Network Design Table for S NET -2.SWS

PN	Length	Fall	Slope	I.Area	T.E.	Ba	ise	k	HYD	DIA	Section Type	Auto
	(m)	(m)	(1:X)	(ha)	(mins)	Flow	(1/s)	(mm)	SECT	(mm)		Design
S1.000	45.730	1.526	30.0	0.000	5.00		2.6	0.600	0	100	Pipe/Conduit	#
S1.001	25.910	1.479	17.5	0.000	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	100	Pipe/Conduit	₽
S1.002	8.050	0.265	30.3	0.000	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	100	Pipe/Conduit	•
S1.003	33.733	2.717	12.4	0.000	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	100	Pipe/Conduit	ē
S1.004	31.579	1.151	27.4	0.000	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	100	Pipe/Conduit	ē
S2.000	17.655	0.171	103.2	0.046	5.00		0.0	0.600	0	150	Pipe/Conduit	₽
S2.001	10.254	0.350	29.3	0.034	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	150	Pipe/Conduit	ē
S2.002	7.354	1.262	5.8	0.000	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	150	Pipe/Conduit	ē
												_
S1.005	8.122	0.041	200.0	0.000	0.00		0.0	0.600	0	225	Pipe/Conduit	ø

Network Results Table

PN	Rain	T.C.	US/IL	Σ I.Area	Σ Base	Foul	Add Flow	Vel	Cap	Flow
	(mm/hr)	(mins)	(m)	(ha)	Flow (1/s)	(1/s)	(1/s)	(m/s)	(1/s)	(1/s)
S1.000	50.00	5.54	114.253	0.000	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.41	11.1	2.6
S1.001	50.00	5.77	112.727	0.000	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.85	14.6	2.6
S1.002	50.00	5.87	111.248	0.000	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.41	11.0	2.6
S1.003	50.00	6.12	110.983	0.000	2.6	0.0	0.0	2.20	17.3	2.6
S1.004	50.00	6.48	108.267	0.000	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.48	11.6	2.6
S2.000	50.00	5.30	108.849	0.046	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.99	17.5	6.2
S2.001	50.00	5.39	108.678	0.080	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.87	33.0	10.8
S2.002	50.00	5.42	108.328	0.080	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.20	74.3	10.8
S1.005	50.00	6.62	106.991	0.080	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.92	36.6	13.4

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 2
32 George Street	Ennerdale Bridge	
Dumfries	Network 2	
DG1 1EH	1	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S-Net 2a.MDX	Checked by WAM	Dialilade
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	,

Online Controls for S NET -2.SWS

Hydro-Brake® Optimum Manhole: S31, DS/PN: S2.002, Volume (m³): 1.7

Unit Reference MD-SHE-0072-2400-1100-2400 Design Head (m) 1.100 Design Flow (1/s)2.4 Flush-Flo™ Calculated Objective Minimise upstream storage Application Surface Sump Available Yes Diameter (mm) 72 Invert Level (m) 108.328 Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter (mm) 100 Suggested Manhole Diameter (mm) 1200

Control Points	Head (m)	Flow (1/s)	Control Points	Head (m)	Flow (1/s)
Design Point (Calculated)	1.100	2.4	Kick-Flo®	0.645	1.9
Flush-Flo™	0.318	2.3	Mean Flow over Head Range	_	2.1

The hydrological calculations have been based on the Head/Discharge relationship for the Hydro-Brake® Optimum as specified. Should another type of control device other than a Hydro-Brake Optimum® be utilised then these storage routing calculations will be invalidated

