REVENUE BUDGET - MONITORING REPORT 2010/11 (Quarter One)

| EXECUTIVE MEMBER: | Cllr E Woodburn, Leader |
|-------------------|---|
| LEAD OFFICER: | Angela Brown |
| REPORT AUTHOR: | Paul Robson, Management Accountant ,Barry Williams Interim Accountant (Service Review) , Chris Dove, Interim Project Accountant |

Summary:

The report provides the Executive with the summary budget position for the Revenue Budget 2010/11 at 30th June 2010, and, a forecast year-end outturn position.

Recommendations:

The Executive is asked to;

- (i) Approve RPWG recommendation to reduce the 2010/11 budget by £455,000 as a result of the first stage of the Service Reviews 2010 – 2013 process and note that £353,000 of these reductions are ongoing savings going forward to future years
- (ii) Approve the removal of the fee from the approved fees and charges schedule for personal searches as a result of a change in legislation. The cost to the Council in 2010/11 is estimated at £20,000.
- (iii) Approve the utilisation of a maximum of £10,000 from general fund balances for the Data Capture Project
- (iv) Confirm the revised net revenue budget of £16,164,560 (paragraph 2.2).
- (v) Note the projected year-end position of £242,582 net under spending is subject to monthly review and there are a number of key variables which may impact upon the projection.
- (vi) Note the projected balances on general fund and ear marked reserves.

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 In accordance with Council's Financial Regulations, the Head of Finance is required to report to the Executive on the overall budget position, the monitoring and control of expenditure against budget allocations and the exercise of virement on a regular basis. It is the responsibility of Corporate Team and individual budget managers to control income and expenditure within their service areas and to monitor performance, taking account of financial information provided by the Head of Finance.
- 1.2 All budget managers receive a monthly budget monitoring report covering their areas of responsibility. Information is collated from the main accounting system and then adjusted to correct any known budget profiling trends, timing differences and commitments. The report has been developed in line with the need to provide sound financial management information to inform the decision making process, which is also a requirement of the Use of Resources assessment.
- 1.3 The report summarises the forecast year-end position drawing on the returns from budget holders for the period 30th June 2010. The budget monitoring process considers the current position against current profiled budget together with the projections of income and expenditure to be incurred for the remainder of the year and compares this to the current budget.
- 1.4 It is important that key trends and possible areas of budget variation are identified to enable action to be taken if necessary, and revisions to budget approvals to be approved, including for example, the redirection of resources to meet emerging priorities and pressures funded from underspendings. Budget monitoring is an active process.
- 1.5 The forecast for 2010/11 contained within this report includes savings proposed by service managers arising from Stage 1 of the Service Review Process implemented as part of the Choosing to Change Programme. Details of the budget reductions or increased income targets by service are contained in Appendix C to this report.
- 1.6 In summary, Quarter 1 projected position is showing a net underspend of £242,582 at the year-end measured against the current approved revenue budget of £16,164,560 (Table 3, paragraph 3.1). Section 3 sets out the significant variances reported by Service area.
- 1.7 It is important to recognise that there are a number of key variables which may impact upon the projection and the projection will be revised throughout the year. (*Throughout the report, the use of brackets indicates a credit or income budget, and the term underspend also relates to additional income generated*).

2. REVENUE BUDGET 2010/11

- 2.1 Council approved the Revenue Budget 2010/11 at its meeting held on 6th March 2010. The Original Net Revenue Budget for 2010/11 of £15,875,152, is supported by earmarked reserves for specific items of £2,770,922 (the most significant items being the Regeneration Delivery Plan and the Local (Planning) Development Framework).
- 2.2 The Government, as part of its emergency budget, has announced cuts to grants provided to local authorities. For Copeland;
 - Area Based Grant has been cut by £98,307, affecting the programme paid for through the Whitehaven Neighbourhood Fund.
 - Housing and Planning Delivery Grant has been cut by £63,218, which require an increase in the use of reserves to support planning activities and
 - Grant assistance to support free swimming of £42,000 has been withdrawn completely. To neutralise the impact of this cut, North Cumbria Leisure will re-instate fees and charges for this activity from 1 September 2010 and the Council will reduce its contract payments by a total of £42,000 for the remainder of the year.

