

Copeland local plan 2021-2038 (HEG 2)

Follow on questions with reference to INS04

Reference	Question
1.8	<p>How was the HRA (Habitat regulations assessments) carried out and was the methodology approved.</p> <p>Specifically:</p> <p>What habitat surveys were carried out?</p> <p>Bird survey – Barn owls in the field, any development would affect them and their food source displacement.</p> <p>Bat survey- Lots of bats flying through the field area.</p> <p>Amphibian survey – The field is almost a constant Marsh area and supports several species, with Palmate newts, Great crested newts and common lizard etc...</p> <p>Are the results available?</p>
2.10	<p>Who was the council engaged with in terms of habitat protection ?</p> <p>Are the results and reports available ?</p>
3.8 / 16.1	<p>What is the basis of then proposed settlement clusters ?</p> <p>Why here / these sites ?</p> <p>What brownfield sites were considered ?</p> <p>Why were they discounted ?</p> <p>Were abandoned / orphan buildings or land considered ?</p>
3.5	Is there sufficient capacity with regards to catchment area schools
3.10	<p>Are the proposed settlement boundaries justified on the basis of proportionate evidence?</p> <p>Is the evidence available ?</p>
3.13	Questions as per 3.8
7.2	Has the loss of the Egremont post office been taken into consideration
13.2	Does the Cumbria transport infrastructure plan account for the increased traffic flow – has traffic monitoring accounted for road usage and when did this take place ?
14.1	Have the adverse impacts on current residents from increased traffic flow with both construction works and potential future occupancy been assessed and are the assessments available ?
14.6	Considering that the current surface water drainage cannot cope with moderate to high rain fall conditions, has the proposed tie ins or new drainage taken this in to account ?