

**COPELAND BOROUGH COUNCIL
DELEGATED PLANNING DECISION**

1.	Reference No:	4/21/2394/0L1
2.	Proposed Development:	LISTED BUILDING CONSENT FOR WORKS ASSOCIATED TO CONVERT EXISTING PROPERTY TO A RETAIL SPACE FOR THE SALE OF ART AND CRAFTS PRODUCED BY LOCAL ARTISTS. EXISTING PEWS WITHIN THE BUILDING RE-POSITIONED TO CREATE AN OPEN SPACE WITHIN THE BUILDING. 6NO. STALLS FOR THE SALE OF ART AND CRAFTS WILL BE CREATED USING FREESTANDING SCREENS. ADDITIONALLY, FREESTANDING SCREENS WILL BE USED TO CREATE A STORAGE SPACE (MAINLY FOR THE STORAGE OF UNUSED PEWS) AND AN OFFICE SPACE. A NEW DISABLED ACCESS TOILET WILL CREATED. THE ORGAN WILL BE REMOVED TO MAKE SPACE FOR THE NEW WC/WASHROOM WHICH WILL BE FORMED WITH TIMBER PARTITION WALLS AND A CEILING WILL BE CREATED OVER THE NEW ROOM. THE NEW SOIL PIPE AND VENTILATION DUCT WILL EXIT THE BUILDING THROUGH AN EXITING VENT IN THE EXTERIOR WALL. REPAIR OF WINDOW IN SOUTH WEST ELEVATION INCLUDING REPAIRS TO THE FRAME, LEAD AND GLAZING
3.	Location:	ST JOHN THE EVANGALIST, LECONFIELD STREET, CLEATOR MOOR
4.	Parish:	Cleator Moor
5.	Constraints:	ASC;Adverts - ASC;Adverts, Listed Building - Listed Building, Coal - Development Referral Area - Data Subject to Change, Coal - Standing Advice - Data Subject To Change
6.	Publicity Representations &Policy	Neighbour Notification Letter: YES Site Notice: YES Press Notice: NO Consultation Responses: See report Relevant Planning Policies: See report

7. **Report:**

SITE AND LOCATION

This application relates to the church know as St John the Evangelist, located off Leconfield Street in Cleator Moor.

The building was used as a place of worship until 19th March 2017 and has been vacant and redundant since.

It is Grade II Listed with the following details:

NY 01 NW CLEATOR MOOR LECONFIELD STREET (South side) Cleator Moor 5/13 Church of St John Evangelist II

Parish Church. 1872 by Cory and Ferguson (Carlisle); restored 1900. Snecked sandstone blocks with quoins and buttresses; chamfered plinth to chancel. Graduated slate roofs with chapel outshut to either side of chancel; stone copings and kneelers. Romanesque style; west tower, nave with aisles, chancel. 3-stage tower has paired belfry opening under containing arch with trellis decoration to spandrel; blind recess on either side similarly decorated. Tower stair turret projects on south side at junction with nave; external door. Main door on north side of nave has 4 semicircular orders; 3 outer are decoratively carved, carried between waterleaf capitals on en-delit shafts. Single aisle and clerestory window to each bay of nave; chancel has 3 stepped windows to east end. All windows round-headed under hoodmoulds. Whitewashed brick interior. 4-bay nave arcade of semicircular arches carried between waterleaf capitals on quatrefoil piers. Quadrant vaults to aisles and pointed barrel vault to main vessel, both with transverse arches. Intersecting rib vault to chancel. Polygonal carved wood pulpit to left of chancel arch in nave, matching vicar's stall to right. Carved stone font, on 5 marble shafts, in baptistry to ground floor of tower.

Listing NGR: NY0147915311

Access is gained from Crossfield Road to a parking area between the church and the hall. Pedestrian access connects with Leconfield Street and runs to the north west of the church.

This application has been submitted in tandem with Planning Permission for the same works (application reference 4/21/2393/OF1 relates).

