



| Site Information  |                         |   |                |                  |                     |                 |  |
|---|-------------------------|---|----------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| Site Ref.   | E1                      | Site Name Westlakes Science Park  |                |                  |                     |                 |  |
| Area (ha)   | 51.5 ha                 | .5 ha <b>Source</b>   |                | Employment S     | ites                |                 |  |
| Address / Location  |                         | Moor Row  | Moor Row       |                  |                     |                 |  |
| Local Plan Preferred<br>Options Employment<br>Site Type (if relevant) |                         | Draft emple<br>Strategic E  |                |                  | gionally Significan | t Science Park/ |  |
| Description of Site and Surrounding Area                              |                         | This site is an established Science & Technology Park situated on the A595, approximately 5km south of Whitehaven town centre, just to the north-west of Moor Row and 13km north of the Sellafield Nuclear Site. The site is a purpose-built employment site occupied by existing offices, research & development and education & training facilities. The plots within the site are accessed via a loop road (Crow Park Way / Ingwell Drive). There are a number of undeveloped plots within the site, particularly to the north and south east. The site is well-maintained with landscaped grounds including ponds, picnic benches and mature trees, particularly around the site boundaries. Each unit has its own car parking area and there are bus stops within the site. There is also on-site security. The site is located in a prominent position on the top of a hill. The topography of the site is undulating and slopes uphill away from the A595. |                |                  |                     |                 |  |
| Landowner   | Landowner(s) (if known) |   | in's Ene       | rgy Coast)       |                     |                 |  |
| <b>Current Site Occupiers</b>   |                         |   |                |                  |                     |                 |  |
| Unit Ref.   | it Ref. Address         |   | ier (or<br>t') | Business<br>Type | Use Class           | Footprint       |  |
| Greeson<br>Court  | Westlakes<br>Science Pa | rk Caveno   |                |                  |                     |                 |  |
| Innovation<br>Centre  | Westlakes<br>Science Pa | rk Various  | S              |                  |                     |                 |  |
| Ingwell Hall  | Westlakes<br>Science Pa | various<br>rk Jacobs<br>Costaii<br>Nexus  | and            |                  |                     |                 |  |





| Γ   |   |   |   |  |                  | 1                |
|---|---|---|---|--|------------------|------------------|
| Samuel<br>Lindow  | Westlakes<br>Science Park   |   | UCLAN /<br>Infinite Power                             |  |                  |                  |
| Princess<br>Royal   | Westlakes<br>Science Park   |   | Atos  |  |                  |                  |
| Herdus<br>House   | Westlakes<br>Science Park   |   | Occupied –<br>user unknown                            |  |                  |                  |
| Banna Court   | Westlakes<br>Science Park   |   | Occupied –<br>user unknown                            |  |                  |                  |
| Pillar House  | Westlakes<br>Science Park   |   | Jacobs  |  |                  |                  |
| Kelton House  | Westlakes<br>Science Park   |   | Occupied –<br>user unknown                            |  |                  |                  |
| Dalton<br>Cumbria<br>Facility   | Westlakes<br>Science Park   |   | Manchester<br>University                              |  |                  |                  |
| Rutherford<br>House   | Westlakes<br>Science Park   |   | Atkins  |  |                  |                  |
| Fleswick<br>Court   | Westlakes<br>Science Park   |   | Clearnorth  |  |                  |                  |
| Robinson<br>House   | Westlakes<br>Science Park   |   | Shepley<br>Engineers /<br>Partly vacant               |  |                  |                  |
| Galemire<br>Court   | Westlakes<br>Science Park   |   | BEC   |  |                  |                  |
| Site Suitability  | Site Suitability Appraisal  |   |   |  |                  |                  |
| Is the site in an area of flood risk?   |   | No – the site is located in Flood Zone 1.                               |   |  |                  |                  |
| Would development of<br>the site offer a poor level<br>of amenity to<br>neighbouring residents? |   | No, there are no residential properties in close proximity to the site. |   |  |                  |                  |
| the site have a   | Would development of the site have an adverse impact on important |   | e site forms par<br>ea. The Assessm<br>se to settleme |  | ne open green sp | paces and fields |





| landscape features identified in the Settlement Landscape Character Assessment?   | development. The Westlakes Science Park site is separated from<br>the urban area of Whitehaven and is well-screened by existing<br>vegetation along the site boundaries, therefore further development<br>of this site should not impact upon the setting of existing settlements.        |
|---|---|
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on any<br>designated sites or sites<br>of environmental or<br>ecological significance? | The site is located in a SSSI Impact Risk Zone, however this is unlikely to be affected unless the site is developed for uses that result in air pollution, combustion processes or landfill.   |
| Is the site recognised as an important open space in terms of value and/or quality in the emerging Local Plan or Open Space Assessment?           | No  |
| Would development of the site be harmful to the character of the area?  | The site is already a well-developed site. Further development would not be harmful to the character of the area if it is in-keeping with existing development. Given its business park character, B8 uses are unlikely to be suitable on this site.                                      |
| Is the site well related and well connected to an existing settlement?  | The Westlakes Science Park site is located in an area of open countryside between Whitehaven and Moor Row, and is situated outside of existing settlements boundaries.  |
| Would development of the site constitute an unacceptable intrusion into the open countryside?   | The site is already a developed site in the countryside, therefore further development of the site into surrounding countryside may be considered acceptable subject to design and other policy considerations.   |
| Is the site heavily wooded, within an area of ancient woodland or does it contain trees protected by Tree Preservation Order?                     | There are a large number of trees and other landscaping throughout the site, however none of these are protected or subject to Tree Preservation Orders. An area of woodland to the north of the site (Summergrove) is subject to a TPO but this lies outside the northern site boundary. |
| Can suitable access to the site be provided?  | Yes, there is existing vehicular access to the site from the A595 (Egremont Road) to the west and also from Dalzell Street to the east. There is pedestrian access to the site from Goose Butts to the north via a public footpath.   |
| Does the site have good strategic connectivity  | Yes - the site is located just south off Whitehaven and is directly   |





| and accessibility?  | adjacent to the A595.  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact on<br>the highway network?  | The site is accessed off the A595, which has a high network capacity, however any future major developments at the site would need to be subject to appropriate highways and transport assessments.  |  |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact<br>upon a heritage asset or<br>its setting?   | There are two Grade II listed buildings (Scalegill Hall and Scalegill Hall Garden Walls) located within 200 metres of the site boundary. These are located to the south of the site. The impact of any future development, particularly in the southern part of the Science Park site, would need to consider the impact upon these assets and their settings. |  |  |
| Would the site be allocated today for development, measured against present sustainability criteria (e.g. public transport and freight access, environmental impacts and brownfield/greenfield considerations)? | The site is a greenfield site located outside existing settlements, however it situated in a strategic accessible location, just off the A595.   |  |  |
| Strategic Planning Considerations   |  |  |  |
| Is the site part of a comprehensive or long-term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being developed for employment uses?   | Yes – the Science Park is a key strategic employment site identified in the emerging Local Plan as a 'strategic hub for science and engineering expertise and research and development industries'.  |  |  |
| Are there any other policy considerations, such as emerging strategic objectives or spatial vision, of relevance to the site?   | The site is not currently allocated in the adopted Local Plan (2013-2028).  The site is proposed to be allocated as a Regionally Significant Science Park in Policy E3PO of the emerging Local Plan.   |  |  |
| Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the emerging spatial   | Development of the site will help to respond to opportunities identified in the Cumbria Local Industrial Strategy, including building on the existing range of nuclear research activities, training/skills development and supply chain firms.  |  |  |





| strategy?   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Site Availability Appraisal   |  |  |  |
| Is the site already in active employment use?   | Yes  |  |  |
| If yes, what are current occupancy rates on the site?   | The units on the site appear to be mostly fully occupied, but with some vacancies. A number of plots on the site are still undeveloped (see below).  |  |  |
| If the site is an existing employment site, is there any available developable space within the site? | Yes – agent's marketing brochure (dated March 2018) identifies the following plots as currently being available for development (totalling 6.27ha):  Plot 2B – 0.17ha Plot 4B – 0.70ha Plot 5A – 0.69ha Plot 7B – 0.59ha Plot 8 Helipad – 0.86ha Plot 9A – 0.62ha Plot 10 – 1.66ha Plot 11 – 0.34ha  Development plots are described as being available for design and build opportunities on a freehold or leasehold basis, subject to agreement of suitable terms. |  |  |
| Is the site in multiple ownerships?   | No   |  |  |
| Has the landowner(s) indicated their intention to develop or sell the site for economic use?          | Yes – vacant development plots within the site are being actively marketed   |  |  |
| If no, when is the site likely to become available?   | N/A  |  |  |
| Are there any known ransom strips or existing tenancies?  | No   |  |  |
| Site Deliverability Appraisal   |  |  |  |





| Has the site been formally identified for employment for at least 10 years?  Has there been any recent development activity on site within the last 5 years?  Is the site being actively marketed as an employment site?  Is the site owned by a developer or other  The site has been in employment use for more than 10 years.  No recent development activity on the site has been identified in the online planning records.  Yes – vacant development plots within the site are being actively marketed  Yes   |
|---|
| recent development activity on site within the last 5 years?  Is the site being actively marketed as an employment site?  Is the site owned by a developer or other  online planning records.  Yes – vacant development plots within the site are being actively marketed  Yes  Yes   |
| marketed as an employment site?  Is the site owned by a developer or other  marketed  Yes   |
| developer or other  |
| agency known to undertake employment development?   |
| Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for employment development?  |
| What is the planning history of the site? Is there a valid planning permission for employment development or for an alternative use?  The site has been subject to various applications relating to the phased development of the site over the past 30 years.  Outline permission for development of the western part of the site was originally granted in January 1990 (ref. 4/89/1110/0).  Outline permission for development of the eastern part of the site was granted in June 1999 (ref. 4/98/0271/0).  A number of development plots within the site are still to be developed, although no recent applications relating to the development of these plots have been identified. |
| Are there any barriers to development coming forward e.g. access, infrastructure requirements, neighbouring uses?  None identified.   |
| Would employment Unknown  |





| development on this site<br>be viable without public<br>funding to resolve<br>infrastructure or other<br>on-site constraints? |   |
|---|---|
| If no, is there any public funding committed (or likely to be provided) to make employment development viable?                | N/A   |
| Appraisal Summary   |   |
| Suitability   | The site is an existing employment site and is suitable for employment use (Classes E and B2 only). |
| Availability  | The landowner has confirmed that the site is currently available for further expansion.             |
| Deliverability  | Further employment development on this site is likely to be deliverable within the plan period.     |
| Recommendation  |   |

The site is allocated for employment use (Classes E and B2 only) subject to sustainability

### **Site Photographs**




appraisal and site selection criteria being met.






































| Site Inform   | ation                           |  |              |                                |           |           |
|---|---------------------------------|--|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Site Ref.   | E2                              | Site Name  | White        | haven Commerc                  | ial Park  |           |
| Area (ha)   | 12.34 ha                        | Source Draft Employment Sites  |              |                                |           |           |
| Address / L   | Address / Location              |  |              |                                |           |           |
| Local Plan Preferred<br>Options Employment<br>Site Type (if relevant) |                                 | Draft Employment Allocation – Major Employment Site  |              |                                |           |           |
| Description of Site and Surrounding Area                              |                                 | This is an existing employment site located immediately south of Moresby Parks and to the east of Whitehaven Golf Club. The site is only partially developed, with a number of existing units located in the western part of the site adjacent to Moresby Parks Road. The remainder of the site is undeveloped land, which is mostly grass or overgrown scrub vegetation with some rubble / waste building materials piled up in places. The site is accessed off Moresby Parks Road with an access road (Joe McBain Avenue) already in place to serve the site. There are a number of existing employment uses (e.g. plant hire, logistics, electricals retailer) with vacant developable plots in the central and eastern parts of the site. Parking is available within the plot for each individual unit, with additional on-street parking available on the loop road. A large number of the existing units appear dated and the site has a 'run down' appearance overall. The topography of the site slopes gradually downhill to the east with views across the Keekle Valley. The site is bordered to the north by residential properties, agricultural fields to the east, Whitehaven Golf Club to the west and Hensingham Common to the south. |              |                                |           |           |
| Landowner(s) (if known)   |                                 | Mr Paul Jefferson, Energy Coast Property Services Ltd (owns part of site – 1.8 ha in centre of site)  OCF Developments Ltd (unable to contact)   |              |                                |           |           |
| Current Site Occupiers  |                                 |  |              |                                |           |           |
| Unit Ref.   | it Ref. Address                 |  | er (or<br>') | Business<br>Type               | Use Class | Footprint |
| 1   | Whitehaver<br>Commercia<br>Park |  |              | Electricals &<br>Site Supplies | B2/B8     |           |
| 2   | Whitehave                       | GAP Hi   | re           | Plant hire                     | B2/B8     |           |

