From:	Christie Burns
Sent:	20 February 2024 12:41
To:	Development Control
Subject:	FW: Case 4/23/2197/0L1 - Orchard Brow Barn, Haile
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Hi all,

Please can these comments be put online for the above.

Please note that the advice in this email is given in good faith on the basis of the information available at the present time. The advice may be subject to revision following further examination or consultation, or where additional information comes to light, and is therefore not binding on any future recommendation which may be made to the Council or any formal decision by the Council.

Kind Regards,

Christie Burns MRTPI

Senior Planning Officer | Development Management Thriving Place and Investment | Cumberland Council The Market Hall | Market Place | Whitehaven | CA28 7JG T



From: Thomas Whitfield < >
Sent: Tuesday, February 20, 2024 12:33 PM
To: Christie Burns
Subject: RE: Case 4/23/2197/0L1 - Orchard Brow Barn, Haile

CAUTION: External email, think before you click! Please report any suspicious email to our <u>IT Helpdesk</u>

Dear Christie,

Thanks for this e-mail. Unfortunately and puzzlingly, I do not seem to have received the consultation which you sent on the 5th February (not sure why). I have just now made a study of the revised proposals and read the applicant's response to our concerns and comments.

In light of the revisions and clarifications provided by the applicant, I am pleased to advise that The Georgian Group is content to withdraw our objection and concerns and we defer to the LPA Conservation Officer on all matters.

We wish to offer our thanks to the applicant for having been willing to engage positively with our advice and recommendations to develop a scheme which we hope will secure a sympathetic and sustainable future for Orchard Barn as a listed heritage asset.

Yours sincerely,

Thomas Whitfield, PhD, MLitt, BA (hons) Conservation Adviser, Northern England

Support us https://georgiangroup.org.uk/memberships/



The information contained in this e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual(s) named. If you are not the named addressee(s) you should not copy, disseminate or distribute this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free since information can arrive late or contain viruses, or be corrupted, destroyed, incomplete, intercepted, or lost. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message which arise as a result of e-mail transmission. If verification is required please ask for a hard-copy version.

From: Christie Burns
Sent: Tuesday, February 20, 2024 12:19 PM
To: Thomas Whitfield
Subject: RE: Case 4/23/2197/0L1 - Orchard Brow Barn, Haile

You don't often get email from

. Learn why this is important

Good afternoon,

I am just chasing a response to the below reconsultation sent on the 5th February 2024. Deadline for comments were yesterday. I would be grateful if you could submit any further comment by the 22nd February 2024. If I do not receive comments by this date I will assume you have no further comments to submit on this application and will progress on this basis.

Please note that the advice in this email is given in good faith on the basis of the information available at the present time. The advice may be subject to revision following further examination or consultation, or where additional information comes to light, and is therefore not binding on any future recommendation which may be made to the Council or any formal decision by the Council.

Kind Regards,

Christie Burns MRTPI

Senior Planning Officer | Development Management Thriving Place and Investment | Cumberland Council The Market Hall | Market Place | Whitehaven | CA28 7JG T: Email:



From: Christie Burns Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 4:32 PM To: Subject: RE: Case 4/23/2197/0L1 - Orchard Brow Barn, Haile

Good afternoon,

Further to comments from the Georgian Society I have received amended information for this application. Details of the application can be found at the following link: <u>4/23/2197/0L1 | Copeland</u> Borough Council. I would be grateful if you could submit any further comments within 14 days of this email.

Please note that the advice in this email is given in good faith on the basis of the information available at the present time. The advice may be subject to revision following further examination or consultation, or where additional information comes to light, and is therefore not binding on any future recommendation which may be made to the Council or any formal decision by the Council.

