

Copeland Local Plan 2021- 2038

Discounted Site Profiles

Copeland Borough Council December 2021

www.Copeland.gov.uk

Contents

1	Introduction
2.	How to use this document:
3.	The Principal Town: Whitehaven5
4.	Key Service Centres:9
E	gremont9
Ν	/illom
5.	Local Service Centres15
A	rlecdon and Rowrah15
C	leator16
۵	Distington17
F	rizington
S	eascale19
S	t Bees
6.	Sustainable Rural Villages:
E	eckermet
E	igrigg22
۵	0rigg
E	nnerdale Bridge24
Ν	Aoresby Parks25
Ν	Лоог Row
7.	Rural Villages27
k	irksanton27
8.	Open Countryside:
Т	he Hill

1 Introduction


- 1.1. This report contains details of sites that have not been selected as residential allocations in the Local Plan 2021-2038 Publication Draft
- 1.2. This report should be read alongside the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA). The SHLAA can be viewed at the following link: <u>https://www.copeland.gov.uk/content/copeland-local-plan-2021-2038-evidence-base</u>
- 1.3. These sites have all been considered deliverable or developable (unless otherwise stated), and would be suitable for future development in principle. Most of these sites have been promoted by either a landowner or developer during the Local Plan process.
- 1.4. Reasons why they have not been selected as allocations include the following:
 - A sufficient level amount of land has already been allocated in the settlement or settlement tier. In these cases, the land that has been allocated is generally less constrained
 - The site has achieved planning permission and therefore there is not a need to allocate it
 - The site has received an objection from a statutory consultee or from Cumbria County Council as Highways Authority and Lead Local Flood Authority.
- 1.5. In some cases, Copeland Borough Council have proposed sites for allocation at earlier stages of the Local Plan process. However, as more information has come forward about the constraints surrounding the site, and therefore deliverability, they have been removed.
- 1.6. Please note, where a site is undevelopable, the details have been included in the SHLAA. This report only contains the reasonable alternative sites that have been previously considered for allocation as potentially deliverable sites.

2. How to use this document:

2.1. The sites within this paper are divided by settlement and are listed in order of the Publication Draft Settlement Hierarchy, with the sites in the Borough's four towns (Principal Town and Key Service Centres) first, followed by those within the Local Service Centres, Sustainable Rural Villages and Other Rural Villages. The full settlement hierarchy can be viewed in policy DS3PU of the Local Plan Publication Draft.

- 2.2. Maps of every site are included within this document and can also be seen on the Council's web mapping page.
- 2.3. Each discounted site has an individual table explaining the reasons for exclusion. The tables include the following:
 - SHLAA Reference: More detail about each site can be found within the SHLAA
 - Site Size in hectares
 - **Indicative Yield**: This is a figure based on a 25 dwelling per gross hectare basis (unless otherwise stated) to show the potential number of dwellings a site could provide
 - Adjacent uses: This identifies where the adjacent uses may be incompatible with residential development or have an impact on the delivery of new dwellings. This may contribute towards the overall reason for exclusion.
 - Reasons for discounting
 - **Consultee comments:** Contains any recent comments from key stakeholders which support our reasons for excluding the site, where relevant. Other consultee comments which aren't relevant to the decision not to take the site forward are not listed.

3. The Principal Town: Whitehaven




Residential to the west and open countryside to the north, east and south. West Cumberland Hospital is located to the North West of the site.

Reasons for discounting:

Site is located outside of the proposed settlement boundary for Whitehaven and would act as an unnatural extension to the settlement on its own. Access is uncertain, and it is likely this would need to be provided from Egremont Road. However, there is potential for the site to come forward in the long term as part of the Homewood broad location, linked to the Council's ambition for the development of a Whitehaven relief road. The potential Broad Location is identified in the Local Plan Publication Draft.

Consultee Comments: N/A



Adjacent uses:


Residential to the north and west, Surrounded by agricultural/ open countryside to the east and south.

Reasons for discounting:


Site is outside of the settlement boundary and would act as an unnatural extension to the settlement on its own. However, there is potential for the site to come forward as part of the Harras Farm Broad Location which is identified in the Local Plan Publication Draft. Potential topography, drainage, overlooking and heritage impacts in this area would make development difficult and access is uncertain.

Consultee Comments:

- Cumbria County Council: Currently cannot demonstrate a viable access. Clarity of suitable access required before assessment can be made.
- CBC Conservation Officer: Impact on setting of NDHAs on Victoria Road. Site of former George Pit colliery




• United Utilities: Significant main pressurised 12in.




Consultee Comments: See RM application

4. Key Service Centres:


Egremont





 Cumbria County Council: The development of the site in highways terms is not acceptable due inadequate access.

Millom




Reasons for discounting:

Development would be considered as backland, access may be difficult to achieve, and availability is uncertain. There are potential environmental and heritage issues with development of the site which would require mitigation (see below).

