

COPELAND BOROUGH COUNCIL DELEGATED PLANNING DECISION

| 1. | Reference No: | 4/20/2216/0F1 | | |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| | | ,,, | | |
| 2. | Proposed | ERECTION OF DECKING TO REAR OF DWELLING | | |
| | Development: | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3. | Location: | GREENHILL, BRAYSTONES | | |
| 4. | Parish: | Lowside Quarter | | |
| 4. | | | | |
| 5. | Constraints: | ASC;Adverts - ASC;Adverts, | | |
| | | Safeguard Zone - Safeguard Zone, | | |
| | | Coal - Off Coalfield - Data Subject To Change, | | |
| | | DEPZ Zone - DEPZ Zone | | |
| 6. | Publicity | Neighbour Notification Letter: YES | | |
| | Representations | | | |
| | &Policy | Site Notice: NO | | |
| | | Press Notice: NO | | |
| | | Pless Notice. NO | | |
| | | Consultation Responses: See report | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Relevant Planning Policies: See report | | |
| 7. | Report: | | | |
| | SITE AND LOCATION | | | |
| | This application relate | es to Greenhill, a detached property situated within the village of Braystones. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | PROPOSAL | | | |
| | Planning Permission is sought for the erection of a raised deck to the rear of the dwelling. The | | | |
| | proposed platform will project 6 metres from the rear elevation and will be 4 metres in width. The | | | |
| | raised platform will be 0.9 metres from ground level due to the sloping nature of the rear garden and | | | |
| | will be accessed by the patio doors on the rear elevation. The proposed deck will be built out of | | | |
| | timber boarding and i | t will include a 1.1 metre high timber balustrade and steps on the side elevation | | |
| | to access the garden. | | | |

RELEVANT PLANNING APPLICATION HISTORY

There has been no previous planning applications at this property.

CONSULTATION RESPONSES

<u>Consultees</u>

Lowside Quarter Parish Council – No objections.

Public Representations

The application has been advertised by way of neighbour notification letters issued to 1 no. properties - No objections have been received as a result of this consultation process.

Development Plan

Copeland Local Plan 2013 – 2028 (Adopted December 2013)

Core Strategy

Policy ST1 – Strategic Development Principles

Development Management Policies (DMP)

Policy DM10 – Achieving Quality of Place

Policy DM12 – Standards for New Residential Dwellings

Policy DM18 – Domestic Extensions and Alterations

Other Material Planning Considerations

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

ASSESSMENT

The key issues raised by this proposal are the scale and design and the potential impacts on residential amenity.

Scale and Design

Policy ST1 and section 12 of the NPPF seek to promote high quality designs. Policy DM10 and DM18 seek to ensure domestic alterations are of an appropriate scale and design which is appropriate to their surroundings and do not adversely affect the amenities of adjacent dwellings.

The proposed raised deck will be appropriately sited to the rear of the property. It is also considered to be modest in scale and design. In additional, the proposed materials are considered to suitable for its use and on this basis, the proposed decking is considered to meet Policies DM10 and DM18(A) from the Local plan and NPPF guidance.

| | Reside | ential Amenity | | |
|----|------------------|---|--|--|
| | ameni | ST1, Policy DM18 and section 12 of the NPPF seek to safeguard good levels of residential ty of the parent property or adjacent dwellings. Policy DM12 requires a separation distance en directly facing habitable room windows of 21 metres to maintain privacy and overlooking ards. | | |
| | Althou | ooking issues were considered as the platform would be more than 30cm above ground level. ugh, concerns were mitigated as there are no residential dwellings located directly behind the id the existing hedging along the boundary will screen the development. | | |
| | over 4 | paration distance between the proposed decking and the closest neighbouring property will be 0 metres, far in excess of what is minimum in Policy DM12. It is therefore considered that the sal will not cause a detrimental loss of amenity for the existing property or the surrounding rties. | | |
| | On thi guidar | s basis, the proposal is considered to comply with Policies DM12 and DM18(C) and the NPPF nce. | | |
| | <u>Conclu</u> | ision | | |
| | | ll, this is considered to be an acceptable form of development which accords with the policies t within the adopted Local Plan and the guidance in the NPPF. | | |
| 8. | | nmendation: ve (commence within 3 years) | | |
| 9. | Condi | Condition(s): | | |
| | 1. | The development hereby permitted must commence before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission. | | |
| | | Reason | | |
| | | To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. | | |
| | 2. | This permission relates to the following plans and documents as received on the respective dates and development must be carried out in accordance with them: - | | |
| | | Location Plan, scale 1:1250, received 17 th June 2020; | | |
| | | Block Plan, scale 1:200, received 17 th June 2020; | | |
| | | Proposed Floor Plans and Elevations, scale 1:100, received 17 th June 2020. | | |
| | | Reason | | |

| Case Officer: Chloe Unsworth Authorising Officer: N.J. Hayhurst | Date : 31/07/2020 Date : 07/08/2020 |
|---|---|
| | Date : 31/07/2020 |
| the National Hamming Folicy Francework. | |
| The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and pro assessing the proposal against all material considerations representations that may have been received, and subse permission in accordance with the presumption in favour the National Planning Policy Framework. | , including planning policies and any quently determining to grant planning |
| Statement | Indse Act 2004. |
| To conform with the requirement of Section 91 of as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Pure | , . |

Г

٦