Commercial





|  | Park                             |   | Solutions  |   |   |   |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|
| 3  | Whitehaven                       |   | Translinc  | Van hire  | B2/B8   |   |
|  | Commercial<br>Park               |   | Copeland<br>Borough<br>Council   | Local<br>Authority<br>Offices   | B1  |   |
|  |                                  |   | Copeland<br>Homes  | Offices   | B1  |   |
|  |                                  |   | SA Robotics  | Robotics  | B2/B8   |   |
|  |                                  |   | O'Connor<br>Fencing  | Fencing supplier  | B2/B8   |   |
| 4  | Whitehaven<br>Commercial<br>Park |   | Whitehaven<br>Accident<br>Repair   | Garage  | B1/B2/B8  |   |
| Site Suitability   | Site Suitability Appraisal       |   |  |   |   |   |
| Is the site in an area of flood risk?  |                                  | No – the site is located in Flood Zone 1.   |  |   |   |   |
| Would development of<br>the site offer a poor level<br>of amenity to<br>neighbouring residents?                              |                                  | There are a number of residential properties located immediately north of the site. The potential impact of any future development of this site upon these uses would need to be considered.  |  |   |   |   |
| the site have an adverse impact on important landscape features identified in the Settlement Landscape Character Assessment? |                                  | As<br>se<br>Wi<br>gre<br>an<br>to   | sessment define<br>nsitive to uns<br>hitehaven". It all<br>een infrastructure<br>d preserve a buf<br>the east. The | es this area as hympathetic deviso recommends e planning, could fer between Whese recommend | / Recreation' chanaving "remote, invelopment and is that new development and help to define itehaven and serulations should are development | natural qualities<br>expansion of<br>elopment, using<br>the urban edge<br>nsitive moorland<br>be taken into |
| the site have an adverse un  |                                  | The site is located in a SSSI Impact Risk Zone, however this is unlikely to be affected unless the site is developed for uses that result in air pollution, combustion processes or landfill. |  |   |   |   |
| Is the site reco<br>an important o<br>in terms of val  | open space                       |   | )  |   |   |   |





| quality in the emerging<br>Local Plan or Open<br>Space Assessment?  |   |
|---|---|
| Would development of the site be harmful to the character of the area?  | Parts of the site are overgrown scrubland. Further development of the site would likely enhance the character of the area.  |
| Is the site well related and well connected to an existing settlement?  | The site is located immediately south of Moresby Parks built-up area. The site is within the adopted Moresby Parks settlement boundary.   |
| Would development of the site constitute an unacceptable intrusion into the open countryside?                                 | No – the site is located within the adopted Moresby Parks settlement boundary.  |
| Is the site heavily wooded, within an area of ancient woodland or does it contain trees protected by Tree Preservation Order? | No  |
| Can suitable access to the site be provided?  | Yes – the site is currently accessed off Moresby Parks Road with an access road (Joe McBain Avenue) already in place to serve the site.   |
| Does the site have good strategic connectivity and accessibility?   | The site is located just off the B5295 and is a 4 minute drive (1.5 miles) from the junction with the A595 to the south of Whitehaven.  |
| Would development of the site have an unacceptable impact on the highway network?   | Moresby Parks Road that serves the site appears to have quite a high capacity, however any future development would need to be accompanied by appropriate highways and transport assessments. |
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact<br>upon a heritage asset or<br>its setting?                   | No – there are no heritage assets identified in close proximity to the site.  |
| Would the site be allocated today for development, measured against present sustainability criteria                           | The site is in a sustainable location with good strategic connections to the A595.  |





| (e.g. public transport and freight access, environmental impacts and brownfield/greenfield considerations)?   |  |
|---|--|
| Strategic Planning Consid   | lerations  |
| Is the site part of a comprehensive or long-term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being developed for employment uses? | The site forms part of an identified 'growth corridor' that also includes the proposed Hensingham Common development to the south.   |
| Are there any other policy considerations, such as emerging strategic objectives or spatial vision, of relevance to the site?                         | The site is currently allocated as Employment Land (ref. E2) in Policy EMP1 of the adopted Local Plan (2013-2028).  The site is proposed to be allocated as a Major Employment Site in draft Policy E4PO of the emerging Local Plan. |
| Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the emerging spatial strategy?   | Further development of this site would help to achieve the draft strategic objective (in the emerging Local Plan) of providing and maintaining a wide range of modern, high-quality employment sites within the Borough.             |
| Site Availability Appraisal   |  |
| Is the site already in active employment use?   | The wider Whitehaven Commercial Park site is partly developed and in active employment use. The area of land in the centre of the site owned by Mr Paul Jefferson is one of several areas that is currently undeveloped.             |
| If yes, what are current occupancy rates on the site?   | There are no identified vacancies in the units that are currently existing.  |
| If the site is an existing employment site, is there any available developable space within the site?   | Yes, the site is an existing employment site, partially developed.   |





| Is the site in multiple ownerships?  | Yes – see above   |
|--|---|
| Has the landowner(s) indicated their intention to develop or sell the site for economic use?                                       | Yes, the owner of the central part of the site (1.8 ha) has confirmed this area of the site is available. The other landowner did not respond when contacted. |
| If no, when is the site likely to become available?  | N/A   |
| Are there any known ransom strips or existing tenancies?   | Not in the part of the site owned by Mr Paul Jefferson.   |
| Site Deliverability Apprais  | al  |
| Has the site been formally identified for employment for at least 10 years?  | The site has been in employment use for more than 10 years.   |
| Has there been any recent development activity on site within the last 5 years?  | No  |
| Is the site being actively marketed as an employment site?   | Yes, the central part of the site (1.8 ha) is currently being marketed.   |
| Is the site owned by a developer or other agency known to undertake employment development?  | Yes, partly – OCF Developments Ltd is a development company.  |
| Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for employment development? | The site is in multiple ownership.  |
| What is the planning history of the site? Is   | The site was originally granted permission for employment use (including B1, B2 and B8 and non-food retail sales) in May 1991 (ref.                           |





| there are all declarations   | 4/04/0000/0\ Mastaf the societies will a societies and the societies are societies and the societies are societies and the societies and the societies and the societies are societies and the societies and the societies are socie |
|--|--|
| there a valid planning permission for  | 4/91/0222/0). Most of the existing units appear to have been built in the early-mid 1990s.   |
| employment development or for an   | Recent applications on the site include:   |
| alternative use?   | 4/20/2128/0F1 – Change of use of Unit 4 Joe McBain Avenue (Whitehaven Accident Repair) permitted June 2020 from Class B2 to a mixed use comprising B1, B2 and B8.  |
|  | 4/20/2369/0F1 – Application submitted in September 2000 at Unit 2 Joe McBain Avenue (currently occupied by GAP Hire Solutions) for use of the western part of the site as a storage yard.  |
| Are there any barriers to development coming forward e.g. access, infrastructure requirements, neighbouring uses?                  | There is a gas pipeline safeguarding zone running along the western site boundary.   |
| Would employment development on this site be viable without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints? | The landowner (Mr Paul Jefferson) has confirmed that development on their part of the site is likely to be viable without additional funding. However, the site has been allocated for a number of years and is still largely undeveloped, which raises questions about the viability of future development.   |
| If no, is there any public funding committed (or likely to be provided) to make employment development viable?                     | N/A  |
| Appraisal Summary  |  |
| Suitability  | The site is an existing employment site that is considered suitable for further employment development.  |
| Availability   | Only part of the site is currently confirmed as being available.   |
| Deliverability   | The site has been allocated for employment for a number of years but is still largely undeveloped with a large number of plots remaining. The future deliverability of this site within the emerging plan period is therefore uncertain.   |
| Recommendation   |  |
|  |  |

The central part of the site that is confirmed as being available (owned by Mr Paul Jefferson) is

deliverability. The availability of the remaining parts of the site are unconfirmed, therefore these

recommended to be allocated for employment use subject to confirmation of viability /





should not be allocated.

# **Site Photographs**





A specialist team within DLP Planning Ltd




















| Site Information  |                          |  |                        |  |
|---|--------------------------|--|------------------------|--|
| Site Ref.   | E3                       | Site Name Haig Business Park   |                        |  |
| Area (ha)   | 2.61 ha                  | Source   | Draft Employment Sites |  |
| Address / L   | ocation                  | Whitehaven   |                        |  |
| Local Plan<br>Options En<br>Site Type (i                              | nployment                | Local Employment Site  |                        |  |
| Description<br>Surroundin   | n of Site and<br>ng Area | This is an existing business park site located less than 1km southwest of Whitehaven Town Centre adjacent to the coast. The site is accessed off Solway Road / High Road and comprises a number of existing units in a range of uses, some of which are non-B class uses. The site is bounded to the east by residential uses, to the south, north and west by undeveloped greenfield land including clift top footpaths. The northern part of the site includes Haig Pit and the adjoining car park for the colliery museum. This part of the site has its own access road to the north. The main parts of business park are accessed from the south. The topography of the site is relatively flat. It is in a prominent, clifftop location. Access to the site involves passing through a residential area. |                        |  |
| Landowner(s) (if known) West Cumbria Mining Waterside Investments Ltd |                          |  | ·                      |  |
| Current Sit   | . 0                      | L  |                        |  |

### **Current Site Occupiers**

There are 44 units at the site, of these 4 are understood to be currently vacant. The uses are predominantly B-class uses, however a number are in retail, leisure or sui generis use including Bill Bears Play Centre, Onethirtyeight Tattoo Studio, Mandala Yoga, Holistic Therapies, The Pit (recording studio) and Whitehaven Theatre of Youth.

| Site Suitability Appraisal  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Is the site in an area of flood risk?   | No – the site is located in Flood Zone 1.  |  |  |  |
| Would development of<br>the site offer a poor level<br>of amenity to<br>neighbouring residents? | The site is an established employment site however there are a number of residential properties situated to the east of the site. Any further intensification of development at the site would need to consider the impact upon the amenity of these existing residents. |  |  |  |
| Would development of  | The site is situated within the Whitehaven built-up urban area but is  |  |  |  |





| the site have an adverse impact on important landscape features identified in the Settlement Landscape Character Assessment?                      | adjacent to the identified Coastal Urban Fringe Cliffs landscape character area. This area is described in the assessment as a "dramatic clifftop landscape west of Whitehaven, heavily influenced by historic, now disused mining, quarrying and industry. Now acts as informal and formal recreation space for the town". The assessment states that "The views across the landscape towards open sea and views of expansive skies are sensitive to development that would enclose or interrupt these views. Recreational use of the area is sensitive to development that encroaches on the coastal margin". These factors would need to be taken into consideration in any future development of the site. |
|---|--|
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on any<br>designated sites or sites<br>of environmental or<br>ecological significance? | St Bees Head SSSI is located 220m south of the site. The potential impact of any future development of this site upon this SSSI would need to be considered.   |
| Is the site recognised as an important open space in terms of value and/or quality in the emerging Local Plan or Open Space Assessment?           | The area around Haig Colliery Mining Museum (11.65ha) is identified as amenity greenspace in the Open Space Assessment and offers amenity, social and historic benefits. This open space is defined as including the car park area in the north of the site.   |
| Would development of the site be harmful to the character of the area?  | Any further development is unlikely to be harmful to the character of the area as long as it is in-keeping with the existing form of development and does not extend beyond the existing Business Park boundary. Any further development in the north of the site, including the area of car parking, would likely impact upon the landscape character and the identified area of amenity open space.  |
| Is the site well related and well connected to an existing settlement?  | The site is located within the adopted Whitehaven settlement boundary.   |
| Would development of the site constitute an unacceptable intrusion into the open countryside?   | Any further development of this site should not extend into the area of open space to the west and north, which forms part of the coastal cliffs landscape character area.   |
| Is the site heavily wooded, within an area of ancient woodland or does it contain trees   | No   |





| protected by Tree<br>Preservation Order?  |   |
|---|---|
| Can suitable access to the site be provided?  | There is existing vehicular access to the site via access roads to the north and south off Solway Road / High Road to the east.   |
| Does the site have good strategic connectivity and accessibility?   | The site is approximately 2 miles (7 minutes' drive) from the A595 which is located to the east of the site. Access to the site requires passing through a predominantly residential area where access via large HGVs may be constrained.   |
| Would development of the site have an unacceptable impact on the highway network?   | Given the residential character of the surrounding road network, the impact of any future development of the site upon the local highway network would need to be considered.   |
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact<br>upon a heritage asset or<br>its setting?   | Haig Colliery, which is situated immediately north of the site, is a Scheduled Monument. Salton Coal Pit, located approximately 200m south of the site, is also a Scheduled Monument. The impact of any future development upon these heritage assets and their settings would need to be considered.       |
| Would the site be allocated today for development, measured against present sustainability criteria (e.g. public transport and freight access, environmental impacts and brownfield/greenfield considerations)? | The presence of the Haig Colliery indicates that this is a former industrial site that has been in use for employment for many years. Despite this historic use, the site is not particularly well-connected to the strategic road network, and access involves passing through built-up residential areas. |
| Strategic Planning Consid   | lerations   |
| Is the site part of a comprehensive or long-term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being developed for employment uses?   | No  |
| Are there any other policy considerations, such as emerging strategic objectives or   | The site is identified as part of a wider Tourism Opportunity Site (ref. TOS3) allocated in Policy ER10 of the adopted Local Plan (2013-2028).  |
| spatial vision, of  | The southernmost part of the site (currently in use as a car sales  |





| relevance to the site?  | area) is allocated for employment use (ref. E3) in Policy EMP1 of the adopted Local Plan (2013-2028).  The site is a proposed Local Employment Site allocation in draft Policy E4PO of the emerging Local Plan.   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the emerging spatial strategy? | Further development of this site would help to achieve the draft strategic objective (in the emerging Local Plan) of providing and maintaining a wide range of modern, high-quality employment sites within the Borough's key towns.  |  |  |
| Site Availability Appraisal   |   |  |  |
| Is the site already in active employment use?   | Yes, the site currently includes a mixture of uses including non-<br>employment uses  |  |  |
| If yes, what are current occupancy rates on the site?   | The vacancy rate at the site appears to be around 10%.  |  |  |
| If the site is an existing employment site, is there any available developable space within the site?       | There is an area of potential developable space in the far south of the site, which is currently in use as a car sales area for Haig Motors. The car park area in the north of the site is also potentially available, however this is in a more prominent location and forms part of the identified amenity greenspace in the Open Space Assessment. This part of the site is not considered suitable for development. |  |  |
| Is the site in multiple ownerships?   | Yes   |  |  |
| Has the landowner(s) indicated their intention to develop or sell the site for economic use?                | Unknown – the landowners did not respond to requests for information  |  |  |
| If no, when is the site likely to become available?   | Unknown   |  |  |
| Are there any known ransom strips or existing tenancies?  | Unknown   |  |  |
| Site Deliverability Apprais   | al  |  |  |
| Has the site been formally identified for   | The site has been in employment use for more than 10 years.   |  |  |





| employment for at least 10 years?  |   |
|--|---|
| Has there been any recent development activity on site within the last 5 years?  | An application for a temporary change of use of the mining museum to offices (D1 to B1) was approved in April 2016 (ref. 4/16/2078/0F1). This change of was made permanent following a subsequent application in December 2019 (ref. 4/19/2382/0F1).  |
| Is the site being actively marketed as an employment site?   | The vacant units within the site are currently being marketed.  |
| Is the site owned by a developer or other agency known to undertake employment development?  | Unknown   |
| Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for employment development?   | The site is in multiple ownership.  |
| What is the planning history of the site? Is there a valid planning permission for employment development or for an alternative use? | Most of the existing industrial units on the site were developed in the late 1980s (ref. 4/88/0642/0). There have been a number of minor applications on the site since that time, including some more recent changes of use away from B-use classes (e.g. ref. 4/07/2746/0 which involved a change of use from storage to sale and teaching of musical instruments, and 4/99/0160/0 which was an application for a nursery/play group).  |
| Are there any barriers to development coming forward e.g. access, infrastructure requirements, neighbouring uses?                    | Comments from CCC state that further expansion would require consideration of cumulative impact on local network in relation to Whitehaven sites HWH4, HWH6, HWH5 & HWH3. CCC raise no objection to the inclusion of the site subject to the following: the impact of the site's development on the highway network will need to be assessed through the WCTM to evaluate what mitigation measures are required, ensure appropriate parking provision within the development and demonstrate reduced trip generation, any development should comply with SUDS and suitable out fall for surface water and highways drainage. (p 264 of CCC Cabinet Report).  Potential impacts on the adjacent Scheduled Monument and impacts |
|  | on landscape character / amenity greenspace would also need to be considered in any future development proposals.   |





| Would employment development on this site be viable without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints? | Unknown   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| If no, is there any public funding committed (or likely to be provided) to make employment development viable?                     | N/A   |  |  |
| Appraisal Summary  |   |  |  |
| Suitability  | Given the existing established use, the southern undeveloped part of the site is considered suitable for employment use subject to potential impacts on the highways network, heritage assets and landscape being considered. |  |  |
| Availability   | Availability of the site for further development is currently unknown.  |  |  |
| Deliverability   | Further development at the site may be deliverable within the plan period, subject to availability being confirmed.   |  |  |
| Recommendation   |   |  |  |
| Availability of the southern part of the site is unknown, do not allocate for employment use.                                      |   |  |  |