Kind Regards,

Christie Burns MRTPI

Senior Planning Officer | Development Management Thriving Place and Investment | Cumberland Council The Market Hall | Market Place | Whitehaven | CA28 7JG T



From: Thomas Whitfield
Sent: Tuesday, November 7, 2023 12:09 PM
To: Development Control <
Subject: Case 4/23/2197/0L1 - Orchard Brow Barn, Haile

CAUTION: External email, think before you click! Please report any suspicious email to our <u>IT Helpdesk</u>

Dear Christie Burns,

>

Thank you for notifying The Georgian Group of revisions to application 4/23/2197/LBC to undertake a scheme of works at Grade II listed Orchard Brow Barn, Haile. The Group previously offered comment on this application in a letter dated 9th August 2023.

We thank the applicant for having been willing to engage positively with our comments and we welcome the clarifications and revisions offered. We particularly welcome the proposals to retain timber shutters/doors to openings which we advise will help to preserve the historic agricultural character of the building. We further welcome the retention of slot breathers as windows at eaves levels.

The Group does however retain some concerns that the distinctive and characterful small, mullioned windows/slot breathers at Lower GF level on the west elevation (noted in the building survey as 1-4, pg.15) are still shown as being blocked on the revised plans and elevations. We therefore reiterate our previous advice that these should be retained as open windows.

We further query whether shutters/doors could also be retained on the east elevation as they are proposed to be on the west elevation. Whilst we recognise that this is not a public facing elevation, we advise that the retention of shutters/doors would further help to preserve the agricultural character of the building.

The Group maintains concerns with the proposed wall lining. We acknowledge the detailed response from the applicant addressing these concerns and arguing that the proposed interventions are necessary from a project viability stance. We recommend however that we would consider dubbing out with an insulated lime plaster to be the preferred option for internal wall finishes. We therefore recommend that the proposal for dry lining should be supported through submission of comparative costings and additional evidence to demonstrate that it is the only viable option in this case.

We further advise that the formation of the proposed concrete floor remains of concern as (as mentioned in our previous letter) this has the potential to force moisture into the walls and thereby lead to damp and deterioration of the built fabric of the building. We acknowledge that the applicant has cited concerns over undermining of the walls, and we recognise that the applicant has proposed a mitigation of leaving a cavity channel at the edge of the concrete. We again however advise that this proposal would be better supported through submission of evidence of the depth of the foundations to demonstrate the practicability of using alternative floor treatments. We recommend that a trial hole could be excavated against the internal face of walls to prove the depth of the foundations and to allow a further assessment of the potential for introducing a vapour permeable insulated flooring option.

Conclusion

The Group thanks the applicant for having offered revisions and clarifications to this application however we maintain some concerns with the proposals and advise that further supportive evidence is needed. We therefore recommend that the applicant offers further revisions/clarifications to address the abovementioned concerns.

We defer to the LPA Conservation Officer for comment on all elements not specifically mentioned above.

Yours sincerely,

Thomas Whitfield, PhD, MLitt, BA (hons) Conservation Adviser, Northern England

Support us https://georgiangroup.org.uk/memberships/

In 2022 the Georgian Group began an annual Heritage at Risk list and we are now encouraging nominations for the 2023 edition. If you have any nominations for at risk buildings, structures, or landscapes dating from the period 1700-1837 (either designated or undesignated) please send them to: atrisk@georgiangroup.org.uk with as much information as possible including photographs (deadline: 8 September). More information here: https://georgiangroup.org.uk/2023/01/17/heritage-at-risk-2



The information contained in this e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual(s) named. If you are not the named addressee(s) you should not copy, disseminate or distribute this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free since information can arrive late or contain viruses, or be corrupted, destroyed, incomplete, intercepted, or lost. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message which arise as a result of e-mail transmission. If verification is required please ask for a hard-copy version.

This email is confidential and is for the attention of the addressee only. Cumberland Council accept no responsibility for information, errors or omissions contained in it. We make every effort to keep our network free from viruses. You should independently check this e-mail and any attachments for viruses, as we can take no responsibility for any computer viruses that might be transferred by way of this e-mail.