The overall total of £203,525 has been deducted from budget forecasts for 2010/11.

2.3 Table 1 shows the summary movement on the annual revenue budget in the three months to 30th June 2010. The current net revenue (approved) budget is £16,164,560 Table 2 shows the funding of the current revenue budget.

| Table 1 - 2010/11 Approved Budget | £ | Notes |
|---|------------|-------|
| Net Budget Requirement funded from Council Tax and Grants | 13,104,230 | |
| Net Budget Requirement funded from Reserves | 2,770,922 | |
| Total Approved Net Budget Requirement | 15,875,152 | |
| Reduction in General Grant Funding (Area Based Grant) | (98,307) | |
| Amended Approved 2010/11 Net Budget Requirement | 15,776,845 | |
| 2010/11 changes to Net Budget Requirement | | |
| Carry forward requests applied 2010/11 (Appendix A) | 425,918 | 2.4 |
| Approved Net Contribution to General Fund Balances | | |
| (Appendix B) | (247,800) | |
| Approved Use of Earmarked Reserves (Appendix B): | 523,379 | |
| Reductions in grants within services; | | |
| Housing & Planning Delivery Grant | 63,218 | |
| Free Swimming | 42,000 | |
| Adjustments to service budgets; | | |
| Stage 1 service Review Savings (Appendix C) | (455,000) | 2.6 |
| Reduced Contract Payment NCL | (42,000) | 2.2 |
| Building Maintenance & Energy Efficiency | 48,000 | 2.7 |
| Data Capture Project | 10,000 | 2.8 |
| Personal Search Fees | 20,000 | 3.12 |
| Total 2010/11 changes to Net Budget Requirement | 387,715 | |
| Current Net Budget Requirement | 16,164,560 | |

- 2.4 Appendix A sets out in detail approved carry forwards shown in Table 1 above. Executive at its meeting of 29th June 2010, approved carry forward requests totalling £894,473, of which £425,918 are planned to be utilised during 2010/11.
- 2.5 Appendix B "Additional Use of Earmarked Reserves and General Fund Balances" shows amendments to the Approved 2010/11 Budget utilisation of reserves, following 2009/10 Outturn Report. The original budget 2010/11, approved at Full Council on 2nd March 2010, included planned utilisation of £2,770,922 from earmarked reserves and general fund balance. A number of adjustments have been made to the original budgeted planned utilisation (col 2), based on the 2009/10 outturn report and the utilisation of reserves and balances has risen to £3,158,637.
- 2.6 As part of the service review exercise currently being undertaken, Resource Planning Working Group (RPWG) at its meeting on 11 June 2010 approved identified initial savings of (£455,000), to be returned to General Fund balances. Ongoing savings into future years represents £353,000. Executive are requested to formally approve these identified savings as set out in Appendix C.

- 2.7 Members are reminded that £48,000 has been approved, at the June Executive meeting, for earmarking from general fund balances for building maintenance and energy efficiency purposes.
- 2.8 An amount of £10,000 has been included within the use of reserves to fund the potential shortfall for the Data Capture capital Project (paragraph 6.2)
- 2.9 The current net revenue budget for 2010/11 of £16,164,560 is funded by £13,005,923 (£13,104,230 original budget) from Council Tax and General Government Grants and £3,158,637 (£2,770,922 original budget) from revenue reserves.
- 2.10 Table 2 below shows the funding of both the current net revenue budget for 2010/11, and the original net budget approved in February 2010.

| Table 2 – Funding of 2010/11 Net Revenue Budget | Original Approved Net Budget | Current 2010/11 Net Budget | Variance |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| | £ | £ | £ |
| General Grants; | | | |
| Revenue Support Grant | 915,799 | 915,799 | 0 |
| Distributed National Non-domestic | | | |
| Rates | 6,306,750 | 6,306,750 | 0 |
| Council Tax | 4,016,353 | 4,016,353 | 0 |
| | 11,238,902 | 11,238,902 | 0 |
| Area Based Grant | 1,028,500 | 930,193 | (98,307) |
| PFI Grant Income | 836,828 | 836,828 | 0 |
| | 13,104,230 | 13,005,923 | (98,307) |
| Use of General Fund Balances and | | | |
| Earmarked Reserves | 2,770,922 | 3,158,637 | 387,715 |
| Total Funding | 15,875,152 | 16,164,560 | 289,408 |