PROPOSAL

Listed Building Consent is sought for alterations to the Church as part of the proposed the change of use to a retail space for the sale of art and crafts created by local artists. The proposal will include the following works:

- The creation of a disabled parking bay;
- The creation of a ramp for disabled access;
- The moving of the stepped access further north;

- The repositioning of the pews;
- The removal of the existing timber raised platforms/staging;
- The removal of the organ and creation of a new WC in its place;
- The restoration of the south west window.

RELEVANT PLANNING APPLICATION HISTORY

There have been no relevant previous planning applications on the site.

CONSULTATION RESPONSES

Cleator Moor Town Council

Members would like to mention the issue of parking for traders and visitors as no parking provision appears to be included in the proposal although the business plan attached does suggest a possible provision of an electric charging point for vehicles.

Conservation Officer

1st Response

These applications cover conversion of this empty church to form a community arts and crafts facility.

- In pre-app discussions, the possibility of retaining the organ façade and pipes, which are still within the church, was considered. This proposal disposes with these elements and inserts a plasterboard studwork box to house the new WC. Justification for this is given that the organ is already only partially complete and in a state of dilapidation. I would view loss of its remaining elements as less-than-substantial harm to the significance of the building, justified by the need to provide a practical WC facility in a space where access would have necessitated further alteration to the organ façade. Based on the current information, my view is that the remains of the organ are not practically reusable and a clean, simple replacement is appropriate, while fulfilling an important need.
- The pews will be retained in the church, so although their layout is altered, there will be no loss of historic fabric and the work remains in principle reversible.
- The insertion of freestanding screens falls somewhere between no impact and minimal on the significance of the building, depending on how permanent they end up being. This is not something that can be controlled, and I would view the harm as negligible as they block views up the aisle (which are secondary) while retaining the main views up the nave, and are removable.

2nd Response

New detailing has been supplied to provide ramped access at the main door and two disabled parking

bays in the car park.

I would view the harm caused by the painting of the disabled parking bays to have a negligible impact on the setting of the building, justified by the better provision for those who may need the spaces.

The ramp appears to have been designed with no goal other than to serve the need for ramped access, and is an unattractive compromise solution. Historic England helpfully provides guidance specifically on accessible design for historic buildings, and suggests that such “temporary” solutions are rarely satisfactory and often end up staying in place for years.

The galvanised metal will not be attractive, and I also have come concern that the ramp will be an obstruction as much as an assistance, as ambulant visitors coming from the car park will have to walk past the ramp, turn 180 degrees to go up the steps, and they turn again to go into the church.

Is a better layout possible? Would it be possible to slide the ramp towards the church, so it adjoins the new landing adjacent to the building, leaving space for a symmetrical pair of staircases, allowing access from both directions?

I would suggest timber would be more attractive and less utilitarian-looking, so would invite a design making attractive and deliberate use of timber.

The drawings in the Full application have been updated, but those in the Listed application have not, and the Access Statement for each also needs updating to reflect the proposal.

- Currently, I do not view the justification for this specific design as being particularly strong, and there is no evidence in the application that other solutions have been explored.
- The drawings in the Listed application, and in the Design, Access and Heritage in both, still need updating.

3rd Response

New detailing has been supplied showing a revised ramped access design at the main door.

- I note that the Design section of the D&A statement has not been updated, which would seem necessary as this is quite a major external alteration. It still says under section 2.7 “The external fabric will remain as existing”, which is obviously not the case. It also says (all the pages are numbered “page 1”, but it’s actually page 5) “There is no structural change to the fabric of the building internal and external”, which I would say is not true now.
- It would be useful to have some consideration of the heritage implications of the proposed ramp added to the Heritage Statement (e.g. impact of removing original front steps, visual impact, mitigation strategies and alternatives considered etc.)
- The following questions remain to be answered:
 - o What materials will be used and why (with photograph sample)?
 - o Can the existing steps and masonry be re-used?
 - o Will there be any impact on rainwater goods/downpipes, as the elevation drawings don’t

appear to show any?