### **Site Photographs**















A specialist team within DLP Planning Ltd






















| Site Inform                              | Site Information                          |  |   |                      |             |           |
|--|---|--|---|----------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Site Ref.                                | E4  | Site Name  | Sneck   | Sneckyeat Road       |             |           |
| Area (ha)                                | 4.89 ha                                   | Source   | Draft I   | Employment Site      | es          |           |
| Address / L                              | -ocation                                  | Whitehaven   |   |                      |             |           |
| Local Plan<br>Options En<br>Site Type (i | Draft Emplo                               | Draft Employment Allocation – Local Employment Site  |   |                      |             |           |
| Description of Site and Surrounding Area |   | Cumberland (Hensingha currently se site of a for bounded to open space agricultural. The industre through the through the single store of the site parking alth parking is considered. | This is an existing industrial estate located immediately north of West Cumberland Hospital in the south-eastern part of Whitehaven (Hensingham/Homewood). Part of this site (south eastern part) currently serves as staff parking for WCH – this appears to be the site of a former building which has been demolished. The site is bounded to the west by residential uses, to the north by an area of open space and Copeland Athletics Club and to the east by agricultural fields, farm buildings and dispersed residential dwellings. The industrial units are accessed off Sneckyeat Road which runs through the centre of the site. There is a one-way loop road running through the northern part of the site. The buildings on site are mostly single storey units in a range of sizes. Some of the units in the south of the site are currently being refurbished. Each unit has its own parking although the number of spaces is limited, therefore on-street parking is common throughout the site. The topography of the site slopes downhill from north-east to south-west. |                      |             |           |
| Landowner(s) (if known)                  |   | Unknown  |   |                      |             |           |
| Current Sit                              | e Occupiers                               |  |   |                      |             |           |
| Unit Ref.                                | Address                                   | Occupi<br>'vacant  | •   | Business<br>Type     | Use Class   | Footprint |
| 1  | Sneckyeat<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Raw Pe<br>To Let   | tfood /   | Pet food<br>supplier | B2, B8      |           |
| 2  | Sneckyeat<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Brian's  | Taxis   | Taxi Firm            | Sui Generis |           |





| 3 | Sneckyeat<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Laundry Services / Stamper Lloyd Kitchens & Bathrooms / Banks Wilson Catering / To Let / Print & Design   | Various                 | Sui Generis,<br>B1, B2, B8 |  |
|---|---|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 4 | Sneckyeat<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Wellness<br>Club / Tyres /<br>Training Pod /<br>To Let  | Various                 | D2, B2, B8                 |  |
| 5 | Sneckyeat<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Solway Dog<br>Training<br>School /<br>Polypack<br>Cumbria /<br>Cleator Bus /<br>Malibu<br>Sunset<br>Tanning<br>Studio / Little<br>Vape Cave /<br>Paul Wynne<br>Tattoo | Various                 | D2 / Sui<br>Generis        |  |
| 6 | Sneckyeat<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Smart Tyre  | Garage                  | B2, B8                     |  |
| 7 | Sneckyeat<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate | HPC<br>Compressed<br>Air Systems  | Engineering             | B2, B8                     |  |
| 8 | Sneckyeat<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Lakeland<br>Fluid Power   | Engineering             | B2, B8                     |  |
| 9 | Sneckyeat<br>Road<br>Industrial           | Laurence<br>Eden<br>Kitchens &  | Kitchen<br>manufacturer | B2, B8                     |  |





|   | Estate  |   | Bathrooms                                |                                    |   |                   |
|---|---|---|--|------------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| 10  | Sneckyeat<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate       |   | Speedy<br>Lifting                        | Plant hire                         | B2, B8  |                   |
| Site Suitability  | / Appraisal                                     |   |  |                                    |   |                   |
| Is the site in a flood risk?  | n area of                                       | No – the site is located in Flood Zone 1.   |  |                                    |   |                   |
| Would developed the site offer a contract of amenity to neighbouring  | poor level                                      | Hor<br>to t   | mewood Road.                             | The remaining of ore there is like | mmediately west<br>developable areas<br>ely to be limited<br>s. | s of the site are |
| Would develop<br>the site have a<br>impact on imp<br>landscape fea<br>identified in the<br>Settlement La<br>Character Ass | an adverse<br>cortant<br>tures<br>ne<br>ndscape | No, the site is in a built-up urban area and is not in a sensitive landscape area.  |  |                                    | t in a sensitive  |                   |
| Would develop<br>the site have a<br>impact on any<br>designated sit<br>of environmer<br>ecological sig                    | en adverse<br>les or sites<br>ntal or           | The site is located in a SSSI Impact Risk Zone, however this is unlikely to be affected unless the site is developed for uses that result in air pollution, combustion processes or landfill. |  |                                    |   |                   |
| Is the site reco<br>an important of<br>in terms of val<br>quality in the of<br>Local Plan or<br>Space Assess              | open space<br>lue and/or<br>emerging<br>Open    | No  |  |                                    |   |                   |
| Would develop<br>the site be har<br>the character<br>area?  | mful to   | No  |  |                                    |   |                   |
| Is the site well<br>and well conn<br>an existing se   | ected to  |   | s – the site is sit<br>s within the adop |                                    | built-up area of \boundary.                                     | Whitehaven and    |





| Would development of the site constitute an unacceptable intrusion into the open countryside?   | No   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Is the site heavily wooded, within an area of ancient woodland or does it contain trees protected by Tree Preservation Order?   | No   |  |  |
| Can suitable access to the site be provided?  | Yes – there is existing vehicular access to the site off Homewood Road to the west.  |  |  |
| Does the site have good strategic connectivity and accessibility?   | Yes – the site is 0.3 miles (1 minute drive) from the junction with the A595 to the west.  |  |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact on<br>the highway network?  | West Cumberland Hospital is located immediately south of the site, therefore any future development would need to ensure that highways access to this site by emergency service vehicles is not restricted. The potentially developable part of the site (south east) is currently in use as staff parking for West Cumberland Hospital. If this area is developed the impact of this loss of parking on the surrounding highway networks would need to be considered. |  |  |
| Would development of the site have an unacceptable impact upon a heritage asset or its setting?   | There is a Grade II listed building (The Cross) located 150m east of the site and a Grade II* listed building (Nether End Farmhouse) located 315m east of the site. Both of these buildings can be accessed via Sneckyeat Road. The potential impact of any future development of this site upon these assets and their settings would need to be considered.  |  |  |
| Would the site be allocated today for development, measured against present sustainability criteria (e.g. public transport and freight access, environmental impacts and brownfield/greenfield considerations)? | The site is in a sustainable location within an existing settlement boundary and has good strategic transport links to the A595.   |  |  |
| Strategic Planning Considerations   |  |  |  |





| Is the site part of a comprehensive or long-term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being developed for employment uses? | No  |
|---|---|
| Are there any other policy considerations, such as emerging strategic objectives or spatial vision, of relevance to the site?                         | The south eastern part of the site (currently in use as a car park) is allocated for employment use (ref. E4) in Policy EMP1 of the adopted Local Plan (2013-2028).  The site is a proposed Local Employment Site allocation in draft Policy E4PO of the emerging Local Plan.  Site identified as a Local Employment Site in Saved Policy EM3 brought forward from previous Local Plan 2001-16. |
| Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the emerging spatial strategy?   | Further development of this site would help to achieve the draft strategic objective (in the emerging Local Plan) of providing and maintaining a wide range of modern, high-quality employment sites within the Borough's key towns.  |
| Site Availability Appraisal   |   |
| Is the site already in active employment use?   | Yes – a number of the units have been changed to non-B uses.  |
| If yes, what are current occupancy rates on the site?   | There are a number of available units within the site.  |
| If the site is an existing employment site, is there any available developable space within the site?   | Yes – the south eastern part of the site that is currently in use as a temporary car park could potentially be developed. There are also identified development plots in the centre of the northern part of the site and in the south western part of the site.   |
| Is the site in multiple ownerships?   | Unknown   |
| Has the landowner(s) indicated their intention to develop or sell the site for economic use?  | Unknown   |
| If no, when is the site   | N/A   |





| likely to become available?  |   |
|--|---|
| Are there any known ransom strips or existing tenancies?   | Unknown   |
| Site Deliverability Apprais  | al  |
| Has the site been formally identified for employment for at least 10 years?  | Yes, the site has been allocated in the currently adopted Local Plan (2013-2028) and was also allocated in the previous Local Plan (2001-16).   |
| Has there been any recent development activity on site within the last 5 years?  | There have been a number of changes of use on the site in recent years (away from B-uses) but no new developments.  |
| Is the site being actively marketed as an employment site?   | Yes – vacant units on the site are being marketed by Whittle Jones  |
| Is the site owned by a developer or other agency known to undertake employment development?  | Unknown   |
| Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for employment development?   | Unknown   |
| What is the planning history of the site? Is there a valid planning permission for employment development or for an alternative use? | Permission was granted for development of industrial units on the southern part of the site in 1992 (ref. 4/92/0243/0) and on the northern part of the site in 1991 (ref. 4/91/0896/0).  The south eastern part of the site has been in use as a hospital staff car park since the former hospital buildings on this site were demolished in 2014/2015.  Recent applications on the site include:  4/18/2238/0F1 – Application for change of use from B1/B2 to D1 – |
|  | approved 25/07/2018  4/20/2441/0F1 – Permission granted for use of south eastern part of  |





|  | the site as staff parking for West Cumberland Hospital in October 2020.   |
|--|---|
|  | 4/20/2230/0F1 — Application submitted in March 2020 for construction of two buildings containing 9 industrial / commercial units (B1, B2, B8 uses), car parking and access on currently undeveloped areas in the northern and south western parts of the site. This application was withdrawn in April 2021.  |
| Are there any barriers to development coming forward e.g. access, infrastructure requirements, neighbouring uses?                  | CCC comments state they have no objection to the inclusion of the site subject to the following: the impact of the site's development on the highway network will need to be assessed through the WCTM to evaluate what mitigation measures are required, ensure appropriate parking provision within the development and demonstrate reduced trip generation, any development should comply with SUDS and suitable out fall for surface water and highways drainage.(p269 of CCC Cabinet Report) |
| Would employment development on this site be viable without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints? | Unknown   |
| If no, is there any public funding committed (or likely to be provided) to make employment development viable?                     | N/A   |
| Appraisal Summary  |   |
| Suitability  | The site is an existing employment site that is suitable for employment development.  |
| Availability   | The availability of the undeveloped parts of the site for employment use are currently unknown.   |
| Deliverability   | It is unclear whether the undeveloped parts of the site are available for development (including the area currently in use as a staff car park). This site has had permission for employment development since 1992 and has not yet been delivered.   |
| Recommendation   |   |
| Availability of the site is unk  | nown, do not allocate for employment use.   |





### A specialist team within DLP Planning Ltd

# **Site Photographs**











































| Site Information                         |                                    |   |        |                              |                     |                         |  |
|--|------------------------------------|---|--------|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Site Ref.                                | E6                                 | Site Name   | Lecon  | Leconfield Industrial Estate |                     |                         |  |
| Area (ha)                                | 14.39 ha                           | Source Draft Employment Sites   |        |                              |                     |                         |  |
| Address / L                              | ocation.                           | Cleator Mod   | or     |                              |                     |                         |  |
| Local Plan<br>Options En<br>Site Type (i | nployment                          | Draft Employment Allocation – Strategic Employment Site Site E6 also comprises smaller site CM084 (undeveloped land to east).   |        |                              |                     |                         |  |
| Description<br>Surroundin                | n of Site and<br>ng Area           | The site comprises a large existing industrial estate located in the centre of Cleator Moor. The site is accessed from a roundabout junction off Leconfield Street (B5295) which forms the southern boundary of the site. The site is bounded to the north and west by Nor Beck and to the east by an existing footpath / cycle path. The site is surrounded by residential uses to the north-west, south and east. Also to the south of the site are a number of retail units on the opposite side of Leconfield Street. The site is partially developed with a number of existing, occupied industrial units. There are some undeveloped areas of hardstanding in the centre of the site, and there is an area of undeveloped scrubland in the eastern part of the site, adjoining the cycle path. The topography of the site is relatively flat. There are a number of non-B class uses within the site (e.g. Children's nursery). |        |                              |                     |                         |  |
| Landowner                                | (s) (if known)                     | Copeland B  | orough | Council                      |                     |                         |  |
| Current Sit                              | e Occupiers                        |   |        |                              |                     |                         |  |
| Unit Ref.                                | Address                            | Occupi<br>'vacant   | •      | Business<br>Type             | Use Class           | Footprint               |  |
| 1A                                       | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | vacant  |        | n/a                          | Light<br>industrial | 5,445 sqm               |  |
| 1  | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | S Brani<br>Sons Ltd   |        | manufacturing                | Light industrial    | 66,556 sqm              |  |
| 2  | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Rowland<br>Industrie  |        | manufacturing                | Light industrial    | Site area<br>0.965acres |  |





