

Copeland Local Development Framework

Whitehaven Town Centre and Harbourside Supplementary Planning Document

Draft SPD Consultation Report

July 2012

Amended October 2012

1.0 Introduction and Background

- 1.1 The Whitehaven Town Centre and Harbourside Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) has been prepared to guide future development in Whitehaven town centre and harbourside areas. It provides further detail on planning policies in the adopted Copeland Local Plan and emerging Core Strategy and Development Management Policies DPD and sets out design guidance for new development on the identified Regeneration Priority Sites and any other sites which may come forward during the plan period.
- 1.2 Whitehaven town centre and harbour areas are of significant historic interest and this presents both challenges and opportunities for the design of new development. The heritage is valued by local people and its protection and enhancement are seen as key to the town's renaissance and developing role as a tourist and visitor destination within West Cumbria. Recent development proposals have sparked widespread public debate in the local media around contemporary design and heritage issues.
- 1.3 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) advises that planning should be genuinely plan-led, empowering local people to shape their surroundings. Early and meaningful engagement and collaboration with neighbourhoods, local organisations and businesses is essential. Supplementary Planning Documents should be used where they can help applicants make successful applications or aid infrastructure delivery, and should not be used to add unnecessarily to the financial burdens on development.
- 1.4 The consultation process for the SPD offered an important opportunity for residents and other stakeholders to influence the planning and design of new development at the outset. The Borough Council is committed to ensuring that the consultation process in Planning is as extensive and wide reaching as possible, in line with the adopted Statement of Community Involvement (SCI). This Consultation Report sets out the process for the consultation on the Draft SPD, summarises the results, and demonstrates how stakeholders' suggestions and opinions have helped to shape the final document.

2.0 Consultation Process

- 2.1 The consultation process for the Draft SPD built on two earlier rounds of public consultation which began with looking at Issues and Options in the Summer of 2011 and continued into the Autumn and Winter months. The results of the earlier rounds of consultation informed and shaped the content of the Draft SPD document. This Consultation Report therefore summarises the main points raised and the Council's responses to them in relation to the consultation on the Draft SPD only.
- 2.2 The consultation process for the Draft SPD took place over 7 weeks from 26th April to 8th June 2012. The process was promoted through the local media with a notice in the Whitehaven News (see Appendix I). Posters and leaflets (see Appendix II) were delivered to a range of locations across the town centre including shops, businesses, doctors' and dentists' surgeries and health centres.

- 2.3 All Borough and County Councillors received hard copies of the Draft SPD, together with a Representation Form (Appendix III) and over 190 Stakeholders from the LDF Consultation Database were informed about the consultation process and directed to the link on the Council's website by letter.
- 2.4 In addition planning officers attended several meetings with key stakeholder groups and a public event to promote the consultation process for the SPD including:
 - Whitehaven Locality Partnership (15th May)
 - Copeland Disability Forum Access Working Group (17th May)
 - Whitehaven Ladies Forum (18th May)
 - A stand at the Whitehaven Festival (2nd June)
- 2.5 The Draft SPD, Representation Form, Consultation Report, Sustainability Appraisal and a background document, "Whitehaven: Seeing the History in the View" were all placed on Copeland Borough Council's website with a link from the Home Page. Hard copies were made available in local libraries and Council offices throughout Copeland, as well as the Tourist Information Centre and the Beacon Museum in Whitehaven.
- 2.6 Social networking/social messaging was also used to raise awareness of the Draft SPD consultation through posts on the Council's Facebook page and Twitter.

3.0 Summary of Consultation Responses

3.1 Representations were received from 14 individual respondents and organisations, providing around 50 responses in total. The full results of the Consultation have been recorded on a database and are available in a report format from the Planning Policy Team on request.