- o Does the ramp surface require any kind of non-slip detail, and if so what?
- o Does the ramp require a concrete footing to be dug?
- There also ought to be a more detailed drawing than what's shown here. Although these drawings are useful up to a point, I would expect something like a detail short section through the ramp (e.g. from the SW angle), showing how it is constructed and detailed (balustrade, handrail etc.) and how it interfaces with the church wall, and annotated with proposed materials for reference against the Design statement.

4th Response

Revised documentation has been received, which provides a welcome step-up in detail.

The D&A statement has been revised to correct the omission of mention of the access ramp.

The heritage statement has been expanded and assesses the significance (as well as impact) in more detail.

Detail sections have been provided, showing the construction and proposed materials. The application also now elaborates on the extent of re-use of existing steps, relevant presence of RWPs, and footings.

The drawings do not refer to a non-slip finish, so I take that as confirmation that the sandstone slabs will be the finish.

These revisions address the outstanding concerns I had. I welcome the proposal to give this building a use as this has the potential to protect it from decline and offer an amenity to Cleator Moor.

Copeland Disability Forum

1st Response

1] Is there is level access into the Church and whereabouts is this situated?

2] If there is existing wheelchair access to the Church is this reached by a level path that is tarmacked – not gravel

3] Where would blue badge holders be able to park i.e. will there be dedicated disabled parking spaces? We read in the document parking could be at the adjacent supermarket but this is accessed via several steps.

2nd Response

Following a response from the Applicant:

CDF cannot support this application. The Equality Act 2010 states independent access into a building, this is obviously not possible with a ramp “only a wooden ramp utilised when needed” Also there will be no suitable parking for blue badge holders.

3rd Response

In light of the new information and plans provided Copeland Disability Forum would fully support this application which allows independent access for all and provides disabled parking.

We would ask that if the planning department (committee) agrees to this application then they would ensure the changes are carried out by the applicant.

Public Representation

The application has been advertised by way of a site notice and neighbour notification letters issued to 10 no. properties.

No responses have been received to these advertisements.

PLANNING POLICY

Planning law requires applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Development Plan

Copeland Local Plan 2013 – 2028 (Adopted December 2013)

Core Strategy

Policy ST1 – Strategic Development Principles

Policy ENV4 – Heritage Assets

Development Management Policies (DMP)

Policy DM10 – Achieving Quality of Place

Policy DM27 – Built Heritage and Archaeology

Other Material Planning Considerations

National Planning Policy Framework 2021 (NPPF)

Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (LBCA)

Emerging Copeland Local Plan

The emerging Copeland Local Plan 2017-2035 was recently the subject of a Preferred Options Consultation. The Preferred Options Consultation builds upon the completed Issues and Options Consultation which finished in January 2020. Given the stage of preparation, the emerging Copeland Local Plan 2017-2035 has only limited weight in decision making, but provides an indication of the direction of travel of the emerging planning policies, which themselves have been developed in

accordance with the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework.

ASSESSMENT

Impact on Heritage Asset

Policy ENV4 and Policy DM27 seeks to protect, conserve and where possible enhance listed buildings and their settings.

The LBCA sets out a clear presumption that gives considerable importance and weight to the desirability of preserving a heritage asset and its setting.

Section 16.2 requires that: *'In considering whether to grant listed building consent for any works the local planning authority or the Secretary of State shall have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses'*.

Paragraphs 184 – 202 of the NPPF in respect of heritage include a requirement that when considering the impact of development proposals on designated heritage assets such as listed buildings, great weight should be given to the conservation of the asset's significance; however, less than significant harm should be weighed against the public benefits of a development.

Information relating to the designation of the church has been received taken from The Church of England Heritage Record and the heritage asset significance of the property is principally derived from its physical form/construction.

The proposals have been fully reviewed and assessed by the Conservation Officer of the Council.

Externally, the only works are to restore a window on the south west elevation and move the external staircase to accommodate the new ramped access. The window will be restored to match the window on the south west with repairs to the frame and the glazing. As this will be a like for like repair, it is considered to be acceptable and will improve the aesthetics of the outside of the building.