| 3   | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Capital<br>Aluminium<br>Extrusions | Manufacturing                | Light industrial    |               |
|-----|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| 6   | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Forth<br>Engineering<br>Ltd.       | Engineering                  | Light<br>industrial | 61,710 sqm    |
| 8   | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Forth<br>Engineering<br>Ltd.       | Engineering                  | Light<br>industrial | 14,726 sqm    |
| 10A | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | PR & S<br>Eilbeck                  | Plumbing & heating           | Light<br>industrial |               |
| 10B | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Chris Mather                       | Builder                      | Light<br>industrial |               |
| 10C | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | JD Autos                           | Car repairs                  | Light<br>industrial |               |
| 10D | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | KC<br>Motorsport                   | Car repairs                  | Light<br>industrial |               |
| 10E | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Lloyd Evans                        | Car sales                    | Light<br>industrial |               |
| 11  | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | вос                                | storage                      | Light<br>industrial | Compound only |
| 15A | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Mark I Rowell                      | Music<br>recording<br>studio | Light<br>industrial | 1,032 sqm     |
| 15B | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | vacant                             | n/a                          | Light<br>industrial | 1,050 sqm     |
| 15C | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Keri Taylor                        | Gym                          | Light<br>industrial | 1,050 sqm     |





| 15D              | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | vacant                                | n/a                | Light industrial    | 1,048 sqm          |
|------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 15E              | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | SBB<br>Gardening                      | Gardener           | Light industrial    | 1,042 sqm          |
| 15F              | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | vacant                                | n/a                | Light industrial    | 1,052 sqm          |
| 15G              | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Excel Exterior<br>Interior<br>Linings | Lining<br>systems  | Light<br>industrial | 496 sqm            |
| 15H              | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Excel Exterior<br>Linings             | Lining<br>systems  | Light industrial    | 496 sqm            |
| 16               | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Little<br>Explorers pre-<br>school    | Children's nursery | Light industrial    | 2,000 sqm          |
| 17A & B          | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Brockbank<br>Curwen Cain<br>& Hall    | storage            | Light industrial    | 2,981 sqm          |
| 18               | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | vacant                                | n/a                | Light industrial    | 5,046 sqm          |
| 20A              | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Melanie<br>Sharing                    | Dog<br>Grooming    | Light industrial    | 2,495 sqm          |
| 20B              | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | vacant                                | n/a                | Light industrial    | 2,491 sqm          |
| 21               | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Terrence Peat                         | Car repairs        | Light industrial    | 2,136 sqm          |
| Development land | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate | vacant                                | n/a                | Light<br>industrial | Circa. 15<br>acres |





| Estate roads<br>and common<br>areas   | Leconfield<br>Industrial<br>Estate          |  | vacant   | n/a   | Light industrial  |   |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Site Suitability  | Appraisal                                   |  |  |   |   |   |
| Is the site in a flood risk?  | n area of                                   | No   | No – the site is located in Flood Zone 1.  |   |   |   |
| Would development of<br>the site offer a poor level<br>of amenity to<br>neighbouring residents?   |   |  | ere are residenti the site, however be by existing vego real and eastern rificantly impact operties. | these are predoctation. Any further parts of the site | minantly well-sc<br>er development<br>could likely be d | reened from the on undeveloped elivered without |
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on important<br>landscape features<br>identified in the<br>Settlement Landscape<br>Character Assessment? |   |  |  |   |   |   |
| Would develop<br>the site have a<br>impact on any<br>designated sit<br>of environmen<br>ecological sign   | es or sites                                 | in air pollution, combustion processes or landfill.  |  |   |   |   |
| Is the site reco<br>an important of<br>in terms of val<br>quality in the of<br>Local Plan or of<br>Space Assess   | ppen space<br>ue and/or<br>emerging<br>Open | No, however the site is located adjacent to the Cleator Moor Cycle Track which is a protected semi/natural greenspace that runs along the eastern site boundary. |  |   |   |   |
| Would develop<br>the site be har<br>the character of<br>area?   | mful to                                     | No – development of the currently undeveloped parts of the site would likely improve the character of the industrial estate.                                     |  |   |   |   |
| Is the site well<br>and well conno<br>an existing set   | ected to                                    | The site is located within the currently adopted Cleator M settlement boundary.  |  |   | Cleator Moor  |   |
| Would development of  |   |  | – the site is loca   | ated within the ac                                    | dopted settlemer  | it boundary.                                    |





| the site constitute an unacceptable intrusion into the open countryside?  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Is the site heavily wooded, within an area of ancient woodland or does it contain trees protected by Tree Preservation Order?   | There are mature trees forming a buffer along the western, northern and eastern site boundaries, however none are subject to a Tree Preservation Order. There is a TPO on Leconfield Street to the south east of the site, however this lies outside the site boundary.  |  |  |  |  |
| Can suitable access to the site be provided?  | Yes, there is existing vehicular access to the site off Leconfield Street to the south.  |  |  |  |  |
| Does the site have good strategic connectivity and accessibility?   | The site is 2.5 miles (6 minute drive) north of the A595.  |  |  |  |  |
| Would development of the site have an unacceptable impact on the highway network?   | The impact of any future development of the site upon the capacity of Leconfield Street and the wider highway network would need to be considered.   |  |  |  |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact<br>upon a heritage asset or<br>its setting?   | Two Grade II listed buildings (Church of St John Evangelist and Cleator Moor War Memorial) are situated immediately south of the site on the opposite side of Leconfield Street. The potential impact of any future development of this site upon these assets and their settings would need to be considered. |  |  |  |  |
| Would the site be allocated today for development, measured against present sustainability criteria (e.g. public transport and freight access, environmental impacts and brownfield/greenfield considerations)? | The site is in a sustainable location within an existing settlement boundary.  |  |  |  |  |
| Strategic Planning Consid   | lerations  |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site part of a comprehensive or long-term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the   | Yes, the site is part of the Industrial Solutions Hub (ISH) development proposals.   |  |  |  |  |





| site being developed for employment uses?   |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Are there any other policy considerations,  | The site is allocated as an Employment Opportunity Site (ref. EOS1) in Policy EMP3 of the adopted Local Plan (2013-2028).   |  |  |  |
| such as emerging<br>strategic objectives or<br>spatial vision, of<br>relevance to the site?                 | The site is a proposed Strategic Employment Site allocation in draft Policy E4PO of the emerging Local Plan.  |  |  |  |
| Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the emerging spatial strategy? | The site is part of the wider strategic development proposals.  |  |  |  |
| Site Availability Appraisal   |   |  |  |  |
| Is the site already in active employment use?   | Yes   |  |  |  |
| If yes, what are current occupancy rates on the site?   | There are 26 units on the site, of which 8 are currently vacant (35%).  |  |  |  |
| If the site is an existing employment site, is there any available developable space within the site?       | Yes, some old buildings have been demolished leaving vacant 'slabs'. There is land in private ownership adjacent to the site that also has employment allocation for expansion. |  |  |  |
| Is the site in multiple ownerships?   | No  |  |  |  |
| Has the landowner(s) indicated their intention to develop or sell the site for economic use?                | Yes   |  |  |  |
| If no, when is the site likely to become available?   | N/A   |  |  |  |
| Are there any known ransom strips or existing tenancies?  | Yes, there are some long leasehold tenancies at the site. Development of site may have potential impact upon some existing tenants.   |  |  |  |





| Site Deliverability Apprais  | al  |
|--|---|
| Has the site been formally identified for employment for at least 10 years?  | The site has been in active employment use since the 1940s.   |
| Has there been any recent development activity on site within the last 5 years?  | No. Site has been recently acquired by Copeland Borough Council with intention of developing.   |
| Is the site being actively marketed as an employment site?   | Yes   |
| Is the site owned by a developer or other agency known to undertake employment development?  | Yes   |
| Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for employment development?   | No  |
| What is the planning history of the site? Is there a valid planning permission for employment development or for an alternative use? | There is valid planning permission for current occupiers and site as a whole – light industrial use generally and some temporary uses (e.g. gym).  4/20/2289/0F1 – Application for change of use from B1, B2 or B8 to D1 or D2 and B1, B2 or B8 at Unit 15C – Approved 21/09/2020   |
| Are there any barriers to development coming forward e.g. access, infrastructure requirements, neighbouring uses?                    | There are identified issues of ground contamination, mine shafts and ground stability issues which will impact on the total developable area.  CCC comments (January 2021) state pre-app advice given considering the existing access with potential alterations and layout. Cumulative impact needs to be considered in relation to local network B5295. No objection to the inclusion of the site subject to the following: the impact of the site's development on the highway network will need to be assessed through the WCTM to evaluate what mitigation measures are required, ensure appropriate parking |





|  | provision within the development and demonstrate reduced trip generation, any development should comply with SUDS and suitable out fall for surface water and highways drainage. (p 266 of CCC Cabinet Report).   |
|--|---|
| Would employment development on this site be viable without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints? | No  |
| If no, is there any public funding committed (or likely to be provided) to make employment development viable?                     | Yes – site acquired with partial funding from LEP, application for Cleator Moor Town Fund lodged at present.  |
| Appraisal Summary  |   |
|  |   |
| Suitability  | This is an existing industrial site that has been in employment use for a number of years. The site, including the areas where buildings have been demolished, is considered suitable for future employment development.  |
| Suitability  Availability  | for a number of years. The site, including the areas where buildings have been demolished, is considered suitable for future employment   |
| •  | for a number of years. The site, including the areas where buildings have been demolished, is considered suitable for future employment development.  Copeland Borough Council (landowner) has confirmed that the site  |
| Availability   | for a number of years. The site, including the areas where buildings have been demolished, is considered suitable for future employment development.  Copeland Borough Council (landowner) has confirmed that the site is currently available.  The site is considered to be deliverable as it is currently available |

employment use subject to sustainability appraisal and other site selection criteria being met.

### **Site Photographs**














Strategic Planning Research Unit A specialist team within DLP Planning Ltd







Strategic Planning Research Unit A specialist team within DLP Planning Ltd





























| Site Inform                              | Site Information                   |   |                               |     |                  |           |           |  |
|--|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----|------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Site Ref.                                | E9                                 | Site  | Site Name Bridge End          |     |                  |           |           |  |
| Area (ha)                                | 12.54 ha                           | Sour  | Source Draft Employment Sites |     |                  |           |           |  |
| Address / L                              | -ocation                           | Egrei   | mont                          |     |                  |           |           |  |
| Local Plan<br>Options En<br>Site Type (i | Draft                              | Draft Employment Allocation – Major Employment Site   |                               |     |                  |           |           |  |
| Description<br>Surroundir                | n of Site and<br>ng Area           | The site is an existing industrial estate located to the south-east of Egremont Town Centre. The main part of the site is accessed off Vale View and is fully developed, containing a number of occupied industrial units, including Ennerdale Hall Business Park to the south. The south-eastern part of the site, closest to the A595, is currently undeveloped agricultural land with existing access off Vale View to the north and offers future development potential. The site is bounded to the west by the River Ehen, to the north by residential dwellings and allotments, to the north west by further residential development and the Egremont Rugby Union Football Club, to the south by Beggar Gill (watercourse) and agricultural fields, and to the east by the A595. The topography of the site slopes downhill towards the river valley in the south west of the site. |                               |     |                  |           |           |  |
| Landowner                                | r(s) (if known)                    | Mr Stephen John Caddy Mrs Julie Weston (own part of site comprising 2.83 ha)  |                               |     |                  |           |           |  |
| Current Sit                              | e Occupiers                        |   |                               |     |                  |           |           |  |
| Unit Ref.                                | Address                            |   | Occupier<br>vacant')          | (or | Business<br>Type | Use Class | Footprint |  |
| 1A                                       | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate |   | Vest Coas<br>Entertainm       |     |                  |           |           |  |
| 1B                                       | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Т   | o let                         |     |                  |           |           |  |
| 1C                                       | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Т   | o let                         |     |                  |           |           |  |





| 2A | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Unknown                                   |  |
|----|------------------------------------|---|--|
| 2B | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Alan Hale Plumbing & Central Heating      |  |
| 2C | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Delkia Ltd                                |  |
| 2D | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Unknown                                   |  |
| 2E | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Johnny Moss<br>School of Pro<br>Wrestling |  |
| 2F | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Delkia Ltd                                |  |
| 2G | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Delkia Ltd                                |  |
| 3A | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Delkia Ltd                                |  |
| 3B | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Delkia Ltd                                |  |
| 3C | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Delkia Ltd                                |  |
| 3D | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Delkia Ltd                                |  |
| 4  | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Royal Mail                                |  |





| 5  | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Cumberland<br>Window Design<br>Ltd               |  |  |  |
|----|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 6  | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | G & J Campbell<br>Wholesale Ltd                  |  |  |  |
| 7  | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Cumbria<br>Ambulance<br>Service                  |  |  |  |
| 7A | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Nuvia Ltd  |  |  |  |
| 7B | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Lloyds British<br>Testing Ltd                    |  |  |  |
| 8A | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Ashtead Plant<br>Hire Ltd                        |  |  |  |
| 8B | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Ashtead Plant<br>Hire Ltd                        |  |  |  |
| 9  | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Thomas<br>Graham & Sons<br>(Iron & Steel)<br>Ltd |  |  |  |
| 10 | Bridge End<br>Industrial<br>Estate | James Fisher<br>Nuclear Ltd                      |  |  |  |
|    | Ennerdale<br>Hall Business<br>Park | James Fisher<br>Nuclear Ltd                      |  |  |  |
|    | Ennerdale<br>Hall Business<br>Park | Brownriggs<br>Coaches                            |  |  |  |
|    | Ennerdale<br>Hall Business<br>Park | SJP<br>Engineering                               |  |  |  |





|   | Ennerdale<br>Hall Business<br>Park |   | The Rehab<br>Room |              |  |  |
|---|------------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Site Suitability Appraisal  |                                    |   |                   |              |  |  |
| Is the site in an area of flood risk?   |                                    | The southernmost parts of the site are in Flood Zone 2 and 3. Parts of the northern area of the site are also in Flood Zone 2.  |                   |              |  |  |
| Would development of<br>the site offer a poor<br>level of amenity to<br>neighbouring residents?   |                                    | There are a number of residential units located in the centre of the site (to the east of the main Industrial Park) and to the north of the site opposite the main site entrance on Vale View. The potential impact of any future development of this site upon these uses would need to be considered.   |                   |              |  |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on important<br>landscape features<br>identified in the<br>Settlement Landscape<br>Character Assessment? |                                    | The site forms part of the Lower Ehen Valley landscape character area, which comprises a "secluded river valley, opening out onto coastal plan, south of Egremont". The assessment states that the "intimate valley bottom sensitive to development" which should be taken into account if considering any further development in the south western parts of the site around Ennerdale Hall Business Park, which overlooks the river valley. The assessment also states that development that encroaches on the intimate valley bottom or wildlife sites should be avoided. |                   |              |  |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on any<br>designated sites or sites<br>of environmental or<br>ecological significance?                   |                                    | The site is located less than 300m west of the Florence Mine SSSI. The potential impact of any future development of this site this designated site would need to be considered.  |                   |              |  |  |
| Is the site reco<br>an important of<br>space in terms<br>and/or quality<br>emerging Loca<br>Open Space<br>Assessment?   | ppen<br>s of value<br>in the       | No  |                   |              |  |  |
| Would develop<br>the site be har<br>the character of<br>area?   | mful to                            | The impact of developing the area in the south east of the site that currently undeveloped agricultural land upon the landscatcher of the area including views of the River Ehen valley wo need to be considered.   |                   | he landscape |  |  |
| Is the site well related and well connected to  |                                    | Yes, the site is located just to the south of Egremont and lies within  |                   |              |  |  |





| an existing settlement?   | the adopted settlement boundary.   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   |  |  |  |
| Would development of the site constitute an unacceptable intrusion into the open countryside?   | No, as the site is located within the adopted settlement boundary.   |  |  |
| Is the site heavily wooded, within an area of ancient woodland or does it contain trees protected by Tree Preservation Order?   | There are mature trees within the site, however none of these is protected by a TPO.   |  |  |
| Can suitable access to the site be provided?  | There is existing vehicular access to the site off Vale View to the north.   |  |  |
| Does the site have good strategic connectivity and accessibility?   | Yes – the site is located just to the west of the A595.  |  |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact on<br>the highway network?  | The impact of any future development on the roundabout junction with the A595 just east of the site would need to be considered.   |  |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact<br>upon a heritage asset or<br>its setting?   | There is a Grade II listed telephone kiosk located in the centre of the site (to the south of the main access road of Vale View). The site is also located approximately 300m south east of Egremont Castle (Scheduled Monument). 17 Bridge End, located approximately 25m north west of the site is also Grade II listed. The potential impact of any future development of this site upon these assets and their settings would need to be considered. |  |  |
| Would the site be allocated today for development, measured against present sustainability criteria (e.g. public transport and freight access, environmental impacts and brownfield/greenfield considerations)? | The site is in a good strategic location within the Egremont settlement boundary.  |  |  |
| Strategic Planning Considerations   |  |  |  |





|   | <del>-</del>  |  |
|---|---|--|
| Is the site part of a comprehensive or long-term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being developed for employment uses?                     | No  |  |
| Are there any other policy considerations, such as emerging strategic objectives or spatial vision, of relevance to the site?   | The south eastern part of the site is allocated for employment use (ref. E10) in Policy EMP1 of the adopted Local Plan (2013-2028). The central part of the site is also allocated for employment (ref. E9) in Policy EMP1.  The site is a proposed Major Employment Site allocation in draft Policy E4PO of the emerging Local Plan. |  |
| Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the emerging spatial strategy?   | Further development of this site would help to achieve the draft strategic objective (in the emerging Local Plan) of providing and maintaining a wide range of modern, high-quality employment sites within the Borough's key towns.  |  |
| Site Availability Appraisal   |   |  |
| Is the site already in active employment use?   |   |  |
| If yes, what are current occupancy rates on the site?  There are 28 units within Bridge End Industrial Estate Hall Business Park, of which 2 are identified as by vacant. |   |  |
| If the site is an existing employment site, is there any available developable space within the site?   | Yes, to the south east of the site (land owned by Mr S. J. Caddy and Mrs J. A. Weston)  |  |
| Is the site in multiple ownerships?   | Yes   |  |
| Has the landowner(s) indicated their intention to develop or sell the site for economic use?  | Yes – the land owned by Mr S. J. Caddy and Mrs J. A. Weston is available for employment development.  |  |
| If no, when is the site likely to become  | N/A   |  |





| available?   |   |
|--|---|
| Are there any known ransom strips or existing tenancies?   | Not within the undeveloped part of the site.  |
| Site Deliverability Apprais  | sal   |
| Has the site been formally identified for employment for at least 10 years?  | The site was previously allocated as a Local Employment Site in Saved Policy EM3 brought forward from previous Local Plan 2001-16.  |
| Has there been any recent development activity on site within the last 5 years?  | 4/20/2387/0F1 – Permission granted in December 2020 for change of use from bus garage and workshop to gym.  |
| Is the site being actively marketed as an employment site?   | The undeveloped part of the site (south east) is not actively being marketed – just by word of mouth.  The vacant units within Bridge End Industrial Estate are being marketed by Whittle Jones.  |
| Is the site owned by a developer or other agency known to undertake employment development?  | No  |
| Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for employment development?   | Yes, the site is in multiple ownership.   |
| What is the planning history of the site? Is there a valid planning permission for employment development or for an alternative use? | Permission was granted for Phase 1 of the Bridge End Industrial Estate development in November 1991 (ref. 4/91/0805/0).  Numerous applications for further developments on the site have been submitted since this time, most recently permission was granted in December 2020 for change of use from bus garage and workshop to gym on a unit at Ennerdale Hall Business Park. |
| Are there any barriers to development coming forward e.g. access,  | There may be some landscape constraints associated with development in the south west and south eastern parts of the site.  Comments from CCC (January 2021) state the site is an existing  |





| infrastructure requirements, neighbouring uses?  | Industrial site with scope to expand, good links to A595 via existing access of U4495 onto C4005. U4495 is part of old A595 before being bypassed, within existing 30mph zone. No objection to the inclusion of the site subject to the following: the impact of the site's development on the highway network will need to be assessed through the WCTM to evaluate what mitigation measures are required, ensure appropriate parking provision within the development and demonstrate reduced trip generation, any development should comply with SUDS and suitable out fall for surface water and highways drainage. (p260 of CCC Cabinet Report). |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Would employment development on this site be viable without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints? | Mr S. J. Caddy and Mrs J. A. Weston have stated that employment development would be viable without public funding.   |  |  |
| If no, is there any public funding committed (or likely to be provided) to make employment development viable?                     | N/A   |  |  |
| Appraisal Summary  |   |  |  |
| Suitability  | The site is considered to be suitable for employment use, subject to impacts on landscape character (in particular the sensitive landscape of the River Ehen valley bottom) being considered.   |  |  |
| Availability   | The landowners have confirmed that the south eastern parts of the site are available for development.   |  |  |
| Deliverability   | The site is considered to be suitable for development (subject to landscape points identified above) and available, and therefore may be deliverable within the plan period.  |  |  |
| Recommendation   |   |  |  |

The undeveloped land in the south east of the site is suitable and available for development, and should therefore be considered as a potential employment land allocation subject to sustainability

### **Site Photographs**

appraisal and site selection criteria being met.




































| Site Inform  | Site Information            |  |                        |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------|--|------------------------|--|--|
| Site Ref.  | E12                         | Site Name  | Mainsgate Road         |  |  |
| Area (ha)  | 3.44 ha                     | Source   | Draft Employment Sites |  |  |
| Address / L  | Address / Location          |  | Millom                 |  |  |
| Local Plan Preferred<br>Options Employment<br>Site Type (if relevant)  |                             | Draft Employment Allocation – Local Employment Site Site comprises part of site MI039.   |                        |  |  |
| Description of Site and Surrounding Area   |                             | This site comprises a large existing commercial unit (CGP Publications Group Ltd.) and car parking to the west, whilst the eastern part of the site comprises vacant undeveloped land. The site is accessed off Mainsgate Road which forms the western site boundary. The site is bounded to the north by Boundary Lane (pedestrian access only), to the east by undeveloped greenfield land and to the south by an unadopted track providing access to a nearby farm. The site is located at the southern edge of Millom settlement, being surrounded on two sides (east and south) by agricultural land and surrounded on the other two sides (west and north) by residential development. The topography of the site is flat. |                        |  |  |
| Landowner  | (s) (if known)              | CGP Publications Group Ltd.  |                        |  |  |
| Current Site Occupiers   |                             |  |                        |  |  |
| CGP Public   | CGP Publications Group Ltd. |  |                        |  |  |
| Site Suitab  | Site Suitability Appraisal  |  |                        |  |  |
| Is the site in an area of flood risk?  |                             | Yes – significant parts of the site are located in Flood Zone 2  |                        |  |  |
| Would development of<br>the site offer a poor level<br>of amenity to<br>neighbouring residents?  |                             | The site is located in a predominantly built-up residential area. The potential impact of any future development of this site upon these uses would need to be considered.   |                        |  |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on important<br>landscape features<br>identified in the<br>Settlement Landscape |                             | No   |                        |  |  |





| Character Assessment?   |   |
|---|---|
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on any<br>designated sites or sites<br>of environmental or<br>ecological significance? | The site is located 400m north of the Duddon Estuary SSSI and Ramsar Site. There is also a Local Nature Reserve (Millom Ironworks) located approximately 360m north-east of the site. The potential impact of any future development upon these designated sites would need to be considered. |
| Is the site recognised as an important open space in terms of value and/or quality in the emerging Local Plan or Open Space Assessment?           | No  |
| Would development of the site be harmful to the character of the area?  | The site is already in employment use and is located within the adopted settlement boundary, therefore future development of the site is unlikely to impact the character of the area.  |
| Is the site well related and well connected to an existing settlement?  | The site is located on the southern edge of the Millom built-up urban area, within the adopted settlement boundary.   |
| Would development of the site constitute an unacceptable intrusion into the open countryside?   | No  |
| Is the site heavily wooded, within an area of ancient woodland or does it contain trees protected by Tree Preservation Order?                     | There are a number of trees located along the site boundaries, however none of these is protected by a TPO.   |
| Can suitable access to the site be provided?  | There is existing vehicular access to the site off Mainsgate Road to the west.  |
| Does the site have good strategic connectivity and accessibility?   | This site is located on the southern side of Millom and therefore access to the strategic road network from this location is relatively constrained.  |
| Would development of the site have an unacceptable impact on  | Further intensification of development on this site may impact upon the local highway network. Any future development would therefore need to be accompanied by appropriate highways and transport  |





| the highway network?  | assessments.   |
|---|--|
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact<br>upon a heritage asset or<br>its setting?   | There are no heritage assets identified in close proximity to the site.  |
| Would the site be allocated today for development, measured against present sustainability criteria (e.g. public transport and freight access, environmental impacts and brownfield/greenfield considerations)? | The site is located close to an existing settlement, within the adopted settlement boundary, however it is not close to the strategic road network.  |
| Strategic Planning Consid   | lerations  |
| Is the site part of a comprehensive or long-term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being developed for employment uses?   | No   |
| Are there any other policy considerations, such as emerging strategic objectives or spatial vision, of relevance to the site?   | The undeveloped parts of the site (south and east) are allocated for employment use (ref. E12) in Policy EMP1 of the adopted Local Plan (2013-2028).  The site is a proposed Local Employment Site allocation in draft Policy E4PO of the emerging Local Plan. |
| Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the emerging spatial strategy?   | Further development of this site would help to achieve the draft strategic objective (in the emerging Local Plan) of providing and maintaining a wide range of modern, high-quality employment sites within the Borough's key towns.                           |
| Site Availability Appraisal   |  |
| Is the site already in active employment use?   | Yes, part of the site is in active employment use. The remaining parts of the site are currently undeveloped.  |





| If yes, what are current occupancy rates on the site?   | The site is fully occupied by CGP Publications Group Ltd.  |
|---|--|
| If the site is an existing employment site, is there any available developable space within the site? | Yes – areas of land to the south and east of the site have potential to be developed.  |
| Is the site in multiple ownerships?   | No   |
| Has the landowner(s) indicated their intention to develop or sell the site for economic use?          | It is unconfirmed whether the site is available for employment use.  |
| If no, when is the site likely to become available?   | Unknown  |
| Are there any known ransom strips or existing tenancies?  | Unknown  |
| Site Deliverability Apprais   | al   |
| Has the site been formally identified for employment for at least 10 years?                           | The site was previously identified as a Local Employment Site in the Local Plan (2001-16).   |
| Has there been any recent development activity on site within the last 5 years?                       | A number of applications to extend the existing buildings on the site have been submitted and approved in recent years. It is unclear whether these have been implemented. |
| Is the site being actively marketed as an employment site?  | No   |
| Is the site owned by a developer or other agency known to undertake employment development?           | No   |





| Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for employment development?   | The site is in single ownership, however it is not owned by a developer.  |
|--|---|
| What is the planning history of the site? Is there a valid planning permission for employment development or for an alternative use? | An application for residential development on the site was refused in March 1995 (ref. 4/94/0952/0).  4/05/2506/0 – Application to extend storage unit 3 was approved in September 2005.  4/16/2191/0F1 – Application for warehouse extension to the north side of the building – approved August 2016  4/16/2444/0F1 – Application for 3 storey extension to south side of building – approved February 2017. A further application for a similar development was submitted in October 2020 but later withdrawn (ref. 4/20/2425/0F1).  |
| Are there any barriers to development coming forward e.g. access, infrastructure requirements, neighbouring uses?                    | Comments from CCC (January 2021) state that the site is within Flood Zone 2, Expansion in this area need to be carefully considered in relation to localized flooding which affects Mainsgate Rd and Millom area. An assessment of surface water, tidal, fluvial and sewer flooding (jointly by CBC, CCC, EA and UU) is currently underway, and an appraisal is being undertaken and ends Feb 2021 as part of a potential future flood scheme for the Millom area. It is of note however that a sequential test must be carried out to show that there are no other suitable sites before sites in Flood Zone 2 and 3 are proposed for allocation. A flood risk assessment will need to be provided as part of any planning application for development in Flood Zone 2 or 3. No objection to the inclusion of the site subject to the following: the impact of the site's development on the highway network will need to be assessed through the WCTM to evaluate what mitigation measures are required, ensure appropriate parking provision within the development and demonstrate reduced trip generation, any development should comply with SUDS and suitable out fall for surface water and highways drainage. (p267 of CCC Cabinet Report) |
| Would employment development on this site be viable without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints?   | Unknown   |





| If no, is there any public funding committed (or likely to be provided) to make employment development viable? | N/A  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Appraisal Summary  |  |  |
| Suitability  | The site may be suitable for further employment development subject to issues of Flood Risk, highways impacts and potential impacts on nearby ecological sites being considered. |  |
| Availability   | The availability of the site is currently unknown.   |  |
| Deliverability   | As the suitability and availability of the site cannot currently be confirmed, the deliverability of the site is currently unknown.  |  |
| Recommendation   |  |  |
| Availability of the site is unknown, do not allocate for employment use.                                       |  |  |

#### **Site Photographs**









Strategic Planning Research Unit
A specialist team within DLP Planning Ltd














A specialist team within DLP Planning Ltd







| Site Inform                              | Site Information                           |  |                               |                  |  |           |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------|
| Site Ref.                                | E13  | Site Name Devonshire Road  |                               |                  |  |           |
| Area (ha)                                | 5.87 ha                                    | Source   | Source Draft Employment Sites |                  |  |           |
| Address / L                              | ocation                                    | Millom   |                               |                  |  |           |
| Local Plan<br>Options En<br>Site Type (i | nployment                                  | Draft Employment Allocation – Local Employment Site  |                               |                  |  |           |
| Description<br>Surroundin                | n of Site and<br>ng Area                   | This site is an existing industrial estate located to the east of Millon at Borwick Rails. The site is accessed off Devonshire Road to the north. The site comprises a number of existing warehouse / industrial units of a variety of sizes, together with car parking and some small areas of grass / landscaping. There is an area of undeveloped land in the eastern part of the site which may have some development potential. This area of land is grassed with some shrubs/trees. The site is located in close proximity to the coast and is bounded by agricultural land to the south, two residential units to the east, number of further residential dwellings and industrial buildings to the north east, Millom Ironworks Local Nature Reserve to the north (or the opposite side of Devonshire Road) and residential units / further undeveloped greenfield land to the west. |                               |                  | nire Road to the rouse / industrial and some small developed land the development for the last bounded by a to the east, a buildings to the to the north (on |           |
| Landowner(s) (if known)                  |  | Unknown  |                               |                  |  |           |
| Current Sit                              |  |  |                               |                  |  |           |
| Unit Ref.                                | Unit Ref. Address                          |  | er (or<br>'')                 | Business<br>Type | Use Class  | Footprint |
| 1  | Devonshire<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Tornado<br>Ltd   | o Wire                        | Manufacturing    | B2, B8   |           |
| 2  | Devonshire<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Lakelan<br>Steel Lt  |                               | Manufacturing    | B2, B8   |           |
| 3  | Devonshire<br>Road<br>Industrial           |  |                               | Car garage       | B2, B8   |           |





|  | Estate                                     |   |                             |                     |        |  |
|--|--|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------|--|
| 4  | Devonshire<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate |   | Unknown                     | Car garage          | B2, B8 |  |
| 5  | Devonshire<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate |   | The Helpful<br>Book Co.     |                     |        |  |
| 6  | Devonshire<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate |   | Q Scaffolding               | Scaffolding company | B2, B8 |  |
| 7  | Devonshire<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate |   | Unknown                     | Caravan<br>Storage  |        |  |
| 8  | Devonshire<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate |   | MNC Work &<br>Skills Centre |                     |        |  |
| 9  | Devonshire<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate |   | Autoclean Jet<br>Wash       | Car wash            |        |  |
| Site Suitability   | / Appraisal                                |   |                             |                     |        |  |
| Is the site in an area of flood risk?  |  | No – the site is located in Flood Zone 1.   |                             |                     |        |  |
|  |  | There are some residential uses to the east and south of the site, however the character of the surrounding area is largely industrial. |                             |                     |        |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on important<br>landscape features<br>identified in the<br>Settlement Landscape |  | No  |                             |                     |        |  |





| Character Assessment?   |   |
|---|---|
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on any<br>designated sites or sites<br>of environmental or<br>ecological significance? | There is a Local Nature Reserve (Millom Ironworks) and Duddon Estuary SSSI and Ramsar Site located immediately north of the site. The potential impact of any future development upon these designated sites would need to be considered. |
| Is the site recognised as an important open space in terms of value and/or quality in the emerging Local Plan or Open Space Assessment?           | No  |
| Would development of the site be harmful to the character of the area?  | No – the surrounding area is largely industrial in character.   |
| Is the site well related and well connected to an existing settlement?  | The site is located on the eastern edge of the Millom built-up urban area, within the adopted settlement boundary.  |
| Would development of the site constitute an unacceptable intrusion into the open countryside?   | No  |
| Is the site heavily wooded, within an area of ancient woodland or does it contain trees protected by Tree Preservation Order?                     | No  |
| Can suitable access to the site be provided?  | Yes, there is vehicular access off Devonshire Road to the north of the site.  |
| Does the site have good strategic connectivity and accessibility?   | This site is located on the eastern side of Millom and therefore access to the strategic road network from this location is relatively constrained.   |
| Would development of the site have an unacceptable impact on  | Further intensification of development on this site may impact upon the local highway network. Any future development would therefore need to be accompanied by appropriate highways and transport  |





| the highway network?  | assessments.   |
|---|--|
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact<br>upon a heritage asset or<br>its setting?   | There are no heritage assets identified in close proximity to the site.  |
| Would the site be allocated today for development, measured against present sustainability criteria (e.g. public transport and freight access, environmental impacts and brownfield/greenfield considerations)? | The site is located close to an existing settlement, within the adopted settlement boundary, however it is not close to the strategic road network.  |
| Strategic Planning Consid   | lerations  |
| Is the site part of a comprehensive or long-term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being developed for employment uses?   | No   |
| Are there any other policy considerations, such as emerging strategic objectives or spatial vision, of relevance to the site?   | The undeveloped parts of the site (south and east) are allocated for employment use (ref. E13) in Policy EMP1 of the adopted Local Plan (2013-2028).  The site is a proposed Local Employment Site allocation in draft Policy E4PO of the emerging Local Plan. |
| Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the emerging spatial strategy?   | Further development of this site would help to achieve the draft strategic objective (in the emerging Local Plan) of providing and maintaining a wide range of modern, high-quality employment sites within the Borough's key towns.                           |
| Site Availability Appraisal   |  |
| Is the site already in active employment use?   | Yes  |





| If yes, what are current occupancy rates on the site?   | There were no visibly vacant units on the site, however an online search reveals Unit 3 in the MNC (Millom Network Centre) is available to let (marketed by Corrie & Co.). |
|---|--|
| If the site is an existing employment site, is there any available developable space within the site? | Yes – there is some undeveloped land in the west and east of the site.   |
| Is the site in multiple ownerships?   | Unknown  |
| Has the landowner(s) indicated their intention to develop or sell the site for economic use?          | Unknown  |
| If no, when is the site likely to become available?   | Unknown  |
| Are there any known ransom strips or existing tenancies?  | Unknown  |
| Site Deliverability Apprais   | sal  |
| Has the site been formally identified for employment for at least 10 years?                           | The site was previously identified as a Local Employment Site in the Local Plan (2001-16).   |
| Has there been any recent development activity on site within the last 5 years?                       | A number of factory buildings on the site have been extended.  |
| Is the site being actively marketed as an employment site?  | The existing vacant units on the site are currently being marketed online by Corrie & Co.  |
| Is the site owned by a developer or other agency known to undertake employment development?           | Unknown  |





| Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for employment development?   | Unknown  |
|--|--|
| What is the planning history of the site? Is there a valid planning permission for employment development or for an alternative use? | A number of applications to extend the existing buildings on the site and for changes of use have been submitted and approved in recent years.  4/19/2428/0F1 — Application for extension to factory for manufacturing capability — approved 10/03/2020  |
| Are there any barriers to development coming forward e.g. access, infrastructure requirements, neighbouring uses?                    | Comments from CCC (January 2021) state that carriageway widths in this area are acceptable circa 8m with good pedestrian links. No objection to the inclusion of the site subject to the following: the impact of the site's development on the highway network will need to be assessed through the WCTM to evaluate what mitigation measures are required, ensure appropriate parking provision within the development and demonstrate reduced trip generation, any development should comply with SUDS and suitable out fall for surface water and highways drainage. Further expansion should be considered against current flooding issues within the Millom area and SuDs provided as part of further expansion or building. (p261 of CCC Cabinet Report). |
| Would employment development on this site be viable without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints?   | Unknown  |
| If no, is there any public funding committed (or likely to be provided) to make employment development viable?                       | Unknown  |
| Appraisal Summary  |  |
| Suitability  | The undeveloped parts of the site may be suitable for employment use subject to appropriate highways assessments and consideration of impacts on nearby designated ecological sites.   |





| Availability   | The availability of the site for development is currently unknown.                          |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Deliverability   | The site may be deliverable within the plan period, subject to confirmation of availability |  |  |
| Recommendation   |   |  |  |
| Availability of the site is unknown, do not allocate for employment use. |   |  |  |




















A specialist team within DLP Planning Ltd







A specialist team within DLP Planning Ltd

0

|   | Site Information                           |  |         |                  |           |           |  |
|---|--|--|---------|------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Site Ref. E17   |  | Site Name  | Frizina | nton Road        |           |           |  |
|   |  |  |         |                  |           |           |  |
| Area (ha)   | 1.55 ha                                    | Source   | Draft   | Employment Site  | es<br>    |           |  |
| Address / L   | ocation.                                   | Frizington   |         |                  |           |           |  |
| Local Plan Preferred<br>Options Employment<br>Site Type (if relevant) |  | Draft Employment Allocation – Local Employment Site  |         |                  |           |           |  |
| Description of Site and Surrounding Area                              |  | This site is a small existing industrial estate situated to the south of Frizington, separated from the existing settlement. The site runs to the east of the A5086 (Frizington Road) and has direct access off this road. The site comprises a number of small workshop units which adjoin the road. The eastern and northern parts of the site are undeveloped greenfield land (currently overgrown) which may have future development potential. The site is bounded to the west by Frizington Road, to the south by Park Street and to the north and east by undeveloped agricultural land (currently in use for sheep grazing). There are existing residential units adjacent to the site to the west and south forming linear developments along Frizington Road and Park Street respectively. The topography of the site is relatively flat.  Sunflower Industrial Property Nominee Ltd (inc in Guernsey) and |         |                  |           |           |  |
|   | e Occupiers                                | Sunflower Industrial Property Nominee 2 Ltd (inc in Guernsey)  |         |                  |           |           |  |
|   | -  |  | _       |                  |           | l         |  |
| Unit Ref.   | Address                                    | Occupio<br>'vacant   | •       | Business<br>Type | Use Class | Footprint |  |
| 4a  | Frizington<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Alan<br>Armstro  | ng      |                  |           |           |  |
| 4b  | Frizington<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate | Claire E   | ve      |                  |           |           |  |
| 4c  | Frizington Kim<br>Road Willough            |  | nby     |                  |           |           |  |





|  | Industrial<br>Estate                       |  |  |                     |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|---------------------|--|--|
| 5a   | Frizington<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate |  | Nuclear<br>Safety and<br>Engineering<br>Services Ltd |                     |  |  |
| 5b   | Frizington<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate |  | S E Ceiling<br>Ltd                                   |                     |  |  |
| 5c   | Frizington<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate |  | S E Ceiling<br>Ltd                                   |                     |  |  |
| 6  | Frizington<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate |  | Unknown  | Carpentry & joinery |  |  |
| 7  | Frizington<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate |  | MGFL MOTs  | Car garage          |  |  |
| 8  | Frizington<br>Road<br>Industrial<br>Estate |  | Occupied –<br>tenant<br>unknown                      |                     |  |  |
| Site Suitability   | Appraisal                                  |  |  |                     |  |  |
| Is the site in a flood risk?   | n area of                                  | No – the site is located in Flood Zone 1.  |  |                     |  |  |
| Would development of<br>the site offer a poor level<br>of amenity to<br>neighbouring residents?  |  | There are a number of residential properties located immediately west and south east of the site. The potential impact of any future development of this site upon these uses would need to be considered. |  |                     |  |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on important<br>landscape features<br>identified in the<br>Settlement Landscape |  | No – the site is located within the adopted Frizington settlement boundary.  |  |                     |  |  |





| Character Assessment?   |   |
|---|---|
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on any<br>designated sites or sites<br>of environmental or<br>ecological significance? | The site is located in a SSSI Impact Risk Zone, however this is unlikely to be affected unless the site is developed for uses that result in air pollution, combustion processes or landfill (Yeathouse Quarry SSSI is located over 500m north east of the site). |
| Is the site recognised as an important open space in terms of value and/or quality in the emerging Local Plan or Open Space Assessment?           | No  |
| Would development of the site be harmful to the character of the area?  | No  |
| Is the site well related and well connected to an existing settlement?  | Yes – the site is located within the adopted Frizington settlement boundary.  |
| Would development of the site constitute an unacceptable intrusion into the open countryside?   | No  |
| Is the site heavily wooded, within an area of ancient woodland or does it contain trees protected by Tree Preservation Order?                     | No  |
| Can suitable access to the site be provided?  | Yes – there is existing vehicular access to the site from Frizington Road to the west   |
| Does the site have good strategic connectivity and accessibility?   | The site is located on the A5086 which is approximately a 15 minute drive south of the A66.   |
| Would development of the site have an unacceptable impact on  | The A5086 that serves the site appears to have quite a high capacity however any future development would need to be accompanied by appropriate highways and transport assessments.   |





| the highway network?  |  |
|---|--|
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact<br>upon a heritage asset or<br>its setting?   | There are no heritage assets identified in close proximity to the site.  |
| Would the site be allocated today for development, measured against present sustainability criteria (e.g. public transport and freight access, environmental impacts and brownfield/greenfield considerations)? | The site is located close to an existing settlement, within the adopted settlement boundary, close to the strategic road network.  |
| Strategic Planning Consid   | lerations  |
| Is the site part of a comprehensive or long-term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being developed for employment uses?   | No   |
| Are there any other policy considerations, such as emerging strategic objectives or spatial vision, of relevance to the site?   | The undeveloped parts of the site (east) are allocated for employment use (ref. E17) in Policy EMP1 of the adopted Local Plan (2013-2028).  The site is a proposed Local Employment Site allocation in draft Policy E4PO of the emerging Local Plan. |
| Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the emerging spatial strategy?   | Further development of this site would help to achieve the draft strategic objective (in the emerging Local Plan) of providing and maintaining a wide range of modern, high-quality employment sites within the Borough's key towns.                 |
| Site Availability Appraisal   |  |
| Is the site already in active employment use?   | Yes, part of the site is in active employment use. The remaining parts of the site are currently undeveloped.  |





