

# ARBORICULTURAL REPORT

Summary Report for Cumberland Council

Prepared by Alistair Hearn

**Reference:** 4/24/2068/TPO

**Location:** THE LAD BARBER, 70A MAIN STREET, EGREMONT.

**Officer:** Chloe Wootton

**Date:** 04 April 2024

## CONSULTANTS REPORT

### DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL

APPLICATION TREE NO.	SPECIES	PROPOSED WORKS
T1 & T2	2x Sycamore	Felling of two trees situated within a Conservation Area
REASON		
'2 trees, they are both the same type, either a beach or sycamore (difficult to tell when there are no leaves). The trees need to be felled in order to protect the property from further damage and to consider the safety of the residents. One of the photos shows buckling to the wall, this is much more clearer and evident in real life.'		

### DISCUSSION

The two Sycamore trees are in the mature age category and show signs of normal vitality. Both trees are growing very close to the base of a ~2m high brick boundary wall. Future growth is likely to cause damage to the wall.

From the Cumberland Council website, the site falls within the Egremont Conservation Area. A Tree Preservation Order (TPO) does not protect the trees.

We consider the work detailed in the application reasonable to reduce the likelihood of the trees causing damage to the boundary wall.

Our TEMPO assessment (page 2) shows these trees do not merit a TPO.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

Inform the applicant they can carry out the work in the notification or wait for the six-week determination period to lapse.

# ARBORICULTURAL REPORT

Summary Report for Cumberland Council

Prepared by Alistair Hearn

		TEMPO Assessment					
		Part 1: Amenity Assessment					
a) Condition & Suitability:	5-Good = <i>Highly Suitable</i> 3-Fair/Satisfactory = <i>Suitable</i> 1-Poor = Unlikely to be <i>suitable</i> 0-Dead/dying/dangerous = <i>Unsuitable</i>	T1	T2	b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO:	5-100+ = <i>Highly Suitable</i> 4-40-100 = <i>Very suitable</i> 2-20-40 = <i>Suitable</i> 1-10-20 = <i>Just suitable</i> 0-<10 = <i>Unsuitable</i>	T1	T2
		3	3			2	2
c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:	5-Very large with some visibility, or prominent large trees = <i>Highly Suitable</i> 4-Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public = <i>Suitable</i> 3-Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only = <i>Suitable</i> 2-Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty = <i>Barely suitable</i> 1-Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size = <i>Unsuitable</i>	T1	T2	d) Other factors – trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify:	5-Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees 4-Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion 3-Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance 2-Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual 1-Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form) -1-Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location	T1	T2
		3	3			1	1
Part 2: Expediency Assessment <i>trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify:</i>				Part 3: Decision guide			
5-Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice 3-Foreseeable threat to tree 2- Perceived threat to tree 1-Precautionary only	T1	T2	Any 0 = <i>Do not apply TPO</i> 1-6 = <i>TPO indefensible</i> 7-11 = <i>Does not merit TPO</i> 12-15 = <i>TPO defensible</i> 16+ = <i>Definitely merits TPO</i>	T1	T2		
	-	-		9	9		