The ramp for accessibility was requested by the Copeland Disability Forum. Original plans submitted were not considered to be acceptable as the materials and design were not in keeping with the Listed Building. The final design is to be constructed from sandstone with a steel handrail painted black. The materials will help the ramp to blend in with the building, allowing it to be functional and respect the traditional surroundings.

Internally, the works are modest and will not have a material effect on the fabric of the building. In order to accommodate the installation of the WC, the remainder of the organ will be removed to make space for partition walls to contain the facilities. As the only part of the organ remaining is the

	<p>façade, with the instrument having been removed some time ago, this is considered to be acceptable as it is no longer working. The return of the floors to their original stone is encouraged and will uncover some of the previous heritage of the building. The pews, an important part of the buildings past, will be stored within the building, with some utilized for seating. All aspects have been thoroughly discussed with the Council’s Conservation Officer and are considered to preserve the Listed Building.</p> <p>It is therefore unlikely that the proposal will have an adverse impact on the fabric or the setting of the Listed Building, in accordance with Policies ENV4 and DM27 of the Copeland Local Plan.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u></p> <p>The Conservation Officer considers that the completed works are unlikely to result in any harm to the Listed Building and overall the maintenance of the building will benefit the buildings future and bring it back into use. The works are therefore considered to be in accordance with Policies ENV4 and DM27 of the Copeland Local Plan.</p> <p>In applying the tests of the Copeland Local Plan 2013-2028, the LBCA and the NPPF, the proposal will preserve the heritage significance of the listed building and so is supported.</p>
8.	<p>Recommendation: Approve Listed Building Consent (start within 3yr)</p>
9.	<p>Conditions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The works hereby permitted must be commenced before the expiration of three years from the date of this consent. Reason To comply with Sections 18 and 74 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. 2. Permission shall relate to the following plans and documents as received on the respective dates and development shall be carried out in accordance with them: - Site Location Plan, scale 1:1250, received 23rd August 2021; Proposed Block Plan, scale 1:100, drawing number 012C, received 6th January 2022; Existing Floor Plan, scale 1:100, drawing number 001A, received 23rd August 2021; Existing North West Elevation, scale 1:100, drawing number 002A, received 23rd August 2021; Existing NE and SW Elevation, scale 1:100, drawing number 003A, received 23rd August 2021; Existing South East Elevation, scale 1:100, drawing number 004A, received 23rd August 2021;

Existing Internal Elevations, scale 1:50, drawing number 009A, received 23rd August 2021;
Proposed Internal Elevations, scale 1:50, drawing number 010A, received 23rd August 2021;
Proposed South East Elevation, scale 1:100, drawing number 008A, received 23rd August 2021;
Proposed South West Elevation, scale 1:100, drawing number 011B, received 1st November 2021;
Proposed Floor Plan, scale 1:100, drawing number 005C, received 1st November 2021;
Proposed North West Elevation, scale 1:100, drawing number 006C, received 1st November 2021;
Proposed North East Elevation, scale 1:100, drawing number 007D, received 1st November 2021;
Section through ramp (1 of 3), drawing number 013A, received 6th January 2022;
Section through ramp (2 of 3), drawing number 014A, received 6th January 2022;
Section through ramp (3 of 3), drawing number 015A, received 6th January 2022;
Design and Access Statement, received 6th January 2022.

Reason

To conform with the requirement of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

Informative

The proposed development lies within a coal mining area which may contain unrecorded coal mining related hazards. If any coal mining feature is encountered during development, this should be reported immediately to the Coal Authority on 0345 762 6848.

Further information is also available on the Coal Authority website at:

www.gov.uk/government/organisations/the-coal-authority

Statement

The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received, and subsequently determining to grant planning permission in accordance with the presumption in favour of sustainable development as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework.

Case Officer: Sarah Papaleo

Date : 11/02/2022

Authorising Officer: N.J. Hayhurst

Date : 17/02/2022