Depth (m)	Flow $(1/s)$	Depth (m)	Flow $(1/s)$	Depth (m)	Flow (1/s)	Depth (m)	Flow (1/s)	Depth (m)	Flow (1/s)
0.100	1.9	0.800	2.1	2.000	3.2	4.000	4.4	7.000	5.7
0.200	2.2	1.000	2.3	2.200	3.3	4.500	4.6	7.500	5.9
0.300	2.3	1.200	2.5	2.400	3.4	5.000	4.8	8.000	6.0
0.400	2.3	1.400	2.7	2.600	3.6	5.500	5.1	8.500	6.2
0.500	2.2	1.600	2.8	3.000	3.8	6.000	5.3	9.000	6.4
0.600	2.0	1.800	3.0	3.500	4.1	6.500	5.5	9.500	6.5

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 3
32 George Street	Ennerdale Bridge	
Dumfries	Network 2	
DG1 1EH	1	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S-Net 2a.MDX	Checked by WAM	Dialilade
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	,

Storage Structures for S NET -2.SWS

Cellular Storage Manhole: S30, DS/PN: S2.001

Invert Level (m) 108.678 Safety Factor 2.0 Infiltration Coefficient Base (m/hr) 0.00000 Porosity 0.95 Infiltration Coefficient Side (m/hr) 0.00000

Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²) Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²)

0.000 20.0 20.0 20.0 163.1

Cellular Storage Manhole: S31, DS/PN: S2.002

Invert Level (m) 108.328 Safety Factor 2.0 Infiltration Coefficient Base (m/hr) 0.00000 Porosity 0.95 Infiltration Coefficient Side (m/hr) 0.00000

Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²) Depth (m) Area (m²) Inf. Area (m²)

0.000 20.0 0.0 0.800 20.0 0.0

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 4
32 George Street	Ennerdale Bridge	
Dumfries	Network 2	
DG1 1EH	1	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S-Net 2a.MDX	Checked by WAM	Diali lade
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	1

Summary of Critical Results by Maximum Level (Rank 1) for S NET -2.SWS

Simulation Criteria

Areal Reduction Factor 1.000 Additional Flow - % of Total Flow 0.000 Hot Start (mins) 0 MADD Factor * $10m^3$ /ha Storage 2.000 Hot Start Level (mm) 0 Inlet Coefficient 0.800 Manhole Headloss Coeff (Global) 0.500 Flow per Person per Day (1/per/day) 0.000 Foul Sewage per hectare (1/s) 0.000

Number of Input Hydrographs 0 Number of Offline Controls 0 Number of Time/Area Diagrams 0 Number of Online Controls 1 Number of Storage Structures 2 Number of Real Time Controls 0

Synthetic Rainfall Details

Rainfall Model FSR M5-60 (mm) 17.400 Cv (Summer) 0.750 Region England and Wales Ratio R 0.243 Cv (Winter) 0.840

Margin for Flood Risk Warning (mm) 300.0 DVD Status OFF Analysis Timestep Fine Inertia Status OFF DTS Status ON

Profile(s) Summer and Winter Duration(s) (mins) 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 360, 480, 600, 720, 960, 1440, 2160, 2880, 4320, 5760, 7200, 8640, 10080 Return Period(s) (years) 1 Climate Change (%) 0

ı										Water	Surcharged	Flooded	ı
		US/MH		Return	Climate	First (X)	First (Y)	First (Z)	Overflow	Level	Depth	Volume	ĺ
	PN	Name	Storm	Period	Change	Surcharge	Flood	Overflow	Act.	(m)	(m)	(m³)	l
													l
ı	S1.000	S22	15 Summer	1	+0%					114.286	-0.067	0.000	ĺ
	S1.001	S23	180 Winter	1	+0%					112.756	-0.071	0.000	l
	S1.002	S24	60 Summer	1	+0%					111.284	-0.064	0.000	l
	S1.003	S25	15 Summer	1	+0%					111.011	-0.072	0.000	l
	S1.004	S26	180 Winter	1	+0%					108.300	-0.067	0.000	l
	S2.000	S29	15 Winter	1	+0%					108.904	-0.095	0.000	l
	S2.001	S30	15 Winter	1	+0%					108.727	-0.101	0.000	l
	S2.002	S31	60 Winter	1	+0%	1/30 Winter				108.494	0.016	0.000	l
	S1.005	S27	60 Winter	1	+0%					107.051	-0.165	0.000	l

