## COPELAND BOROUGH COUNCIL DELEGATED PLANNING DECISION

| 1. | Reference No: | 4/21/2406/0F1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Proposed Development: | SINGLE STOREY EXTENSIONS TO SIDE AND REAR |
| 3. | Location: | 188 HIGH ROAD, WHITEHAVEN |
| 4. | Parish: | Whitehaven |
| 5. | Constraints: | ASC;Adverts - ASC;Adverts, <br> Coal - Standing Advice - Data Subject To Change |
| 6. | Publicity <br> Representations \&Policy | Neighbour Notification Letter: YES <br> Site Notice: NO <br> Press Notice: NO <br> Consultation Responses: See report <br> Relevant Planning Policies: See report |
| 7. | Report: <br> SITE AND LOCATIO <br> This application re estate within Whi <br> PROPOSAL <br> Planning Permissio and rear of the pr room, a utility and <br> The extension will proposal will also metres. It has bee eaves height of 2.2 | s to 188 High Road, a semi-detached property situated on an existing housing ven. <br> sought for the erection of a single-storey wrap-around extension to the side ty. It will provide a ground floor bedroom and accessible shower room, a music and an enlarged kitchen-living room. <br> ect 3.5 metres from the side elevation and it will be 9.19 metres in depth. The ect 3 metres from the rear elevation and it will have an overall width of 9.42 signed to include a lean-to roof with an overall height of 3.7 metres and an tres. The front elevation will include a window, the side elevation will include |

two windows and the rear elevation will include a window, an access door and bi-fold doors. It will also be lit by three skylights on the rear and it will be finished in render, roof tiles and UPVC windows and doors to match the existing property.

The proposal also includes the creation of two off-street parking spaces and a raised deck and ramp on the rear elevation to ensure the extension is fully accessible. The decking will not exceed 30 cm above ground level.

## RELEVANT PLANNING APPLICATION HISTORY

There have been no previous planning applications at this property.

## CONSULTATION RESPONSES

Whitehaven Town Council
No objections.

## Highway Authority

Standing Advice.
Lead Local Flood Authority
Standing Advice.

## Public Representation

The application has been advertised by way of neighbour notification letters issued to 5 no.
properties.
One objection has been received as a result of this consultation, which raised the following concerns:

- Lack of neighbour consultation;
- Loss of view;
- Loss of property value.


## PLANNING POLICIES

Planning law requires applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

## Development Plan

Copeland Local Plan 2013 - 2028 (Adopted December 2013)
Core Strategy

Policy ST1 - Strategic Development Principles
Development Management Policies (DMP)
Policy DM10 - Achieving Quality of Place
Policy DM18 - Domestic Extensions and Alterations
Policy DM22 - Accessible Developments
Other Material Planning Considerations
National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)
Cumbria Development Design Guide
Emerging Copeland Local Plan (ELP):
The emerging Copeland Local Plan 2017-2035 has recently been the subject of a Preferred Options Consultation. The Preferred Options Consultation builds upon the completed Issues and Options Consultation, which finished in January 2020. Given the stage of preparation, the emerging Copeland Local Plan 2017-2035 has only limited weight in decision making, but provides an indication of the direction of travel of the emerging planning policies, which themselves have been developed in accordance with the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework.

## ASSESSMENT

The key issues raised by this proposal are the principle of development, its scale and design, the potential impacts on residential amenity and highway safety.

## Principle of Development

The proposed application relates to a residential dwelling located on an existing housing estate within Whitehaven. It will provide a ground floor bedroom and accessible shower room, a music room, a utility and WC and an enlarged kitchen-living room. Policy DM18 supports extensions and alterations to residential properties subject to detailed criteria, which are considered below.

On this basis, the principle of the development is therefore considered to be acceptable and the extension satisfies Policies ST2, DM18 and the NPPF guidance.

## Scale and Design

Policy ST1 and section 12 of the NPPF seek to promote high quality designs. Policy DM10 and DM18 seek to ensure domestic alterations are of an appropriate scale and design which is appropriate to their surroundings and do not adversely affect the amenities of adjacent dwellings.

Concerns were received regarding the loss of view and the potential loss of property value, although these issues are not material planning considerations so cannot be considered as part of the application assessment.

The proposed extension is considered to be relatively modest in scale and appropriately sited to the side and rear of the property. The design will include a lean-to roof to reflect the character of the existing dwelling and the proposed materials will match the existing property.

On this basis, the proposal will not be prominent in the street scene and it is considered to meet Policies DM10 and DM18(A) and the NPPF guidance.

## Residential Amenity

Policy ST1, Policy DM18 and section 12 of the NPPF seek to safeguard good levels of residential amenity of the parent property or adjacent dwellings.

The proposal will be appropriately located in the side and rear garden, to the south and west of the existing property. It will be stepped back from the side boundary by approximately 1.5 metres and therefore due to the separation distances and orientation within the application site, it is considered that the extension will not cause a significant overshadowing or overlooking to the neighbouring properties.

Under current permitted development rights, a rear extension could project 3 metres from the rear elevation and a side extension could project up to half the width of the original dwelling, 4 metres in this case, with an eaves height of 3 metres and an overall height of 4 metres, without the requirement for formal planning permission. This fall-back position is a material consideration in the assessment of this application. As the wrap-around extension is not significantly larger than what is possible under permitted development, this proposal is considered to be satisfactory.

In addition, the proposed raised deck and ramp on the rear elevation will ensure the extension is fully accessible and as the decking will not exceed 30 cm above ground level, the potential amenity issues are considered to be minimal and the existing boundary fence will screen the development.

On this basis, the proposal will have little impact on the residential amenity and it is considered to comply with Policies DM18(B), DM18(C) and the NPPF guidance.

## Highway Safety

Policy DM22 requires developments to be accessible to all users and encourages innovative approaches to manage vehicular access and parking.

The proposal will create additional off-street parking to the rear of the property and therefore it is considered the proposal will provide adequate off-street parking to meet the needs of the property. It will not have a detrimental effect on the existing highway conditions and the driveway installation can be secured by the use of a planning condition.

On this basis, the proposal is considered to meet Policy DM22 and standards set out in the Cumbria Development Design Guide.

## Conclusion

The proposed wrap-around extension is of an appropriate scale and design and would not have any detrimental impact on the amenities of the adjoining properties or highway safety. It represents an
acceptable form of development which accords with the policies set out within the adopted Local Plan and the guidance in the NPPF.
8. Recommendation:

Approve (commence within 3 years)
9. Conditions:

1. The development hereby permitted must commence before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason

To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.
2. This permission relates to the following plans and documents as received on the respective dates and development must be carried out in accordance with them: -

Location Plan, scale 1:1250, drawing no 100A, received $10^{\text {th }}$ January 2022;
Site Plan, scale 1:250, drawing no 100A, received $10^{\text {th }}$ January 2022;
Existing Floor Plan and Elevations, scale 1:100, drawing no 103A, received $10^{\text {th }}$ January 2022;
Proposed Floor Plan, scale 1:50, drawing no 101A, received $10^{\text {th }}$ January 2022;
Proposed Elevations, scale 1:100 and 1:200, drawing no 102A, received $10^{\text {th }}$ January 2022.

Reason

To conform with the requirement of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.
3. Prior to the first use of the extension, the proposed driveway must be installed in accordance with the details set out in approved Site Plan, drawing reference '100A' received by the Local Planning Authority on $10^{\text {th }}$ January 2022. The driveway must be maintained at all times thereafter.

Reason

To ensure that adequate off-street parking is provided in accordance with Policy DM22 of the Copeland Local Plan.