3 YEAR END PROJECTION 2010/11

3.1 Table 3 sets out the summary of managers' year-end projections for 2010/11 and reports this position against the approved revenue budget for the Council.

| Table 3 - Summary Year End Projection 2010/11 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------|---------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Department | Department Original Annual Net Budget | | Forecast Outturn | Variance | | | | | | |
| | £ | £ | £ | £ | | | | | | |
| Chief Executive | 1,357,404 | 1,364,084 | 1,313,656 | (50,428) | | | | | | |
| Customer Services | 772,900 | 765,808 | 800,959 | 35,151 | | | | | | |
| Finance and Management | | | | | | | | | | |
| Information Systems (MIS) | 1,706,737 | 1,706,737 | 1,844,293 | 137,556 | | | | | | |
| Legal and Democratic Services | 905,163 | 911,161 | 898,255 | (12,906) | | | | | | |
| Policy and Performance | 1,122,172 | 1,073,922 | 1,084,983 | 11,061 | | | | | | |
| Development Strategy | 3,153,080 | 3,612,153 | 3,469,351 | (142,802) | | | | | | |
| Development Operations | 2,440,437 | 2,551,487 | 2,489,483 | (62,004) | | | | | | |
| Leisure and Environmental services | 4,667,259 | 4,429,208 | 4,270,998 | (158,210) | | | | | | |
| Vacancy Savings | (250,000) | (250,000) | (250,000) | 0 | | | | | | |
| Total | 15,875,152 | 16,164,560 | 15,921,978 | (242,582) | | | | | | |

- 3.2 Appendix D shows the forecasted position at the year-end by service area, indicating gross expenditure and income, and also shows the current net position.
- 3.3 Budget Managers are forecasting an overall underspend on the Council's revenue budget of £242,582. This represents 1.5% of the net approved budget.
- 3.4 Detailed explanations of the key variations are set out in paragraphs 3.5 to 3.19.

Budget Monitoring 2010/11 (Qtr 1) – Significant Estimated Variances

Service Reviews

- 3.5 Stage 1 of the service review process identified budget reductions and increased income targets totalling £455,000 as detailed in Appendix C to this report. These reductions to the 2010/11 budget have been incorporated into the forecasts for the relevant service areas.
- 3.6 The service review process has also been looking at the approved salary budgets to assist with the "rough-cut" budget exercise being carried out with the assistance of Chorley Borough Council. This work has initially identified further savings in respect of the budget provisions for pay awards and the

- 3.7 The work on the salary budgets is ongoing and is likely to result in further savings due to;
 - Established posts not being filled for the full year and
 - Delays in recruitment to vacancies approved by the Vacancy Management Panel.
- 3.8 A proportion of these potential salary savings will be required to fund the use of agency staff and consultants to cover some of these vacancies, which were not provided within the approved 2010/11 budget. Any balance will contribute to the vacancy management saving of £250,000 contained within the current 2010/11 budget. The 2010/11 salary budget forecast will be updated at quarter 2 to reflect changes arising from the service review and vacancy management processes.

Chief Executive

3.9 A net under spend of £50,428 is forecast at the year-end. This is due to vacancies arising in Management Information Systems. A planned minor reorganisation is now to be included in the service review process. This has been partially offset by a forecast overspend on the Chief Executive account in supporting the interim arrangements.

Customer Services

3.10 A net over spending of £35,151 is forecast at the year-end. The principal reason for this is a projected reduction in council tax based court income from the budget.