				Half Drain	Pipe		
	US/MH	Flow /	Overflow	Time	Flow		Level
PN	Name	Cap.	(1/s)	(mins)	(1/s)	Status	Exceeded
S1.000	S22	0.24			2.6	OK	
S1.001	S23	0.18			2.6	OK	
S1.002	S24	0.26			2.6	OK	
S1.003	S25	0.16			2.6	OK	
S1.004	S26	0.23			2.6	OK	
S2.000	S29	0.28			4.6	OK	
S2.001	S30	0.24		9	7.0	OK	
S2.002	S31	0.03		28	2.2	SURCHARGED	
S1.005	S27	0.16			4.8	OK	

@1982-2020 Innovyze

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 1
32 George Street	Ennerdale Bridge	
Dumfries	Network 2	
DG1 1EH	30yr +40%	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S-Net 2a.MDX	Checked by WAM	Diamade
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	•

Summary of Critical Results by Maximum Level (Rank 1) for S NET -2.SWS

Simulation Criteria

Areal Reduction Factor 1.000 Additional Flow - % of Total Flow 0.000 Hot Start (mins) 0 MADD Factor * $10m^3$ /ha Storage 2.000 Hot Start Level (mm) 0 Inlet Coefficient 0.800 Manhole Headloss Coeff (Global) 0.500 Flow per Person per Day (1/per/day) 0.000 Foul Sewage per hectare (1/s) 0.000

Number of Input Hydrographs 0 Number of Offline Controls 0 Number of Time/Area Diagrams 0 Number of Online Controls 1 Number of Storage Structures 2 Number of Real Time Controls 0

Synthetic Rainfall Details

Rainfall Model FSR M5-60 (mm) 17.400 Cv (Summer) 0.750 Region England and Wales Ratio R 0.243 Cv (Winter) 0.840

Margin for Flood Risk Warning (mm) 300.0 DVD Status OFF Analysis Timestep Fine Inertia Status OFF DTS Status ON

Profile(s) Summer and Winter Duration(s) (mins) 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 360, 480, 600, 720, 960, 1440, 2160, 2880, 4320, 5760, 7200, 8640, 10080 Return Period(s) (years) 30 Climate Change (%)

PN	US/MH Name	s	torm		Climate Change	First Surch	• •	First (Y) Flood	First (Z) Overflow	Overflow Act.	Water Level (m)	Surcharged Depth (m)
S1.000	S22	60	Summer	30	+40%						114.302	-0.051
S1.001	S23	15	Summer	30	+40%						112.772	-0.055
S1.002	S24	60	Winter	30	+40%						111.300	-0.049
S1.003	S25	60	Summer	30	+40%						111.024	-0.059
S1.004	S26	180	Summer	30	+40%						108.315	-0.052
S2.000	S29	180	Winter	30	+40%	30/120	Winter				109.028	0.029
S2.001	S30	180	Winter	30	+40%	30/30	Summer				109.023	0.195
S2.002	S31	180	Winter	30	+40%	30/15	Summer				109.016	0.538
S1.005	S27	360	Summer	30	+40%						107.067	-0.148

		Flooded			Half Drain	Pipe		
	US/MH	Volume	Flow /	Overflow	Time	Flow		Level
PN	Name	(m³)	Cap.	(1/s)	(mins)	(1/s)	Status	Exceeded
~1 000	~~~		0.40			- 0		
S1.000	S22	0.000	0.48			5.2	OK	
S1.001	S23	0.000	0.37			5.2	OK	
S1.002	S24	0.000	0.51			5.2	OK	
S1.003	S25	0.000	0.31			5.2	OK	
S1.004	S26	0.000	0.46			5.2	OK	
S2.000	S29	0.000	0.32			5.2	SURCHARGED	
S2.001	S30	0.000	0.27		96	7.9	SURCHARGED	
S2.002	S31	0.000	0.04		144	2.3	SURCHARGED	
S1.005	S27	0.000	0.26			7.5	OK	

