

# ***ARBORICULTURAL REPORT***

Summary Report for Copeland Borough Council

Prepared by Alistair Hearn

**Reference:** 4/26/2006/TPO

**Location:** THE VICARAGE, EGREMONT ROAD, WHITEHAVEN.

**Officer:** Demi Crawford

**Date:** 06 February 2026

## **CONSULTANTS REPORT**

### **DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL**

<b>ID Nos.</b>	<b>SPECIES</b>	<b>PROPOSED WORKS</b>
T1 & G1	1x Cherry tree & 3x Sycamore Trees	Tree works to a cherry tree and three sycamore trees situated within a Conservation Area.
<b>REASON</b>		
T1 - Create clearance of approx. 1.5 - 2m Clearance of 1x Cherry tree away from Memorial G1 - Remove all major deadwood from 3x Sycamore trees.		

### **DISCUSSION**

The trees are in the mature age class and currently show signs of normal vitality. The sycamore trees have dead branches within their crowns.

Cumberland Council website shows the site is within the Whitehaven Hensingham Conservation Area. The TEMPO assessment (page 2) indicates a TPO is defensible for these trees. However, given the clear work specification, we do not consider it expedient to serve a TPO at this time.

The proposed works are therefore acceptable.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

We recommend informing the applicant they can carry out the work in the application subject to the following condition.

We recommend attaching the following conditions:

1. Carry out all pruning work in accordance with BS3998:2010 Tree work – Recommendations. Particularly section 7.7 ‘Crown reduction and reshaping’.

Reason: In accordance with Section 198 of the 1990 Town and Country Planning Act and in the interest of good arboricultural practice.

# ARBORICULTURAL REPORT

Summary Report for Copeland Borough Council

Prepared by Alistair Hearn

TEMPO Assessment					
<b>Part 1: Amenity Assessment</b>					
a) Condition & Suitability:	5-Good = <i>Highly Suitable</i> 3-Fair/Satisfactory = <i>Suitable</i> 1-Poor = Unlikely to be <i>suitable</i> 0-Dead/dying/dangerous = <i>Unsuitable</i>	T1 & G1	b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO:	5-100+ = <i>Highly Suitable</i> 4-40-100 = <i>Very suitable</i> 2-20-40 = <i>Suitable</i> 1-10-20 = <i>Just suitable</i> 0-<10 = <i>Unsuitable</i>	T1 & G1
		3			4
c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:	5-Very large with some visibility, or prominent large trees = <i>Highly Suitable</i> 4-Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public = <i>Suitable</i> 3-Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only = <i>Suitable</i> 2-Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty = <i>Barely suitable</i> 1-Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size = <i>Unsuitable</i>	4	d) Other factors – trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify:	5-Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees 4-Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion 3-Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance 2-Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual 1-Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form) -1-Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location	4
<b>Part 2: Expediency Assessment</b> trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify:			<b>Part 3: Decision guide</b>		
	5-Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice 3-Foreseeable threat to tree 2- Perceived threat to tree 1-Precautionary only	T1 & G1		Any 0 = <i>Do not apply TPO</i> 1-6 = <i>TPO indefensible</i> 7-11 = <i>Does not merit TPO</i> 12-15 = <i>TPO defensible</i> 16+ = <i>Definitely merits TPO</i>	T1 & G1
		1			12