Finance and Management Information Systems (MIS)

- 3.11 An over spending of £137,566 is forecast at the year end, and consists mainly of :-
 - The treasury management budget is subject to detailed monthly review and revised estimates have been undertaken given the turbulence of the market. Forecast Interest from cash deposits is less than budgeted by £188,725 as a result of very poor short term interest rates being offered by the prinicipal institutions. A Treasury Management Report will be presented to the next meeting of the Executive.
 - The concessionary fares budget has experienced variation in usage over the last three years, making budget predictions difficult. Usage statistics to 30th June 2010, compared to last year's profile would indicate a potential underspending at the year-end of £37,393. This

Legal and Democratic Services

- 3.12 There is an under spending of £12,906 forecast for this department at the year-end. This is due to an under spend on salary related costs relating to employer superannuation contributions. This is partially offset as Land Charges income is projected to show a shortfall of £20,000 as a result of new legislation relating to personal searches. This will have a budgetary implication for 2011/12.
- 3.13 A change in legislation has recently taken place, and as a result authorities are no longer allowed to charge for personal searches. Additionally, there is a possibility that authorities will also be required to repay fee income generated from 1st April 2005 onwards. This is estimated at £35,000 for Copeland. This has not been included in the financial statements, and will only be included when further guidance is received.

Policy and Performance

3.14 Policy and Performance is projecting a small overspend of £11,061 on a budget of £1.1m. This is partly due to allocation of GIS costs paid in advance and split between 2009/10 and 2010/11. The Managing Radioactive Waste Programme (MWRP) is contributing, through its support from DECC, towards additional communications support.

Development Strategy

3.15 Development Strategy is forecasting a net under spend at the year-end of £142,802. This is due to salary related savings as a result in vacancies in economic development, development strategy, planning policy, and, nuclear. Also, rental income at Cleator Moor Business Centre is projected ahead of budget.

This is slightly offset by Neighbourhood management and regeneration delivery plan expecting to show a slight overspend as a result of planned project expenditure being ahead of profile.

Development Operations

3.16 The year-end projection for this department is of a total net under spending of £62,003.

The property budget is forecast a net underspend of £37,937 due to additional rental income and a saving in salary related costs due a change in resourcing arrangements.

General repairs to the Council-owned public buildings is projecting an under spend of £9,300 due to a slight decrease activity in their upkeep.

Development control is also showing a slight projected saving of £12,500 due to vacancies of salary based staff.

The Beacon is currently forecasting a year end position in line with budget.

The Beacon has also earmarked £155,000 to date for future investment purposes to ensure the medium to longer term sustainability of the centre as a leading tourist and heritage attraction. Members have previously approved the use of £105,000 of this reserve in the current year for floor improvements. The quarter 2 budget monitoring report will assess the current year position in more detail.

Leisure and Environmental Services

- 3.17 Leisure and Environmental Services are predicting an under spending of £158,210 at the year end. This is a complex budget area and a number of variables impact upon it.
- 3.18 Projected savings of £42,790 is a result of vacancy management in Cultural Services, L&ES Management and Administration, and Environmental Health.
- 3.19 Waste Management budget projected financial position at year end is anticipated to show an under spend of £139,607. This is due to improved income from recycling activities and a slight reduction in employee related costs. Recycling income for 2010/11 is based on an amount per percentage point. The Waste Disposal Authority had agreed to pay Copeland monthly payments based on achieving a 42% recycling rate for 2010/11. The budget was set, prior to the agreement with the County, at a recycling rate of 40%, but the 42% recycling rate is now being achieved.

4 GENERAL FUND BALANCE AND EARMARKED RESERVES

- 4.1 Appendix E sets out the general fund balance and earmarked reserves brought forward from 2009/10, and, secondly, actual and projected movements. The net movement on the general fund balance includes the £242,582 forecast revenue under spend for 2010/11.
- 4.2 The general fund balance brought forward from 2009/10 shows £3,643,801, with earmarked reserves at £5,613,538 and sinking funds at £1,550,785.

- 4.3 Projections indicate balances at 2010/11 financial year end to be £2,947,902 for general fund balances, £3,393,382 for earmarked reserves, and £1,686,177 for sinking funds.
- 4.4 This equates to a fall in the level of balances of reserves of £2,780,663 (-25.7%). The sustainability of the overall reserves position will be assessed in more detail as part of the 2011/12 budget process.

