

ARBORICULTURAL REPORT

Summary Report for Cumberland Council

Prepared by Alistair Hearn

Reference: 4/25/2158/TPO

Location: 3 SEACROFT DRIVE, ST BEES.

Officer: Nick Hayhurst

Date: 22 May 2025

CONSULTANTS REPORT

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL

TREE ID NO.	SPECIES	PROPOSED WORKS
T1 – T4	4x Sycamore trees.	Pruning of four sycamore trees situated within a Conservation Area.
REASON		
<i>'T1, T2 & T3 are in close proximity resulting in overlapping branches. Requesting permission to lift and thin out the crown of all 3 trees to allow more light into the garden, provide clearance of buildings and safety over shared driveway. Also removal of deadwood on T1 which led to branch falling in recent storms. T4 – Crown lifting and thinning to allow more light into garden and reduce overlapping branches of canopy and overhanging neighbour's driveway.'</i>		

DISCUSSION

The trees are in the mature age category and show signs of moderate to normal vitality.

From the Cumberland Council website, the site falls within the St Bees Conservation Area. The website indicates a Tree Preservation Order (TPO) does not protect these trees.

We consider the work detailed in the application reasonable to prevent the trees becoming a nuisance.

Our TEMPO assessment (page 2) shows a TPO is defensible, but given the work the applicant is proposing, we consider it is unwarranted at this time.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Inform the applicant they can carry out the work in the notification or wait for the six-week determination period to lapse.

ARBORICULTURAL REPORT

Summary Report for Cumberland Council

Prepared by Alistair Hearn

TEMPO Assessment					
Part 1: Amenity Assessment					
a) Condition & Suitability:	5-Good = <i>Highly Suitable</i> 3-Fair/Satisfactory = <i>Suitable</i> 1-Poor = Unlikely to be <i>suitable</i> 0-Dead/dying/dangerous = <i>Unsuitable</i>	3	b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO:	5-100+ = <i>Highly Suitable</i> 4-40-100 = <i>Very suitable</i> 2-20-40 = <i>Suitable</i> 1-10-20 = <i>Just suitable</i> 0-<10 = <i>Unsuitable</i>	2
c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:	5-Very large with some visibility, or prominent large trees = <i>Highly Suitable</i> 4-Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public = <i>Suitable</i> 3-Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only = <i>Suitable</i> 2-Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty = <i>Barely suitable</i> 1-Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size = <i>Unsuitable</i>	4	d) Other factors – trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify:	5-Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees 4-Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion 3-Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance 2-Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual 1-Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form) -1-Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location	4
Part 2: Expediency Assessment <i>trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify:</i>			Part 3: Decision guide		
5-Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice 3-Foreseeable threat to tree 2- Perceived threat to tree 1-Precautionary only	1		Any 0 = <i>Do not apply TPO</i> 1-6 = <i>TPO indefensible</i> 7-11 = <i>Does not merit TPO</i> 12-15 = <i>TPO defensible</i> 16+ = <i>Definitely merits TPO</i>		14