Consultee Comments:

- CCC LLFA: The Council objects to the inclusion of Land south of Derwentwater Close into the Millom settlement boundary. The location of the land and its general layout means that all the water originating from the south runs towards the settlement (Bowness road and Cumberland road). Water can pool at these locations which connect into the UU sewer system and could cause inundation. The overland flows through this area would cause some significant concerns in relation to ability of the site to accommodate these flows whilst adhering to SuDS principals for drainage of the site itself. Providing any confidence that the site would be suitable for housing development would undermine the advice we have provided Copeland Borough Council with for this site previously.
- CBC Environmental Health Officer: Close to large working farm (New Hall Farm), risk of noise and odour nuisance.
- CBC Flood and Coastal Defence Engineer: All Flood Zone 1, but potential surface water issues. I understand that there may be a culvert within or near this plot. The surface water from this site must be kept separate from any existing systems. Whilst Crook Pool is close by, there are problems with this watercourse, which are likely to have contributed to flooding, so this may not be suitable for surface water discharge. Design of the site can protect it from a repeat of the recent flooding in Millom, but surface water disposal from site could be a problem.

• CBC Conservation Officer: Harm to setting of New Hall Farm NDHA (medium risk)



Adjacent uses:


Residential to the north, Devonshire Road Industrial Estate to the east and Millom Rugby League Club to the west. To the south of the site is open countryside.

Reasons for discounting:

CBC had proposed to include this site in the settlement boundary for Millom at the Prepublication focussed consultation stage of the plan. However, additional evidence has come forward to suggest the site is in flood zone 2 and could have the potential to significantly increase flood risk in the area. There is also the potential for amenity issues relating to the close proximity of the site to the Industrial Estate and potential prejudicial impacts relating to the adjacent Rugby Club. Access is available to the site, however, nearby gardens have compromised visibility.


Consultee Comments:

 Cumbria County Council: The Council's objects to the inclusion of the Crook Field site into the Millom settlement boundary. This section of Millom lies within Environment Agency flood zone 2. In accordance with chapter 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework on 'meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change' (paragraph 161, p47) all plans should apply a sequential, risk- based approach to the location of development – taking into account all sources of flood risk and the current and future impacts of climate change – so as to avoid, where possible, flood risk to people and property."




5. Local Service Centres


Arlecdon and Rowrah



Cleator




Distington




 UU: Main combined gravity sewers and a pressurised treated water distribution main passes through the site. These will need to be given due consideration during the site masterplanning and build. We wish to emphasise the importance of investigating the surface water hierarchy and preference for foul only connections in accordance with national policy.

Frizington



Consultee Comments: N/A

Seascale




wastewater treatment works, which could result in potential amenity issues. Development would be considered as backland. A buffer would be required between the site and the adjacent pitches to the west which could impact further upon viability.

Consultee Comments:


• Cumbria County Council: Object to the inclusion of the site as large portion is within Flood Zone 3, and development in this area could see increased risk of flooding downstream.

St Bees




6. Sustainable Rural Villages:

Beckermet



Bigrigg




Reasons for discounting:


This site was included in part as a draft allocation at Preferred Options Stage (HBI3). However, since Preferred Options, Bigrigg has moved down a tier in the settlement hierarchy and is now classed as a Sustainable Rural Village. As a result of this, there was an overprovision of housing allocations in Bigrigg, resulting in the removal of this site. This site is less well related to the settlement than the housing sites being taken forward.

Consultee Comments: See comments made at Preferred Options stage.

Drigg



Ennerdale Bridge



Reasons for discounting:

The site has infrastructure constraints, including sewer capacity connection issues and a lack of Gas infrastructure. There are surface water and drainage issues, as well as ecological constraints.

Extract from the Ecological Assessments 2021:

Summary of Main Ecological Constraints to Development

The site supports a range of biodiverse habitats including wet pools and rivulets, unimproved grassland, marshy grassland, tall ruderal etc. As such it is of considerable ecological value and appears to be unsuitable for development, both in terms of its ecological value and due to the wet terrain and steeply sloping plot. It is also very close to the River Ehen SAC and its tributaries so any drainage from the site during and following development would pose risk of pollution to the watercourse. There is potential for many protected species to make use of this site and therefore many surveys will need to be completed to check for these.


Opportunities for Biodiversity Enhancement

The site is of biodiversity value as it is and therefore to develop the land and also include Biodiversity Net Gain on site is unlikely to be realistic unless off site compensation could be provided.

Consultee Comments:

• Cumbria County Council - the site has overland flow flood issues which development could ameliorate.

Moresby Parks



Sit

Adjacent uses:


Residential to the north and east. Open countryside to the west. In close proximity to Whitehaven Commercial Park.

Reasons for discounting:

Development, even at a smaller scale, would be contrary to the strategy and the scale of development required within the village given that there are already large sites with extant permission within the village. There are also sufficient, less constrained sites within the Sustainable Rural Villages tier to enable housing needs to be met. Development may also result in landscape impacts if development wasn't sensitively designed and connectivity to the rest of the village may be difficult given on site constraints.


Consultee Comments: N/A

Moor Row



7. Rural Villages

Kirksanton



Reasons for discounting:

This site was submitted to Copeland at a late stage of the plan process and was not included as part of any call for sites exercises. As a result, the appropriate assessments of the site have not been carried out, meaning there is not enough evidence to consider it at this stage. The site is heavily wooded, and it may be required to remove some trees to provide suitable access to the site. There are also sufficient deliverable sites in the rural villages' tier of the settlement hierarchy. The site will be considered further at Local plan review stage.

Consultee Comments: N/A

8. Open Countryside:



