ITEM: 9

DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY

Lead Officer: Tony Pomfret - Development Control Manager

To inform Members of the findings of a customer satisfaction survey of users of the Development Control service for the period 1 April – 30 June 2011 and to invite feedback

Recommendation:

That the report be noted and feedback from Members

welcomed

Resource Implications:

Cost of pre-paid envelopes for the return of questionnaires is

met from the development control budget for 2011/12

SUPPORTING INFORMATION 1.0

- The Council has rightly been proud of its top quartile performance in the speed of 1.1 determination of planning applications in recent years. However, the quality of the planning decisions is arguably more important than the time taken to reach a decision.
- It was therefore decided to test customer satisfaction by way of a simple 1.2 questionnaire sent out with every decision notice issued. questionnaire and accompanying letter is appended to this report. A pre-paid envelope was sent out with each questionnaire to encourage a good rate of response. 140 decision notices were issued within this 3 months period and 37 questionnaires were returned, representing a response rate of 26%.
- The following analysis reflects the questionnaire findings:-1.3

Q.1 The applicant

•	private individual	11 (30%)
•	agent	23 (62%)
•	own business	1 (3%)
•	on behalf of employer	2 (5%)

Q.2 Type of Application

•	householder	16 (43%)
•	residential	10 (27%
•	business/industrial	5 (14%)
•	non-material amendment	0
•	listed building/conservation area	5 (14%)

advert consent 0discharge of condition 0

• other 1 (2%)

Q.3 Most recent application

granted permission/consent
refused permission/consent
1 (3%)

Q.4 Experience of the Council's handling of your application(s) in the last year

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Stongly Disagree	Doesn't apply/don't know
Given help/advice needed	16 (43%)	14 (38%)	5 (14%)			2 (5%)
Kept informed about progress	12 (32%)	17 (46%)	5 (14%)	2 (5%)		(3%)
Queries promptly dealt with	19 (51%)	10 (27%)	5 (14%)		(3%)	(5%)
Understand reasons for decision	25 (67%)	10 (27%)	(3%)		1 (3%)	
Treated fairly and courteously	28 (76%)	6 (16%)	2 (5%)	1 (3%)		

Q.5 Satisfaction with service provided

very satisfied 31 (84%)
fairly satisfied 5 (14%)
neither satisfied nor dissatisfied 1 (2%)
fairly dissatisfied 0
very dissatisfied 0

Q.6 Has overall quality of planning service:

improved 15 (41%)
 worsened 0
 stayed the same 14 (38%)
 not applicable 8 (21%)

1.4 Respondents were also afforded the opportunity to provide additional comments, including suggestions for improvement. Sixteen (43%) respondents took up this opportunity. The vast majority of comments were complimentary of both individual members of staff and the service generally and included:

"All the officers were most courteous, helpful and efficient."

"Excellent services from all involved. First class professional people."

"I was given more than adequate information to allow me to complete my application form and procedures were explained thoroughly."

"Very helpful staff - friendly and efficient."

"There is a realistic approach to problem resolution. We find planners at Copeland respond in a personal way".

However, suggestions for ways in which the service could be improved were also received, including:

"Applications and history could be stored on-line – more efficient for agents and less time consuming for the local planning authority".

"Quicker query responses and better explanation of refusal reasons."

"Payment through the Planning Portal didn't work."

"Certain officers are far better at responding to queries than others, resulting in a lack of consistency."

All the responses are available for inspection in the Development Control Office.

1.5 **CONCLUSION**

Overall the survey results and additional comments received are testimony to the high quality of service provision, with 92% of respondents stating that they are "very" or "fairly" satisfied and no respondents expressing dissatisfaction. Of those respondents who have used the service previously, almost half (41%) consider that the overall quality of the planning service has improved with no respondent stating that the service has worsened. Comments for service improvement will, however, be afforded close scrutiny in order that appropriate actions may be implemented.

Contact Officer: Tony Pomfret – Development Control Manager

Background Papers A copy of the questionnaire and accompanying letter is appended.

All of the completed questionnaires are available for inspection in

the Development Control Office

COPELAND BOROUGH COUNCIL



The Copeland Centre, Catherine Street, Whitehaven, Cumbria. CA28 7SJ

LISTENING TO YOUR VIEWS

COPELAND BOROUGH COUNCIL: DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY

Dear

Copeland Borough Council is committed to providing quality services. I am therefore writing to you to ask for your help in monitoring the Development Management Service we provide.

As you have applied for planning permission to the Council within the past 12 months we are keen to hear your views about the quality of service you received in order that we can bring about any necessary improvements.

Your response will be treated in the strictest confidence and will only be used to monitor the Development Management Service.

Once you have completed the attached questionnaire please return it in the preaddressed envelope provided. You do not need to add a stamp.

Thank you very much for your help in advance.

Yours sincerely

TONY POMFRET DEVELOPMENT CONTROL MANAGER

Enc

LISTENING TO YOUR VIEWS DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY

Q1	When you made yo Please tick one box		ecent app	lication, in v	vhat capaci	ty were you	acting?	
	As a private individu	al		As part of business	of your own s			
	As an agent acting o of another party	n behalf		On beha	alf of your en	nployer		
Q2	What type of applic Please tick one box		you sub	mitting?				
	Householder			Listed Bu Conserva Area Con	ition			
	Residential Development			Advertise	ment Conse	nt [
	Business or Industrial Development			Discharge	Discharge of Condition			
	Non-Material Amendment			Other (ple	ease write in			
Q3	Was your most rece	ent applica	ation:					
	Granted permission/o		Refused permission/consent					
Q4	Please indicate who about your experien THE LAST YEAR Please tick one box	nce of the						
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	It does not apply/Don't know	
	I was given the advice and help I needed to submit my application correctly							
	The Council kept me informed about the progress of my application							

The Council dealt promptly with my queries					application made	
I understand the reasons for the decisions made on my application(s)						
I felt that I was treated fairly and courteously and that my viewpoint was listened to				·		
Setting aside whether or dissatisfied are application? Please tick one box	you with t					
Very satisfied F	airly satisf		her satisfied dissatisfied	Fair dissatis	•	ery dissatisfied
If you submitted ap quality of the plann Please tick one box	ing servic			cent one do	you feel th	at the overal
Improved						
Worsened						
Stayed the sam	е					
Any supporting and/obox below would be			ts, including	suggestions	s for improve	ement, in the
		·				

Thank you very much for taking part in the survey.

Please return your completed questionnaire in the pre-paid envelope provided within the next 21 days if possible.