5 PROCUREMENT SAVINGS

5.1 The Procurement team has been working with staff to identify potential savings within their budgets, whilst continuing to offer support in relation to tendering and contracts.

A saving of £2,327 was achieved from a contract for Electoral Printing Services which was retendered this quarter.

A current area of work is implementing the proposal to rationalise the number of desktop printers at the Council offices. This will deliver an estimated saving of £16,626 It is expected that there will be associated savings from reduced usage of paper, which will be quantified at year end.

6 CAPITAL - REVENUE BUDGET IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 The capital monitoring report on this agenda addresses a number of projects that have, or potentially have, revenue implications to the Council, as stated below:
- 6.2 Members are requested to approve the utilisation of up to £10,000 for the Data Capture Project.

The capital monitoring report on this agenda reminds Members that the Data Capture Project was approved for inclusion on the Capital Programme at £34,000. This essential project which ensures that all the necessary and historic land charges, development control and building control data is captured electronically, is also cleansed and available to council staff and the general public, is being carried out at the same time as the separate MIS Headway Software project is being implemented. This means that we are essentially testing the software as the data is being loaded. There are advantages to this as any software problems can be identified and rectified now before the project concludes, however some technical issues have reduced the rate of capture, and as a result costs, are expected to be in excess of the £34,000 approved budget by approximately £7,000. It is hoped that this funding requirement can be met by utilising under spend on the MIS Headway Software project, which would not represent an increased demand on the council's resources. If this proves insufficient it is proposed to match the additional costs from existing revenue reserves for service improvement in building control and development control.

- 6.3 The capital monitoring report on this agenda also reminds Members that the fitting of the new cremator is expected to complete during quarter 3, though this will be confirmed once the tender has been let. Council was informed at its meeting of 2 March this year, of the issue regarding VAT reclaimed by the Copeland. Under its partial exemption scheme the Council clan claim all its VAT back on its ordinary activities (including VAT relating to exempt activities) provided the exempt element does not exceed a 5% threshold set by HMRC. If this threshold is breached then all VAT relating to exempt activities becomes repayable. Cremation is an exempt supply for VAT purposes so the VAT on the installation of the cremator must go into the calculation. As the inclusion of this VAT will push the exempt VAT above the 5% threshold set by HMRC it means that none of the exempt VAT could be reclaimed for 2010/11, which on 2009/10 levels would be approximately £62,000 and £70,000 for the cremator VAT. This would effectively increase the cost of all the supplies that are related to exempt activities and this increased cost would need to be met from revenue (£62,000) and from capital (£70,000) for the cremators. HMRC have been formally approached to request that as the breach of the partial exemption will only be as a result of the cremator installation and will only occur in 2010/11 that it can be ignored and VAT recovered as normal. We are currently working with HMRC to gain a decision and when the outcome of this corporate VAT issue is known it will be reported to Members and the request for additional revenue, if needed, will be presented.
- 6.4 Executive at its meeting of 18 January 2010 approved the commissioning of a feasibility report on Pow Beck. This tender was let to WYG at a cost of £244,000, funded 100% through a maximum grant of £300,000 from BEC. A quarter 1 2010/11 claim has been submitted to BEC for £107,000 (yet to be received). As work has commenced and been paid for in part it is hoped that this legal and contractual obligation will mean the remaining funds would be available to complete this stage of the works. Members are asked to note that whilst this specific Pow Beck project is not on the capital programme, but is reported through revenue (as the nature of report does not qualify for capital funding) that future capital works on Pow Beck resulting from this report may be effected by the Government's proposal to abolish the NWDA. Any developments will be reported to Members.
- 6.5 At the time of writing this report, the implications of the possible loss of the NWDA are being considered and evaluated and Members will be kept informed of developments regarding this scheme. Should the planned Albion Square project be unable to proceed and the site remains within the Council's possession then the costs spent on the plan would cease to be eligible to be funded by Capital (as they have not contributed directly to the creation of an asset). There would be nil impact regarding the external funding used from BEC and NMP but Copeland's own funding of this

project, which has been and continues to be provided from Useable Capital Receipts Reserve would need to be repaid and revenue funded. If, as believed at the time of writing this report, that either a) the scheme will go ahead or b) the enhanced asset of the land with planning permission and the plans will be disposed of there will be no need for additional revenue funding. Members will be kept informed of developments regarding this scheme and the revenue funding implications,