| _   |  |
|---|--|
| If yes, what are current occupancy rates on the site?   | The developed parts of the site appear to be fully occupied.   |
| If the site is an existing employment site, is there any available developable space within the site? | Yes – there is developable space in the eastern parts of the site.   |
| Is the site in multiple ownerships?   | No   |
| Has the landowner(s) indicated their intention to develop or sell the site for economic use?          | The landowner did not respond when contacted.  |
| If no, when is the site likely to become available?   | Unknown  |
| Are there any known ransom strips or existing tenancies?  | Unknown  |
| Site Deliverability Apprais   | al   |
| Has the site been formally identified for employment for at least 10 years?                           | The site has previously been allocated for employment use in the Local Plan (2001-16).                         |
| Has there been any recent development activity on site within the last 5 years?                       | There is no evidence of recent development activity on the site.   |
| Is the site being actively marketed as an employment site?  | Evidence from the site visit indicates that the site is being marketed by Avison Young (see site photographs). |
| Is the site owned by a developer or other agency known to undertake employment development?           | The land owner is a real estate investor not a developer.  |





| Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for employment development?   | The site is in single ownership.  |
|--|---|
| What is the planning history of the site? Is there a valid planning permission for employment development or for an alternative use? | Permission for the industrial units on the site was originally granted in the late 1980s / early 1990s (ref. 4/89/0694/0 and 4/91/0764/0).  More recent applications on the site include:  4/00/0709/0 – Permission for change of use to industrial units to food production and retail outlet was granted in November 2000.  4/11/2255/0F1 – Permission for change of use to food delivery business granted July 2011.  There are no extant permissions for development in the eastern part of the site.   |
| Are there any barriers to development coming forward e.g. access, infrastructure requirements, neighbouring uses?                    | Parking within the site is limited – this will need to be a consideration in any future development on the site.  CCC comments (January 2021) state that the site is located on the A5085 within 30mph limit, water course on site boundary, any expansion should consider SuDs. No objection to the inclusion of the site subject to the following: the impact of the site's development on the highway network will need to be assessed through the WCTM to evaluate what mitigation measures are required, ensure appropriate parking provision within the development and demonstrate reduced trip generation, any development should comply with SUDS and suitable out fall for surface water and highways drainage. (p262 of CCC Cabinet Report). |
| Would employment development on this site be viable without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints?   | Unknown   |
| If no, is there any public funding committed (or likely to be provided) to make employment development viable?                       | Unknown   |
| Appraisal Summary  |   |





| Suitability  | The site is suitable for employment subject to potential impact on highway network being considered.                                 |  |
|--|--|--|
| Availability   | The availability of the eastern part of the site for employment development is currently unknown.                                    |  |
| Deliverability   | The site is suitable for development and subject to confirmation of availability may be able to come forward during the plan period. |  |
| Recommendation   |  |  |
| Availability of the site is unknown, do not allocate for employment use. |  |  |

#### **Site Photographs**




























A specialist team within DLP Planning Ltd







| Site Information  |                                |  |         |                        |           |           |  |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Site Ref.   | E21                            | Site Name Seascale Rural Workshops   |         |                        |           |           |  |
| Area (ha)   | 1.41 ha                        | Source   | Draft I | Draft Employment Sites |           |           |  |
| Address / L   | ocation                        | Seascale   |         |                        |           |           |  |
| Local Plan Preferred<br>Options Employment<br>Site Type (if relevant) |                                | Draft Employment Allocation – Local Employment Site This site overlaps with site SE027.  |         |                        |           |           |  |
| Description of Site and Surrounding Area                              |                                | The site is located on the northern edge of Seascale. The eastern part of the site is an existing industrial site comprising small purposebuilt workshop units with on-site parking and small areas of landscaping. The western part of the site is currently in agricultural use (also forming part of site SE027), which offers future development potential. The site has access from Cross Lanes which forms the northern boundary of the site. The surrounding area is mostly agricultural with a number of residential properties on Gosforth Road to the south / south-east of the site. The site is generally level, with a slight slope to the southwest. The site is well-maintained and the workshop units are small, low-rise (single storey) and of uniform design. |         |                        |           |           |  |
| Landowner   | (s) (if known)                 | Unknown – site visit suggests landowner of the existing workshops may be Lyon Group Holdings   |         |                        |           |           |  |
| Current Sit   | e Occupiers                    |  |         |                        |           |           |  |
| Unit Ref.   | Address                        | Occupi<br>'vacant  | •       | Business<br>Type       | Use Class | Footprint |  |
| 1a  | Seascale<br>Rural<br>workshops | Shepley<br>Enginee   |         |                        |           |           |  |
| 1b  | Seascale<br>Rural<br>workshops | Enigma<br>Industria<br>Services  | al      |                        |           |           |  |
| 1c  | Seascale<br>Rural<br>workshops | Enigma<br>Industria<br>Services  | al      |                        |           |           |  |
| 1d  | Seascale                       | Shepley  | /       |                        |           |           |  |





|   | Rural<br>workshops             | Eng  | ineers Ltd   |   |   |   |  |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| 2a  | Seascale<br>Rural<br>workshops | Vac  | ant – to let   |   |   |   |  |
| 2b  | Seascale<br>Rural<br>workshops |  | pley<br>ineers Ltd   |   |   |   |  |
| 2c  | Seascale<br>Rural<br>workshops |  | pley<br>ineers Ltd   |   |   |   |  |
| Site Suitability  | / Appraisal                    |  |  |   |   |   |  |
| Is the site in a flood risk?  | n area of                      | No – the   | No – the site is located in Flood Zone 1.                  |   |   |   |  |
| Would development of<br>the site offer a poor level<br>of amenity to<br>neighbouring residents?   |                                | There are a number of residential properties located to the east of the site. The potential impact of any future development of this site upon these uses would need to be considered. |  |   |   |   |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on important<br>landscape features<br>identified in the<br>Settlement Landscape<br>Character Assessment? |                                | that sur<br>"Tradition<br>sensitive<br>countrys<br>surroun   | rounds the onal farmla e to unsymposide sensitiding farmla | settlement of Send setting and pathetic expansion to developments | nland' landscape<br>eascale. The ass<br>identity of isola<br>on. Long views fi<br>ent rising above of<br>development of<br>ation. | sessment states<br>ted farmsteads<br>rom surrounding<br>valley sides into |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on any<br>designated sites or sites<br>of environmental or<br>ecological significance?                   |                                | unlikely   | to be affect   | •   | act Risk Zone,<br>e is developed for<br>es or landfill.   |   |  |
| Is the site recognised as an important open space in terms of value and/or quality in the emerging Local Plan or Open Space Assessment?                             |                                | No   |  |   |   |   |  |
| Would development of the site be harmful to   |                                |  |  |   | he site would unl<br>to the landscape   |   |  |





| the character of the area?   | identified above.  |
|--|--|
| Is the site well related and well connected to an existing settlement?   | The site is situated at the northern edge of Seascale village and is situated within the adopted settlement boundary.  |
| Would development of the site constitute an unacceptable intrusion into the open countryside?  | No – the site is located within the adopted settlement boundary.   |
| Is the site heavily wooded, within an area of ancient woodland or does it contain trees protected by Tree Preservation Order?  | There are a number of trees / hedgerows along the northern and eastern site boundaries, however none of these is subject to a TPO.   |
| Can suitable access to the site be provided?   | There is existing vehicular access off Cross Lanes which forms the northern boundary of the site.  |
| Does the site have good strategic connectivity and accessibility?  | The site is approximately 1.7 miles (3 minute drive) south of the A595.  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact on<br>the highway network?   | The relatively small size of this site indicates that further development is unlikely to have an unacceptable impact on the highway network. However, any future development would need to be accompanied by appropriate highways and transport assessments. |
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact<br>upon a heritage asset or<br>its setting?  | There are no heritage assets identified in close proximity to the site.  |
| Would the site be allocated today for development, measured against present sustainability criteria (e.g. public transport and freight access, environmental impacts and | The site is located close to an existing settlement, within the adopted settlement boundary, and relatively close to the strategic road network.   |





| brownfield/greenfield considerations)?  |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Strategic Planning Considerations   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site part of a comprehensive or long-term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being developed for employment uses? | No  |  |  |  |  |
| Are there any other policy considerations, such as emerging strategic objectives or spatial vision, of relevance to the site?                         | The undeveloped part of the site (west) is allocated for employment use (ref. E21) in Policy EMP1 of the adopted Local Plan (2013-2028). The site is a proposed Local Employment Site allocation in draft Policy E4PO of the emerging Local Plan. |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the emerging spatial strategy?   | No  |  |  |  |  |
| Site Availability Appraisal   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site already in active employment use?   | Yes   |  |  |  |  |
| If yes, what are current occupancy rates on the site?   | 1 of the 7 units is currently available to let (14% vacancy rate).  |  |  |  |  |
| If the site is an existing employment site, is there any available developable space within the site?   | Yes – the undeveloped field to the west of the site has previously been allocated for development.  |  |  |  |  |
| Is the site in multiple ownerships?   | Unknown   |  |  |  |  |
| Has the landowner(s) indicated their intention to develop or sell the   | Unknown   |  |  |  |  |





| site for economic use?   |   |
|--|---|
| If no, when is the site likely to become available?  | Unknown   |
| Are there any known ransom strips or existing tenancies?   | Unknown   |
| Site Deliverability Apprais  | al  |
| Has the site been formally identified for employment for at least 10 years?  | The site has previously been identified as a Local Employment Site in Saved Policy EM3 of the Local Plan (2001-16).   |
| Has there been any recent development activity on site within the last 5 years?  | There has been no recent development activity on the site.  |
| Is the site being actively marketed as an employment site?   | The vacant units on the site are currently being marketed. The undeveloped land to the west is not currently being marketed.  |
| Is the site owned by a developer or other agency known to undertake employment development?  | Unknown   |
| Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for employment development?   | Unknown   |
| What is the planning history of the site? Is there a valid planning permission for employment development or for an alternative use? | Permission for development of the site was granted in the late 1980s / early 1990s.  No further development has taken place on the site since that time and no other significant planning applications for new development or changes of use submitted. |
| Are there any barriers to  | CCC comments (January 2021) state that further expansion should   |





| development coming forward e.g. access, infrastructure requirements, neighbouring uses?  | be taken from existing access. No objection to the inclusion of the site subject to the following: the impact of the site's development on the highway network will need to be assessed through the WCTM to evaluate what mitigation measures are required, ensure appropriate parking provision within the development and demonstrate reduced trip generation, any development should comply with SUDS and suitable out fall for surface water and highways drainage. (p268 of CCC Cabinet Report) |
|--|--|
| Would employment development on this site be viable without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints? | Unknown  |
| If no, is there any public funding committed (or likely to be provided) to make employment development viable?                     | Unknown  |
| Appraisal Summary  |  |
| Suitability  | The land to the west of the existing workshops site is considered suitable for employment use.   |
| Availability   | The availability of this area of the site for employment development is unknown.   |
| Deliverability   | The site is suitable for development and subject to confirmation of availability may be able to come forward during the plan period.   |
| Recommendation   |  |
| Availability of the site is unknown, do not allocate for employment use.   |  |

#### **Site Photographs**












Strategic Planning Research Unit A specialist team within DLP Planning Ltd




















| Site Information  |   |   |   |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Site Ref.   | ELA1  | Site Name Hensingham Common   |   |  |  |
| Area (ha)   | 32.9 ha   | Source  | Draft Employment Sites  |  |  |
| Address / L   | ocation   | Whitehaven  |   |  |  |
| Local Plan Preferred<br>Options Employment<br>Site Type (if relevant) |   | Draft Employment Allocation – Strategic Employment Site   |   |  |  |
| Description of Site and Surrounding Area                              |   | This site is a large strategic site located approximately 2.5km east of Whitehaven Town Centre to the north-east of the residential built-up area of Hensingham. The site is a large area of undeveloped greenfield land (grassed, with some agricultural grazing) and was previously part of the Keekle Quarry site. The site has existing access points off Moresby Road / Moresby Parks Road to the west and also potentially to the south via an existing farm. The site is surrounded by common land to the north and east, Hensingham Business Park and St Benedict's High School to the south-west and Whitehaven Golf Club to the north-west. The site is in a prominent location, sloping downhill to the east and south, with long views towards the fells in the east. |   |  |  |
| Landowner   | (s) (if known)  | Ian and Gillian Lister  |   |  |  |
| Current Sit   | e Occupiers   |   |   |  |  |
| N/A – Green   | nfield site   |   |   |  |  |
| Site Suitab   | ility Appraisal   |   |   |  |  |
| Is the site i flood risk?   | Is the site in an area of flood risk?   |   | No – the site is located in Flood Zone 1.   |  |  |
| the site offe<br>of amenity   | elopment of<br>er a poor level<br>to<br>ng residents?   |   |   |  |  |
| the site have impact on i   | Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on important<br>landscape features |   | The site is located in the 'Plantation/Recreation' character area which is defined as "Forestry plantation and countryside recreational land that runs down from open moorland to the edge of Whitehaven". The site is described as having "remote, natural qualities sensitive to unsympathetic development and expansion of Whitehaven". This |  |  |





| Settlement Landscape<br>Character Assessment?   | area is used for recreation and so access from the settlement to the countryside should be retained and enhanced in any new development. The assessment also recommends that "new development, using green infrastructure planning, could help to define the urban edge and preserve a buffer between Whitehaven and the sensitive moorland to the east". |  |
|---|---|--|
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on any<br>designated sites or sites<br>of environmental or<br>ecological significance? | The site is located in a SSSI Impact Risk Zone, however this is unlikely to be affected unless the site is developed for uses that result in air pollution, combustion processes or landfill.   |  |
| Is the site recognised as an important open space in terms of value and/or quality in the emerging Local Plan or Open Space Assessment?           | No  |  |
| Would development of the site be harmful to the character of the area?  | Development of this site may have an impact on the landscape character (see above).   |  |
| Is the site well related and well connected to an existing settlement?  | The site is located on the eastern edge of Whitehaven built-up area, just outside the adopted settlement boundary.  |  |
| Would development of the site constitute an unacceptable intrusion into the open countryside?   | Development of this site would extend the built form of Whitehaven into the open countryside and would reduce the gap between Whitehaven and Moresby Parks settlements.   |  |
| Is the site heavily wooded, within an area of ancient woodland or does it contain trees protected by Tree Preservation Order?                     | There are a number of trees within the site, however none of these is ancient woodland nor protected by a TPO.  |  |
| Can suitable access to the site be provided?  | Yes, the site has existing access points off Moresby Road / Moresby Parks Road to the west and also potentially to the south via an existing farm.  |  |
| Does the site have good strategic connectivity  | Yes, the site is approximately 1.3 miles (3 minute drive) north of the  |  |





