

COPELAND BOROUGH COUNCIL DELEGATED PLANNING DECISION

| | | |
|----|--|---|
| 1. | Reference No: | 4/21/2248/0F1 |
| 2. | Proposed Development: | REPLACEMENT OF REAR CONSERVATORY WITH LARGER SINGLE STOREY, FLAT ROOFED EXTENSION |
| 3. | Location: | 12 WESTFIELD DRIVE, EGREMONT |
| 4. | Parish: | Egremont |
| 5. | Constraints: | ASC;Adverts - ASC;Adverts, Coal - Off Coalfield - Data Subject To Change |
| 6. | Publicity Representations & Policy | Neighbour Notification Letter: YES Site Notice: NO Press Notice: NO Consultation Responses: See report Relevant Planning Policies: See report |
| 7. | Report: LOCATION This application relates to 12 Westfield Drive, a semi-detached property located on an existing housing estate within Egremont. PROPOSAL Planning Permission is sought for the erection of a single-storey rear extension to provide an additional family room and ground floor WC. It will project 4 metres from the rear wall and it will have a width of 4.9 metres. It has been designed to include a flat roof with an overall height of 3 metres and a height of 3.5 metres including the two roof lanterns. The design includes two windows on the rear elevation and an access door on the side elevation facing the garden. The side elevation along the boundary will be blank. The extension will be finished with facing bricks, a single ply membrane roof with double glazed lantern lights and white UPVC windows and doors to match the existing property. | |

RELEVANT PLANNING APPLICATION HISTORY

Planning Permission has previously been granted for the demolition and rebuild of garage, kitchen to rear and bedroom at first floor (ref: 4/09/2344/0) and the erection of a rear conservatory (ref: 4/09/2516/0).

CONSULTATION RESPONSES

Consultees

Egremont Town Council – No objection so long as there is a neighbour consultation.

Public Representations

The application has been advertised by way of neighbour notification letters issued to 3 no. properties - No objections have been received as a result of this consultation process.

PLANNING POLICIES

Planning law requires applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Development Plan

Copeland Local Plan 2013 – 2028 (Adopted December 2013)

Core Strategy

Policy ST1 – Strategic Development Principles

Policy ST2 – Spatial Development Strategy

Development Management Policies (DMP)

Policy DM10 – Achieving Quality of Place

Policy DM18 – Domestic Extensions and Alterations

Other Material Planning Considerations

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

Emerging Copeland Local Plan (ELP):

The emerging Copeland Local Plan 2017-2035 has recently been the subject of a Preferred Options Consultation. The Preferred Options Consultation builds upon the completed Issues and Options Consultation, which finished in January 2020. Given the stage of preparation, the emerging Copeland Local Plan 2017-2035 has only limited weight in decision making, but provides an indication of the direction of travel of the emerging planning policies, which themselves have been developed in accordance with the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework.

ASSESSMENT

The key issues raised by this proposed are the principle of development, its scale and design, the potential impacts on residential amenity.

Principle of Development

The proposed application relates to a residential dwelling within Egremont and it will provide an additional family room and ground floor WC. Policy DM18 supports extensions to residential properties subject to detailed criteria, which are considered below.

On this basis, the principle of the development is therefore considered to be acceptable and the extension satisfies Policies ST2, DM18 and the NPPF guidance.

Scale and Design

Policy ST1 and section 12 of the NPPF seek to promote high quality designs. Policy DM10 and DM18 seek to ensure domestic alterations are of an appropriate scale and design which is appropriate to their surroundings and do not adversely affect the amenities of adjacent dwellings.

The proposed extension will be appropriately located to the rear of the property, behind the main element of the existing dwelling and it will be modest in scale. This will ensure that the proposal appears subservient to the main dwelling and it will not be excessively prominent within the locality. It will not be overbearing for the neighbouring properties and the design is considered to be suitable for its use. In addition, the choice of materials are considered to respect the existing character and appearance of the existing property.

On this basis, the proposal is considered to meet DM18(A) policy and the NPPF guidance.

Residential Amenity

Policy ST1, Policy DM18 and section 12 of the NPPF seek to safeguard good levels of residential amenity of both the parent property and adjacent dwellings.

Overlooking and overshadowing issues between the proposed extension and the neighbouring properties were considered. Although the extension will be modest in scale and the flat roofed design will reduce potential overshadowing issues. The existing boundary fence and neighbouring garage will also screen the development and reduce potential amenity issues.

In addition, under current permitted development rights, an extension could project 3 metres from the rear elevation without the requirement for formal planning permission. This fall-back position is a material consideration in the assessment of this application. As the projection is not significantly larger than what is possible under permitted development, this proposal is considered to be satisfactory and therefore the proposal will not have a detrimental impact on the neighbouring amenity.

On this basis, it was considered that the proposal will not have any adverse impacts on the neighbours and therefore the proposal is considered to meet Policy DM18 and the NPPF guidance.

| | |
|----|--|
| | <p><u>Planning Balance and Conclusion</u></p> <p>The proposed extension is of an appropriate scale and design and will not have any detrimental impact on the amenities of the adjoining properties. It represents an acceptable form of development which accords with the policies set out within the adopted Local Plan and the guidance in the NPPF.</p> |
| 8. | <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>Approve (commence within 3 years)</p> |
| 9. | <p>Conditions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <p>The development hereby permitted must commence before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.</p> <p>Reason</p> <p>To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.</p> <p>This permission relates to the following plans and documents as received on the respective dates and development must be carried out in accordance with them: -</p> <p>Location Plan, scale 1:1250, received 27th May 2021; Existing and Proposed Block Plan, scale 1:500, drawing no 454/01 Rev B, received 27th May 2021; Existing Floor Plan and Elevations, scale 1:100, drawing no 454/01 Rev B, received 27th May 2021; Proposed Floor Plan and Elevations, scale 1:100, drawing no 454/01 Rev B, received 27th May 2021.</p> <p>Reason</p> <p>To conform with the requirement of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.</p> <p>Statement</p> <p>The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received, and subsequently determining to grant planning</p> |

| | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| | permission in accordance with the presumption in favour of sustainable development as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework. | |
| Case Officer: C. Unsworth | | Date : 15/07/2021 |
| Authorising Officer: N.J. Hayhurst | | Date : 19/07/2021 |
| Dedicated responses to:- N/A | | |