7 CONCLUSION

- 7.1 This report has set out in summary, the current revenue budget for 2009/10 and the forecast position at the year end. Members are requested to confirm the current net revenue budget of £16,164,560.
- 7.2 Members are asked to note the the projected position at the year end of a net under spending of £242,582 against the current net revenue budget.
- 7.3 Members are requested to approve up to a maximum of £10,000 from general fund balances to fund the Data Capture Project if required.
- 7.4 Members are requested to approve RPWG recommendation (11 June 2010 meeting) to reduce the 2010/11 budget by £455,000 as a result of the first stage of the Service Reviews 2010 2013 process, and note that £353,000 of these savings will be ongoing into future years.
- 7.5 Approve the removal of the fee from the approved fees and charges schedule for personal searches as a result of a change in legislation. The cost to the Council in 2010/11 is estimated at £20,000.
- 7.6 Any underspend against the budget for the year will be credited to the general fund balance at year end.

8 FINANCIAL AND HUMAN RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS (INCLUDING SOURCES OF FINANCE)

8.1 The report sets out the current revenue budget for 2010/11 and the forecast year end position and this is summarised in section 4.

9 PROJECT AND RISK MANAGEMENT

- **9.1** The budget monitoring process is carried out on a monthly basis. Management and finance staff work together to ensure financial reports are accurate and timely to assist the decision making process of the Council as a whole.
- **9.2** Budgets are monitored during the year with exceptions reported through Corporate Team and Executive during the year on a quarterly basis. At the year- end, the draft outturn prior to the external audit process must be

10 IMPACT ON CORPORATE PLAN

10.1 The budget and monitoring process is fully integrated into the planning process of the Council embracing all the objectives of the Council.

List of Appendices

- Appendix A Detailed Approved Carry Forwards
- Appendix B Additional Use of Earmarked Reserves and General Fund Balances
- Appendix C Resource Planning Working Group (meeting on 11 June 2010) Service Review Changes Affecting the 2010/11 Budget
- Appendix D 2010/11 Detailed Budget Monitoring Report for Period 3 to 30 June 2010
- Appendix E Detailed Movement on General Fund Balance and Earmarked Reserves

List of Background Documents

2010/11 Period 3 Management Accounts Reports Revenue Budget Reports 2010/11 2010/11 Q1 Capital monitoring Report

List of Consultees

Corporate Team

CHECKLIST FOR DEALING WITH KEY ISSUES

Please confirm against the issue if the key issues below have been addressed. This can be by either a short narrative or quoting the paragraph number in the report in which it has been covered.

| Teport in which it has been covered. | |
|---|----------------------------|
| Impact on Crime and Disorder | None |
| Impact on Sustainability | None |
| Impact on Rural Proofing | None |
| Health and Safety Implications | None |
| Impact on Equality and Diversity Issues | None |
| Children and Young Persons | None |
| Implications | |
| Human Rights Act Implications | None |
| Section 151 Officer Comments | No further comments to add |
| | |
| Monitoring Officer Comments | None |
| | |

