

Christopher Harrison

Subject: FW: Response To Application Number 4/22/2261/0F1 at LAND TO NORTH WEST OF THE MOUNT, WHITEHAVEN
Attachments: Drawing 124826 - 2005 C.JPG; Image 1.jpg; Image 2.jpg; Image 3.jpg

From: Archive
Sent: 07 October 2022 08:00
To: Christopher Harrison <Christopher.Harrison@copeland.gov.uk>
Cc: John Swift
Subject: RE: Response To Application Number 4/22/2261/0F1 at LAND TO NORTH WEST OF THE MOUNT, WHITEHAVEN

CAUTION: External email, think before you click!
Please report any suspicious email to our [IT Helpdesk](#)

Hi Chris,

I am responding to your email below following the site visit with Dave Bechelli and Paul Telford on 04/10/2022.

Firstly, I note that both Dave and Paul have now confirmed they are happy with the as-built status of the detention basin. Dave has qualified his response by asking for the drawings to be updated to an as-built status. This is a fair request as there have been minor changes during the construction process. I have set out comments below in this regard.

For clarity, the following documents formed the planning submission which was validated on 15/06/2022.

- Drawing no. 124826 – 2005 B.
- Drawing no. 124826 – 2008 B.
- Brief Statement (summarising the submission).
- Micro-Drainage calculations.
- Location Plan.
- Planning form.

Now that site works are complete, I can confirm that only drawing no. 124826 – 2005 B needs updated to '*as-built*' status. All the other documents remain as submitted.

As stated above, there were only minor changes during construction. This included additional rip-rap rock boulders on the lower/ steeper section of the channel which were required to stop the flow of water uplifting the erosion matting. In addition to this, the concrete apron at the outfall headwall was increased in size to form a larger catch pit to assist with de-silting. I have updated and attached the relevant drawing which is now referenced drawing no. 124826 – 2005 C. Please note this drawing (as originally submitted) shows a plan view with levels/ contours, specification, cross-sections and longitudinal sections but I have added a cross-section through the rip-rap rock boulder areas.

I have also re-attached the three images of the completed basin I emailed you on 21/09/2022 so these form part of the formal submission.

United Utilities.

In another email yesterday, you asked for a brief statement in response to UU consultee comments. In brief, I think UU are confused. I appreciate they are a statutory consultee but the reality with this application is that we are only

seeking to regularise the as-built status of a private detention basin with a private outfall so there is nothing that requires their input. In addition, the entire drainage system on site is non-adopted and will be maintained by a management company although it should be noted that this does not form part of the current application. All that said, a drawing with levels did (and still does) form part of the original submission.

I trust the above clarifies the current position satisfactorily and provides you with what you need to be able to determine the application.

Please contact me should you have any further queries.

Regards,
Glen