Summary of Comments	Summary of Council Responses
The potential impacts from development affecting Network Rail's level crossings should be addressed through the Whitehaven Town Centre and Harbourside Draft SPD	The development of the proposed sites would be unlikely to impact directly on level crossings in the Borough as these are some distance (approx
and narbourside Draft 3FD	25-30 miles away) in the south of the Borough around Millom.
The SPD should include a policy requiring developer contributions to deliver improvements to the rail network, a requirement for Transport Assessments to take cognisance of impacts to existing rail infrastructure, and a commitment to consult Network Rail where development may impact on the rail network and may require rail infrastructure improvements.	Network Rail are a statutory consultee and therefore would be consulted on any planning applications, and would be able to comment in detail on any development proposals as they come forward. The Borough Council are in the process of developing an SPD on Developer Contributions and Network Rail will have an opportunity to comment in detail on this document.
An excellent document and is very comprehensive. It will certainly be of great value when discussing or dealing with major	Noted.

developments within the town centre				
developments within the town centre The town looks shabby compared to how it	Noted and comments regarding street closusing			
·	Noted and comments regarding street cleansing			
looked.	were forwarded to Keith Parker and then to			
More pressure should be put on owners who	Economic Development and Street Cleansing for			
leave their premises in a dreadful state.	information.			
A clean and presentable looking town would				
bring in the new shops we want if better rents				
are offered.				
Need to sequentially test sites allocated in LDF	The sites have not been subjected to sequential			
which are at risk of flooding - some may require	test as Whitehaven is a small coastal town and			
exception test.	the identified sites in the Local Plan remain the			
	only developable sites available to assist with the			
	town's regeneration and renaissance. Those			
	along the harbourside represent a major			
	opportunity to enhance the town's role as a			
	visitor and tourist destination and those around			
	Pow Beck are on a major gateway into the town			
	centre which requires substantial investment.			
Design guidelines for former Bus Depot and	Accepted and added to development guidelines.			
Albion Street North should include reference to				
potential contaminants and pollutants.				
Design Checklist should include:	Accepted and proposed wording added.			
"Have ground conditions and the presence of				
surface coal resources and resulting potential for				
hazards from past mining activity been afforded				
due consideration?"				
Document is very comprehensive and excellent	Noted.			
in terms of vision and proposed execution.				
Document should take more account of the	Accepted. SPD Updated and amended to			
requirements of the NPPF, particularly in relation	remove references to PPSs and PPGs and			
to business investment.	replaced with summary of relevant paragraphs			
	from NPPF.			
In determining phasing there is built in flexibility	Accepted and wording of Chapter 10 amended.			
is required.				
Organisation welcomes the references to	Noted.			
biodiversity and improvements to public spaces.				
Design Principle 28 would be stronger if it were	Accepted and Design Principle 28 amended.			
to read "Landscaping schemes should take				
opportunities to enhance biodiversity,				
geodiversity, landscape character and green				
infrastructure. Other opportunities to design				
wildlife into the development should also be				
sought."				
As the site for a transport interchange is still to	Accepted and text amended.			
be determined, it is suggested that reference to				
the Transport Interchange in specific relation to				
the Bus Station and Bus Depot development				
sites (pg 88) is removed.				
Given that there are no definite proposals for a	It is considered that the existing wording reflects			
transport interchange, it is recommended that	the current position and the provision of a			