100 Year

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 1
32 George Street	Ennerdale Bridge	
Dumfries	Network 2	
DG1 1EH	100yr +40%	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S-Net 2a.MDX	Checked by WAM	Diamage
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	1

Summary of Critical Results by Maximum Level (Rank 1) for S NET -2.SWS

Simulation Criteria

Areal Reduction Factor 1.000 Additional Flow - % of Total Flow 0.000 Hot Start (mins) 0 MADD Factor * $10m^3$ /ha Storage 2.000 Hot Start Level (mm) 0 Inlet Coefficient 0.800 Manhole Headloss Coeff (Global) 0.500 Flow per Person per Day (1/per/day) 0.000 Foul Sewage per hectare (1/s) 0.000

Number of Input Hydrographs 0 Number of Offline Controls 0 Number of Time/Area Diagrams 0 Number of Online Controls 1 Number of Storage Structures 2 Number of Real Time Controls 0

Synthetic Rainfall Details

Rainfall Model FSR M5-60 (mm) 17.400 Cv (Summer) 0.750 Region England and Wales Ratio R 0.243 Cv (Winter) 0.840

Margin for Flood Risk Warning (mm) 300.0 DVD Status OFF Analysis Timestep Fine Inertia Status OFF DTS Status ON

Profile(s) Summer and Winter Duration(s) (mins) 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 360, 480, 600, 720, 960, 1440, 2160, 2880, 4320, 5760, 7200, 8640, 10080 Return Period(s) (years) 100 Climate Change (%)

											Water	Surcharged	
	US/MH			Return	Climate	First	(X)	First (Y)	First (Z)	Overflow	Level	Depth	
PN	Name	S	torm	Period	Change	Surch	arge	Flood	Overflow	Act.	(m)	(m)	
S1.000	622	120	Summer	100	+40%						114.312	-0.041	
	522	120	Summer	100								-0.041	
S1.001	S23	15	Summer	100	+40%						112.783	-0.044	
S1.002	S24	60	Winter	100	+40%						111.311	-0.037	
S1.003	S25	60	Summer	100	+40%						111.032	-0.051	
S1.004	S26	15	Summer	100	+40%						108.325	-0.042	
S2.000	S29	180	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer				109.259	0.260	
S2.001	S30	180	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer				109.253	0.425	
S2.002	S31	180	Winter	100	+40%	100/15	Summer				109.245	0.767	
S1.005	S27	960	Winter	100	+40%						107.078	-0.137	

		Flooded			Half Drain	Pipe		
	US/MH	Volume	Flow /	Overflow	Time	Flow		Level
PN	Name	(m³)	Cap.	(1/s)	(mins)	(1/s)	Status	Exceeded
S1.000	S22	0.000	0.66			7.2	OK	
S1.001	S23	0.000	0.51			7.2	OK	
S1.002	S24	0.000	0.71			7.2	OK	
S1.003	S25	0.000	0.43			7.3	OK	
S1.004	S26	0.000	0.63			7.2	OK	
S2.000	S29	0.000	0.40			6.6	SURCHARGED	
S2.001	S30	0.000	0.28		135	8.2	SURCHARGED	
S2.002	S31	0.000	0.04		189	2.3	SURCHARGED	
S1.005	S27	0.000	0.32			9.5	OK	

200 Year

Asher Associates Ltd		Page 1
32 George Street	Ennerdale Bridge	
Dumfries	Network 2	
DG1 1EH	200yr +40%	Micro
Date 20/01/2021	Designed by WAM	Drainage
File S-Net 2a.MDX	Checked by WAM	Dialilade
XP Solutions	Network 2020.1	'

