

Oliver Hoban

From: Christie Burns
Sent: 26 July 2023 09:16
To: Development Control
Subject: FW: 4/23/2082/0F1 - LAND AT NORTH LANE, HAVERIGG

From: David Bechelli
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2023 4:50 PM
To: Christie Burns
Subject: FW: 4/23/2082/0F1 - LAND AT NORTH LANE, HAVERIGG

Hi Christie,

With regards to the additional and amended information, I've updated my original comments in [blue](#) below.

Regards

Dave

David Bechelli
Flood and Coastal Defence Engineer | Flood and Development Management
Place Sustainable Growth and Transport | Cumberland Council
Parkhouse Building | Baron Way | Carlisle | CA6 4SJ

cumberland.gov.uk



From: David Bechelli
Sent: 14 April 2023 14:23
To: Christie Burns
Subject: 4/23/2082/OF1 - LAND AT NORTH LANE, HAVERIGG

Hi Christie,

Having a quick look at this, the same Flood Risk Assessment & Drainage Strategy has been submitted for the previous application.

In addition the same issue has arisen in the application, as previously, so my queries from the previous application still apply:

- The Planning Application states that surface water will be disposed of to the main sewer, when this is clearly not the case. Why? [This remains outstanding, but is for the applicant, not the consulting drainage engineer to respond to.](#)
- The Flood Risk Assessment & Drainage Strategy mentions and historic structure and existing drainage arrangements. Can details of these be provided? Is the existing drainage system in an adequate condition? [The Flood Risk & Drainage Strategy has been updated to remove reference to these items. The comments sheet confirms that the existing drainage is maintain by landowner and ditches cleared on a routine basis.](#)
- Has consideration been given for drainage for the development to be communal, rather than individual, as this may be a more sustainable solution? For example a package treatment plant for each section of the site, rather than each plot. [The comments sheet confirms the proposed arrangements, with individual arrangements for self-build plots being the reason. This is understandable.](#)
- Again, as per above there is an indication of existing paved area and a reduction, but no evidence that there is any existing paving on site or areas of hardstanding. [The comments sheet confirms this has been removed. Calculations for the site were based on greenfield rates.](#)

I don't think there will be any issue for the site in terms of flood risk and drainage, but at this stage clarification is needed.

Depending upon responses to the above, I may have further queries.

Regards

Dave

David Bechelli
Flood and Coastal Defence Engineer - Environmental Health & Land Charges
Public Health & Protection | Cumberland Council
Whitehaven Commercial Park, Moresby Parks, Whitehaven, Cumbria, CA28 8YD