specific reference to the transport interchange in	Transport Interchange remains a priority of the		
Design Principle 19 (pg42) is removed.	Borough Council. Therefore no change to the wording of the SPD is proposed.		
Various site specific detailed comments about	Partially accepted.		
sewerage and waste water drainage.	The Development Guidelines for the sites have been amended to include the advice that "Developers should seek detailed advice from United Utilities at an early stage regarding waste and surface water."		
Need to think about the factors that influence the character of the grain.	Accepted and Design Principle 2 amended.		
Building lines are a key design influence which should be retained so flexibility needs further thought.	Accepted and Design Principle 4 amended.		
Building heights – need to consider when exceeding 3 storeys would be justified.	Accepted and Design Principle 5 amended.		
Various detailed comments in relation to development guidelines for Quay St car par, Mark House, Bus Depot and YMCA.	Generally accepted and wording amended accordingly.		
Various detailed comments relating to the wording of Design Principles 10, 13, 14, 18, 21 and 22 in order strengthen the emphasis on improving accessibility.	Generally accepted and wording amended accordingly.		
National Trust is pleased to support the revised Vision and Objectives for the SPD.	Noted.		
Overall the 30 Design Principles are supported as being relevant to the Town Centre and Harbourside area – the detailed text relating to each is also generally well written and argued.	Noted.		
Further reference and detail on the Colourful	Partially accepted.		
Coast should be provided in the Introduction, and the weblink provided in the Bibliography.	It is appropriate to mention the Colourful Coast alongside brief references to the Heritage Coast and Maritime Festival to demonstrate the point about recognised Tourism potential, but further detail is not considered necessary. The Colourful Coast area lies to the south of the town centre and harbourside and will be considered in more detail in the West Whitehaven SPD. The weblink has been added to the Bibliography and a reference to this is provided in the text.		
Amend the key in Map 8 to include the link to the Colourful Coast	Accepted. The key in Map 8 has been amended		
Suggested that either a separate Design Principle	Not accepted.		
is set out in relation to views and landmarks more generally, or alternatively Design Principle 26 is broadened to include other elements.	The suggested wording contains too much detail. The existing wording refers the reader to the more detailed technical background document which provides a full explanation of the importance of the many historical structures and landmarks in each identified View which require careful consideration and protection. The existing wording was supported by English		

Heritage and the Council's Development
Management Team including the Conservation
Officer, and provides an appropriate level of
flexibility for considering planning applications.
However, the matter of views between the
Colourful Coast and the Town Centre and
Harbourside area will be considered as part of
the forthcoming West Whitehaven SPD and the
Council will work with the National Trust and
other interested parties in assessing these
important views in the context of that SPD.

3.2 Facebook

The Issues and Options Consultation was posted on the Council's Facebook page, a link to the Consultation page on the Council's website was included as part of the post. There were no responses.

4.0 Conclusions

The results from the public consultation on the Draft SPD have resulted in a number of minor changes to the final version of the document and as a result the document will be more robust, relevant and effective.

A copy of the full consultation responses, together with the Borough Council's responses can be obtained on request from Louise Kirkup, Planning Policy team, on 01946 598514 or by emailing louise.kirkup@copeland.gov.uk.

5.0 Next Steps

The SPD should be adopted by the Borough Council in the Summer / Autumn of 2012 and then published.

Appendix I Notice in Whitehaven News

Copoland Ecrough Council

Capaland Local Development
Framework
The remode Centry Normal Ordel Johnson
The Connection of Centry Normal Ordel Johnson
Modern Under State (1988) and 1988 and 1988

Appendix II Posters and Leaflets





Appendix III Representation Form



COPELAND LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK

Whitehaven Town Centre and Harbourside Draft Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) Consultation

Representation Form

Please use this form to indicate which part(s) of the Whitehaven Town Centre & Harbourside Draft SPD you either support or object to, together with any amendments/additions you would like to see.

Please use a separate form for each expression of support or objection.

Please complete and return this form **no later than Friday 8th June 2012** to:

Strategic Planning Manager Planning Policy Unit Copeland Borough Council The Copeland Centre Catherine Street Whitehaven Cumbria CA28 7SJ

For internal use:	
Resp. No.	

Or email: ldf@copeland.gov.uk

1. Your Details

(Where provided we will use your Agent's details as our primary contact)

	Your details Agent's details			
Name				
Position				
Organisation				
Address				
Postcode				
Telephone				
Email				

2. Your Comments

Please indicate the part of the document to which your comment relates.

Chapter	Section		Design Principle			
Sustainability Appra	aisal Paragraph					
Are you supporting paragraph?	g or objecting to the cha	apter / section /	design principl	e / Sustainak	oility Appr	aisal
(Please tick ✓ as ap	opropriate)					
Supporting [] Objecti	ng 🗆	Ma	iking a Comm	ent	
	sons for your support on Town Centre & Harbon		any changes/a	dditions you	would like	e to be made
	Γ					
Signature:				Date:		

Please use a separate form for each comment in support/objection and return this form **no later than Friday** 8^{th} **June 2012**.

Thank you for completing this form