Summary of Critical Results by Maximum Level (Rank 1) for S NET -2.SWS

Simulation Criteria

Areal Reduction Factor 1.000 Additional Flow - % of Total Flow 0.000 Hot Start (mins) 0 MADD Factor * $10m^3$ /ha Storage 2.000 Hot Start Level (mm) 0 Inlet Coefficient 0.800 Manhole Headloss Coeff (Global) 0.500 Flow per Person per Day (1/per/day) 0.000 Foul Sewage per hectare (1/s) 0.000

Number of Input Hydrographs 0 Number of Offline Controls 0 Number of Time/Area Diagrams 0 Number of Online Controls 1 Number of Storage Structures 2 Number of Real Time Controls 0

Synthetic Rainfall Details

Rainfall Model FSR M5-60 (mm) 17.400 Cv (Summer) 0.750 Region England and Wales Ratio R 0.243 Cv (Winter) 0.840

Margin for Flood Risk Warning (mm) 300.0 DVD Status OFF Analysis Timestep Fine Inertia Status OFF DTS Status ON

Profile(s) Summer and Winter Duration(s) (mins) 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 360, 480, 600, 720, 960, 1440, 2160, 2880, 4320, 5760, 7200, 8640, 10080 Climate Change (%) 40

WARNING: Half Drain Time has not been calculated as the structure is too full.

												Water	Surcharged	
	US/MH			Return	Climate	First	(X)	First (Y)	First	(Z)	Overflow	Level	Depth	
PN	Name	S	torm	Period	Change	Surch	arge	Flood	Overf	Low	Act.	(m)	(m)	
S1.000	S22	120	Summer	200	+40%							114.312	-0.041	
S1.000	S23		Summer	200	+40%							112.783	-0.044	
S1.002	S24	60	Winter	200	+40%							111.311	-0.037	
S1.003	S25	60	Summer	200	+40%							111.032	-0.051	
S1.004	S26	15	Summer	200	+40%							108.325	-0.042	
S2.000	S29	180	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					109.426	0.427	
S2.001	S30	180	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					109.419	0.591	
S2.002	S31	180	Winter	200	+40%	200/15	Summer					109.411	0.933	
S1.005	S27	180	Winter	200	+40%							107.079	-0.137	

		Flooded			Half Drain	Pipe		
	US/MH	Volume	Flow /	Overflow	Time	Flow		Level
PN	Name	(m³)	Cap.	(l/s)	(mins)	(1/s)	Status	Exceeded
~1 000	~~~		0.66					
S1.000	S22	0.000	0.66			7.2	OK	
S1.001	S23	0.000	0.51			7.2	OK	
S1.002	S24	0.000	0.71			7.2	OK	
S1.003	S25	0.000	0.43			7.3	OK	
S1.004	S26	0.000	0.63			7.2	OK	
S2.000	S29	0.000	0.45			7.4	SURCHARGED	
S2.001	S30	0.000	0.29		138	8.6	SURCHARGED	
S2.002	S31	0.000	0.04			2.4	FLOOD RISK	
S1.005	S27	0.000	0.32			9.6	OK	

Greenfield Calculations



Greenfield runoff rate estimation for sites

www.uksuds.com | Greenfield runoff tool

Calculated by:	William Milne	
Site name:	Ennerdale bridge	
Site location:	Development Area	

This is an estimation of the greenfield runoff rates that are used to meet normal best practice criteria in line with Environment Agency guidance "Rainfall runoff management for developments", SC030219 (2013), the SuDS Manual C753 (Ciria, 2015) and the non-statutory standards for SuDS (Defra, 2015). This information on greenfield runoff rates may

the basis for setting consents for the drainage of surface water runoff from sites.

Site Details

Latitude: 54.53226° N Longitude: 3.43796° W

Reference: 1206763894

Date: May 25 2021 08:04

Runoff estimation approach

IH124

Site characteristics

Notes

Total site area (ha):

0.87

(1) Is $Q_{BAR} < 2.0 \text{ l/s/ha}$?