| and accessibility?  | junction with the A595 at Hensingham.   |  |
|---|---|--|
| Would development of the site have an unacceptable impact on the highway network?   | Given the large strategic nature of the site, it is likely its development would have a significant impact on the highway network. Any future development would need to be accompanied by appropriate highways and transport assessments.   |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact<br>upon a heritage asset or<br>its setting?   | There are no heritage assets identified within close proximity to the site.   |  |
| Would the site be allocated today for development, measured against present sustainability criteria (e.g. public transport and freight access, environmental impacts and brownfield/greenfield considerations)? | N/A   |  |
| Strategic Planning Consid   | lerations   |  |
| Is the site part of a comprehensive or long-term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being developed for employment uses?   | Yes, the site forms part of the Council's proposals to create a new Strategic Employment Site, supported by a proposed Eastern Relief Road for Whitehaven and forming a 'growth corridor' by linking with other key employment locations at Westlakes Science and Technology Park and Leconfield Industrial Estate. |  |
| Are there any other policy considerations, such as emerging strategic objectives or spatial vision, of relevance to the site?   | The site is proposed to be allocated as a new Strategic Employment Site in draft Policy E4PO of the emerging Local Plan. Currently the site is defined as a broad 'area of search' with exact boundaries of the site to be determined.  |  |
| Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the emerging spatial strategy?   | Development of this site would help to support the emerging strategic objective of providing a wide range of modern, high-quality employment sites and promoting the diversification of the economic base.  |  |





| Site Availability Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Is the site already in active employment use?   | No   |  |
| If yes, what are current occupancy rates on the site?   | N/A  |  |
| If the site is an existing employment site, is there any available developable space within the site? | N/A  |  |
| Is the site in multiple ownerships?   | The site is understood to be in single ownership                                   |  |
| Has the landowner(s) indicated their intention to develop or sell the site for economic use?          | The landowner has not responded to requests for confirmation of site availability. |  |
| If no, when is the site likely to become available?   | Unknown  |  |
| Are there any known ransom strips or existing tenancies?  | Unknown  |  |
| Site Deliverability Apprais   | al   |  |
| Has the site been formally identified for employment for at least 10 years?                           | No   |  |
| Has there been any recent development activity on site within the last 5 years?                       | No   |  |
| Is the site being actively marketed as an employment site?  | No   |  |
| Is the site owned by a  | No   |  |





| developer or other agency known to undertake employment development?   |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for employment development?   | The site is understood to be in single ownership. It is not owned by a development company.  |  |
| What is the planning history of the site? Is there a valid planning permission for employment development or for an alternative use? | There is no recent planning history associated with the site.  |  |
| Are there any barriers to development coming forward e.g. access, infrastructure requirements, neighbouring uses?                    | Comments from CCC (January 2021) state that because of the scal of the development and existing known capacity issues of the B529 we cannot confirm that the development site is acceptable. The impact of the site's development on the highway network will need to be assessed through the WCTM to evaluate what level of development is acceptable. A masterplan will need to be provided to show that the site can be developed in a comprehensive and coordinated way. (p265 of CCC Cabinet Report). |  |
|  | Delivery of the site is dependent to some extent on whether the Whitehaven relief road goes ahead.   |  |
|  | It is understood there are restrictive covenants on site (identified in Land Registry search).   |  |
|  | A gas pipeline safeguarding zone runs along the western site boundary.   |  |
| Would employment development on this site be viable without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints?   | Unknown  |  |
| If no, is there any public funding committed (or likely to be provided) to make employment   | Unknown  |  |





| development viable? |  |
|---------------------|--|
| Appraisal Summary   |  |
| Suitability         | The site may be suitable for employment use, subject to potential landscape and highways impacts being considered.   |
| Availability        | The availability of the site for employment use is currently unknown.  |
| Deliverability      | In addition to uncertainty regarding site availability, the deliverability of the site is also currently unknown as it may be dependent upon delivery of the Whitehaven Eastern Relief Road. |
| Recommendation      |  |

Availability of the site is unknown, do not allocate for employment use. Potential landscape impacts and deliverability also need to be considered, subject to availability being confirmed.

### **Site Photographs**




























| Site Information  |  |   |  |                  |             |                  |
|---|--|---|--|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Site Ref.   | ELA2   | Site Name   | Furna  | urnace Row       |             |                  |
| Area (ha)   | 2.25 ha  | Source  | Draft I  | Employment Site  | S           |                  |
| Address / L   | Address / Location                               |   | 1  |                  |             |                  |
| Local Plan Preferred<br>Options Employment<br>Site Type (if relevant) |  | Draft Employment Allocation – Local Employment Site This site partially overlaps with the access to site DI031.   |  |                  |             |                  |
| Description of Site and Surrounding Area                              |  | This site is situated between Lillyhall and Distington and is accessed via an existing road off the roundabout on the A595 / B5306. The western part of the site comprises a car garage (currently occupied by Central4Cars) and the remainder of the site is undeveloped grassland. The area immediately south of the car garage is more heavily vegetated. The site slopes gently downhill from north to south. |  |                  |             |                  |
|   |  |   | The site itself is bounded to the south by agricultural paddocks and Distington Beck, to the north by residential dwellings and to the west by further residential dwellings and agricultural fields, with the B5036 / A595 beyond. The area of Lillyhall to the north is predominantly industrial in nature, comprising a number of larger retail, commercial and industrial units, whilst Distington to the south is a residential settlement. |                  |             |                  |
| Landowner   | (s) (if known)                                   | Central Motor Company (Cumbria) Ltd (Co. Regn. No. 3733249)   |  |                  |             |                  |
|   |  | Beckermet Estates Ltd (Co. Regn. No. 03153158)  |  |                  |             |                  |
| Current Sit   | Current Site Occupiers                           |   |  |                  |             |                  |
| Unit Ref.   | Address  | Occupio<br>'vacant  | -  | Business<br>Type | Use Class   | Footprint        |
| N/A N/A   |  | Central<br>Compar   |  | Car sales        | E(a) retail | 580sqm<br>approx |
| Site Suitab   | Site Suitability Appraisal                       |   |  |                  |             |                  |
| Is the site i flood risk?   | Is the site in an area of flood risk?            |   | No – the site is in Flood Zone 1   |                  |             |                  |
|   | Would development of the site offer a poor level |   | There are residential properties located to the north east of the site on Furnace Row and Winscales Avenue. Development of this site   |                  |             |                  |





| of amenity to neighbouring residents?   | may have some potential impact on the amenity of these residential properties, however the site is currently in employment use therefore additional impact is likely to be minimal.  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on important<br>landscape features<br>identified in the<br>Settlement Landscape<br>Character Assessment? | No impact on settlement or landscape character identified.   |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an adverse<br>impact on any<br>designated sites or sites<br>of environmental or<br>ecological significance?                   | The site is located within an impact risk zone for the River Derwent and Tributaries SSSI which is situated 4.5km to the east of the site. Given the distance from the site, any potential impact on the SSSI is likely to be minimal.   |  |
| Is the site recognised as an important open space in terms of value and/or quality in the emerging Local Plan or Open Space Assessment?                             | No   |  |
| Would development of the site be harmful to the character of the area?  | Development of the western part of the site, containing the car garage and overgrown scrubland to the south would not be harmful to the character of the area. The eastern part of the site comprises agricultural fields — development of this part of the site would negatively impact the open countryside character of the area.                           |  |
| Is the site well related and well connected to an existing settlement?  | The site lies outside the Distington settlement boundary, however it is well-related to the built-up area of Lillyhall to the north (Allerdale District).  |  |
| Would development of the site constitute an unacceptable intrusion into the open countryside?   | The development of the western part of the site (including the car garage and land immediately to the south) would not intrude into open countryside, as this part of the site is already development and screened from the road. The development of the eastern part of the site would likely constitute an unacceptable intrusion into the open countryside. |  |
| Is the site heavily wooded, within an area of ancient woodland or does it contain trees   | The south-western part of the site to the rear of Central Garage is heavily vegetated, however this is not an area of ancient woodland and there are no Tree Preservation Orders in place.   |  |





| protected by Tree<br>Preservation Order?  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Can suitable access to the site be provided?  | There is existing access to the car garage on the site via Furnace Row to the north. Additional access to the undeveloped fields forming the eastern part of the site may be constrained as Furnace Row is a narrow single-carriageway road.   |  |  |
| Does the site have good strategic connectivity and accessibility?   | The site has good strategic connectivity and accessibility via the A595 which joins the roundabout to the north west of the site.  |  |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact on<br>the highway network?  | Access to the western part of the site is existing and further development of this area is unlikely to have unacceptable impact on the highway network. Development of the eastern part of the site may have negative impact on Furnace Row due to constrained access.   |  |  |
| Would development of<br>the site have an<br>unacceptable impact<br>upon a heritage asset or<br>its setting?   | There is a Grade II listed building (Milestone East of Distington Secondary School) located approximately 200m north of the site boundary on the A595. However, although the potential impact on this heritage asset would need to be fully assessed, it is considered that the development of this site is unlikely to have a significant impact on the setting of this listed building.  |  |  |
| Would the site be allocated today for development, measured against present sustainability criteria (e.g. public transport and freight access, environmental impacts and brownfield/greenfield considerations)? | The western part of the site that is currently in employment use forms a natural extension to the Lillyhall estate to the north and has good transport connections to the A595 which runs to the north of the site. Intensification of development in the western part of the site (land comprising the car garage and vegetated area to the south) is unlikely to have significant environmental impacts as this is predominantly a brownfield site. The eastern part of the site is greenfield and its development is likely to have greater impacts on the environment and landscape character. |  |  |
| Strategic Planning Consid   | Strategic Planning Considerations  |  |  |
| Is the site part of a comprehensive or long-term development or regeneration proposal, which depends on the site being developed for employment uses?   | No   |  |  |
| Are there any other policy considerations,  | The site is located adjacent to Lillyhall, situated in Allerdale District, which is promoted as an important employment site, encouraging the  |  |  |





| such as emerging strategic objectives or spatial vision, of relevance to the site?                          | growth of nuclear technology and research clusters. It is an allocated employment site and forms part of the Energy Coast Innovation Zone (Allerdale Local Plan Part 1, Policy S13).  The site is a proposed Local Employment Site allocation in the Preferred Options Local Plan.  The development of the western part of the site would accord with the requirements of emerging Policy E2PO by providing economic development on existing employment land through intensification of uses or reuse/redevelopment of existing premises. |  |
|---|---|--|
| Is the site important in delivering other economic development objectives or the emerging spatial strategy? | No  |  |
| Site Availability Appraisal   |   |  |
| Is the site already in active employment use?   | Yes – the western part of the site  |  |
| If yes, what are current occupancy rates on the site?   | The site (one unit) is fully occupied.  |  |
| If the site is an existing employment site, is there any available developable space within the site?       | Yes – the land immediately south of the existing car garage is developable. The fields to the east of the garage site are also potentially developable but these are not in existing employment use.  |  |
| Is the site in multiple ownerships?   | Yes   |  |
| Has the landowner(s) indicated their intention to develop or sell the site for economic use?                | No response received from landowners, however the western part of<br>the site (0.89 hectares) is currently being actively marketed for<br>employment and leisure uses and therefore assumed to be<br>available.   |  |
| If no, when is the site likely to become available?   | Unknown   |  |
| Are there any known ransom strips or existing tenancies?  | Unknown   |  |





| Site Deliverability Apprais  | al  |  |
|--|---|--|
| Has the site been formally identified for employment for at least 10 years?  | The site is not currently allocated as an employment site in the Adopted Local Plan (2013-2028). The western part of the site has been in use as a car showroom since around 1988 when permission was originally granted.   |  |
| Has there been any recent development activity on site within the last 5 years?  | No  |  |
| Is the site being actively marketed as an employment site?   | The western part of the site (0.89 hectares) currently in use as a car showroom is being actively marketed by agents Walton Goodland ( <a href="https://www.waltongoodland.com/properties/central-lillyhall-workington-cumbria/">https://www.waltongoodland.com/properties/central-lillyhall-workington-cumbria/</a> )  |  |
| Is the site owned by a developer or other agency known to undertake employment development?  | No  |  |
| Is the site in multiple ownership/occupation, or owned by an organisation unlikely to bring it forward for employment development?   | Yes – the site is in multiple ownership (2 landowners)  |  |
| What is the planning history of the site? Is there a valid planning permission for employment development or for an alternative use? | Western part of site comprising car showroom and land immediately south:  4/88/0674/0 – August 1988 – Permission granted for car showroom  4/11/2563/0F1 – January 2012 – Permission granted for demolition of existing showroom and offices, remodelling of remainder to form showroom  There is no planning history identified for the agricultural land in the eastern part of the site. |  |
| Are there any barriers to development coming forward e.g. access, infrastructure requirements, neighbouring uses?                    | Access to the eastern part of the site may be a constraint on future development.  CCC comments (January 2021) state that "access gained from U4101 should be widened and footways provided to frontage as part of any expansion to accommodate increase in traffic, Highways England need to be consulted as it is SRN just off the U4101. Water   |  |





| Recommendation   |  |
|--|--|
| Deliverability   | The western part of the site is considered to be deliverable within 5 years.   |
|  | currently being actively marketed for employment use.  No confirmation as to availability of eastern part of the site.   |
| Availability   | The western part of the site is understood to be available as it is  |
|  | development.  Constraints in the eastern part of the site (intrusion into open countryside, impacts on landscape character, access constraints, potential impact on residential uses) mean this part of the site is considered unsuitable for employment use.  |
| Suitability  | The western part of the site is considered suitable for employment   |
| Appraisal Summary  |  |
| If no, is there any public funding committed (or likely to be provided) to make employment development viable?                     | N/A  |
| Would employment development on this site be viable without public funding to resolve infrastructure or other on-site constraints? | Unknown  |
|  | Potential for intrusion into open countryside (eastern part of the site).  |
|  | Potential for impacts on residential uses to the north/north-east of the site.   |
|  | course adjacent to boundary, SuDs needs to be considered. No objection to the inclusion of the site subject to the following: the impact of the site's development on the highway network will need to be assessed through the WCTM to evaluate what mitigation measures are required, ensure appropriate parking provision within the development and demonstrate reduced trip generation, any development should comply with SUDS and suitable out fall for surface water and highways drainage. (p 263 of CCC Cabinet Report)." |





# **Site Photographs**