Methodology

Q_{BAR} estimation method: SPR estimation method:

Calculate from SPR and SAAR

Default

4

N/A

0.47

2.37

Edited

N/A

0.47

2.37

Calculate from SOIL type

When Q_{BAR} is < 2.0 l/s/ha then limiting discharge rates are set at 2.0 l/s/ha.

Soil characteristics

SOIL type:

HOST class:

SPR/SPRHOST:

(2) Are flow rates < 5.0 l/s?

Where flow rates are less than 5.0 l/s consent for discharge is usually set at 5.0 l/s if blockage from vegetation and other materials is possible. Lower consent flow rates may be set where the blockage risk is addressed by using appropriate drainage elements.

Hydrological characteristics

SAAR (mm):

Hydrological region:

Growth curve factor 1 year:

Growth curve factor 30 years:

Growth curve factor 100 years:

Growth curve factor 200 years:

Default	Edited
1714	1714
10	10
0.87	0.87
1.7	1.7
2.08	2.08

(3) Is SPR/SPRHOST ≤ 0.3?

Where groundwater levels are low enough the use of soakaways to avoid discharge offsite would normally be preferred for disposal of surface water runoff.

Greenfield runoff rates

Q_{BAR} (I/s):

1 in 1 year (l/s):

1 in 30 years (I/s):

1 in 100 year (l/s):

1 in 200 years (I/s):

Default Edited 11.98 11.98 10.42 10.42 20.36 20.36 24.91 24.91 28.38 28.38

This report was produced using the greenfield runoff tool developed by HR Wallingford and available at www.uksuds.com. The use of this tool is subject to the UK SuDS terms and conditions and licence agreement, which can both be found at www.uksuds.com/terms-and-conditions.htm. The outputs from this tool are estimates of greenfield runoff rates. The use of these results is the responsibility of the users of this tool. No liability will be accepted by HR Wallingford, the Environment Agency, CEH, Hydrosolutions or any other organisation for the use of this data in the design or operational characteristics of any drainage scheme



Greenfield runoff rate

www.uksuds.com | Greenfield runoff tool

Calculated by: William Milne Site name: Ennerdale bridge Site location: Western Catchment

This is an estimation of the greenfield runoff rates that are used to meet normal best practice criteria in line with Environment Agency guidance "Rainfall runoff management for developments", SC030219 (2013), the SuDS Manual C753 (Ciria, 2015) and the non-statutory standards for SuDS (Defra, 2015). This information on greenfield runoff rates may

the basis for setting consents for the drainage of surface water runoff from sites.

estimation for sites

Site Details

Latitude: 54.53226° N Longitude: 3.43796° W

Reference:

640590221

Date:

May 25 2021 08:02

Runoff estimation approach

IH124

Site characteristics

Notes

Total site area (ha):

0.22

(1) Is $Q_{BAR} < 2.0 \text{ l/s/ha}$?

Methodology

Q_{BAR} estimation method: SPR estimation method:

Calculate from SPR and SAAR

4

N/A

0.47

Default

Edited

4

N/A

0.47

Calculate from SOIL type

When Q_{BAR} is < 2.0 l/s/ha then limiting discharge rates are set at 2.0 l/s/ha.

Soil characteristics

SOIL type:

HOST class:

SPR/SPRHOST:

(2) Are flow rates < 5.0 l/s?

Where flow rates are less than 5.0 l/s consent for discharge is usually set at 5.0 l/s if blockage from vegetation and other materials is possible. Lower consent flow rates may be set where the blockage risk is addressed by using appropriate drainage elements.

Hydrological characteristics

SAAR (mm):

Hydrological region:

Growth curve factor 1 year:

Growth curve factor 30 years:

Growth curve factor 100 years:

Growth curve factor 200 years:

Default	Edited
1714	1714
10	10
0.87	0.87
1.7	1.7
2.08	2.08
2.37	2.37

(3) Is SPR/SPRHOST ≤ 0.3?

Where groundwater levels are low enough the use of soakaways to avoid discharge offsite would normally be preferred for disposal of surface water runoff.

Greenfield runoff rates

Q_{BAR} (I/s):

1 in 1 year (l/s):

1 in 30 years (I/s):

1 in 100 year (l/s):

1 in 200 years (I/s):

Default	Edited
3.03	3.03
2.63	2.63
5.15	5.15
6.3	6.3
7 10	7 10

This report was produced using the greenfield runoff tool developed by HR Wallingford and available at www.uksuds.com. The use of this tool is subject to the UK SuDS terms and conditions and licence agreement, which can both be found at www.uksuds.com/terms-and-conditions.htm. The outputs from this tool are estimates of greenfield runoff rates. The use of these results is the responsibility of the users of this tool. No liability will be accepted by HR Wallingford, the Environment Agency, CEH, Hydrosolutions or any other organisation for the use of this data in the design or operational characteristics of any drainage scheme



Greenfield runoff rate

www.uksuds.com | Greenfield runoff tool

Calculated by:	William Milne	
Site name:	Ennerdale bridge	
Site location:	Eastern Catchment	

This is an estimation of the greenfield runoff rates that are used to meet normal best practice criteria in line with Environment Agency guidance "Rainfall runoff management for developments", SC030219 (2013), the SuDS Manual C753 (Ciria, 2015) and the non-statutory standards for SuDS (Defra, 2015). This information on greenfield runoff rates may

the basis for setting consents for the drainage of surface water runoff from sites.

estimation for sites

Site Details

Latitude: 54.53226° N Longitude: 3.43796° W

Reference: 287281510

Date: May 25 2021 07:57

Runoff estimation approach

IH124

Site characteristics

Notes

Total site area (ha):

2.92

(1) Is $Q_{BAR} < 2.0 \text{ l/s/ha}$?

Methodology

Q_{BAR} estimation method: SPR estimation method:

Calculate from SPR and SAAR

4

N/A

0.47

Default

Edited

N/A

0.47

Calculate from SOIL type

When Q_{BAR} is < 2.0 l/s/ha then limiting discharge rates are set at 2.0 l/s/ha.

Soil characteristics

SOIL type:

HOST class:

SPR/SPRHOST:

(2) Are flow rates < 5.0 l/s?

Where flow rates are less than 5.0 l/s consent for discharge is usually set at 5.0 l/s if blockage from vegetation and other materials is possible. Lower consent flow rates may be set where the blockage risk is addressed by using appropriate drainage elements.

Hydrological characteristics

SAAR (mm):

Hydrological region:

Growth curve factor 1 year:

Growth curve factor 30 years:

Growth curve factor 100 years:

Growth curve factor 200 years:

Default	Edited
1714	1714
10	10
0.87	0.87
1.7	1.7
2.08	2.08
2.37	2.37

(3) Is SPR/SPRHOST ≤ 0.3?

Where groundwater levels are low enough the use of soakaways to avoid discharge offsite would normally be preferred for disposal of surface water runoff.

Greenfield runoff rates

Q_{BAR} (I/s):

1 in 1 year (l/s):

1 in 30 years (I/s):

1 in 100 year (l/s):

1 in 200 years (I/s):

Default Edited 40.19 40.19 34.97 34.97 68.33 68.33 83.6 83.6 95.26 95.26

This report was produced using the greenfield runoff tool developed by HR Wallingford and available at www.uksuds.com. The use of this tool is subject to the UK SuDS terms and conditions and licence agreement, which can both be found at www.uksuds.com/terms-and-conditions.htm. The outputs from this tool are estimates of greenfield runoff rates. The use of these results is the responsibility of the users of this tool. No liability will be accepted by HR Wallingford, the Environment Agency, CEH, Hydrosolutions or any other organisation for the use of this data in the design or operational characteristics of any drainage scheme

Catchment Plan