Please say if this report will require the making of a Key Decision YES/NO

Appendix A - Detailed Approved Carry Forwards

| Appendix A - Detailed Approved Carry Forwards | Pla | nned Utilisat | ion |
|--|---------|---------------|---------|
| | | 2011/12 | |
| | 2010/11 | onwards | Total |
| | £ | £ | £ |
| Approved Carry Forwards from 2009/10 | | | |
| Revenues and Benefits Shared Services | 60,000 | | 60,000 |
| Business Rate Deferral Costs | 12,293 | | 12,293 |
| Implement Changes in Benefits Scheme | 6,315 | | 6,315 |
| Finance Server Upgrade | 16,680 | | 16,680 |
| Sickness Reduction Consultancy | 8,000 | | 8,000 |
| Public Consultation | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| Homelessness | 54,172 | | 54,172 |
| Intensive Local Engagement | 71,722 | | 71,722 |
| Local Delivery Framework Printng | 6,574 | | 6,574 |
| Empty Shops Programme | 41,588 | | 41,588 |
| LABGI Contribution to Empty Shops Programme | 14,020 | | 14,020 |
| Council Match Funding for Arts and Sports Development Grants | 12,139 | | 12,139 |
| Contribution for Flood Risk Assessment at Millom Pool | 1,438 | | 1,438 |
| Connected Communities Seaside Grants | 355 | | 355 |
| Dog Control Order Signage | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| Land Drainage and Coastal Defence Work | 8,584 | | 8,584 |
| Application of CAMEO funding for Crematorium Burners | 22,988 | | 22,988 |
| Registration of Council Land | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| Beacon Grants | 6,850 | | 6,850 |
| Land Slippage North Shore | 57,200 | | 57,200 |
| May 2011 Elections | | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Job Evaluation | | 153,784 | 153,784 |
| Habitat Assessment | | 33,670 | 33,670 |
| Planning Enforcement and Conservation Advice | | 20,208 | 20,208 |
| PFI Performance Deductions | | 39,479 | 39,479 |
| Copeland Seaside Coastal Park Initiative | | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| LABGI for Empty Shops | | 11,414 | 11,414 |
| Total Approved Carry Forwards | 425,918 | 468,555 | 894,473 |
| | | | |

Appendix B - Additional Use of General Fund Balances and Earmarked Reserves

Amendments to the Approved 2010/11 Budget Utilisation of Reserves, following 2009/10 Outturn Report

| | Approved Use of | General Fund Balan | ces and Earmarked | Reserves for 2010/ | 11 Purposes (Full | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|---------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|--------------|---|
| | | Council | 2nd March 2010 A | орх В) | | Additional Use of General Fund Balances and Earmarked Reserves in 2 | | | | 010/11 | | Total | | | | | |
| | G | eneral Fund Balance | s | | | | Gen | eral Fund Balances | | | Earn | arked Reserve | s | Movement | | | |
| | Use of General Fund Balances | Carry Forwards from 2009/10 | Total Use of General Fund Balances | Earmarked Reserves | Total Use of General Fund Balances and Earmarked Reserves | Adjustments following 2009/10 Outturn Report | Service Reviews | Carry Forwards from 2009/10 | Other | Total Additional Use / Contribution (-) of General Fund Balances | Executive Report 29 06 10 Regeneration Plans | Other | Total Additional Use of Earmarked Reserves | on General Fund Balances and Earmarked Reserves | Total General Fund Balances | Total EMR | Total General Fund Balances and Earmarked Reserves |
| | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| Chief Executive Corporate Management - support of base budget Choosing to change Customer Services | | 80,000 | 0 80,000 | 130,000 | 130,000 80,000 | | | | | 0 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 80,000 | 130,000 0 | 130,000 80,000 |
| Benefits holding account | 119,000 | 94,380 | 213,380 | 35,000 | 248,380 | -88,000 | | | | -88,000 | | | 0 | -88,000 | 125,380 | 35,000 | 160,380 |
| Cash Collection | 119,000 | 374 | 374 | 55,000 | 374 | -88,000 | | | | -88,000 | | | 0 | -88,000 | 374 | 33,000 | 374 |
| Finance | | 574 | 5/4 | | 574 | | | | | 0 | | | Ū | , U | 574 | 0 | 574 |
| Accountancy | | 32,386 | 32,386 | | 32,386 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 32,386 | 0 | 32,386 |
| Treasury Management - ealrier years surplus to cover downt | 59,023 | | 59,023 | 81,500 | 140,523 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 59,023 | 81,500 | 140,523 |
| Fraud | 55,025 | 728 | 728 | 01,500 | 728 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 728 | 01,500 | 728 |
| Data Capture Project | | , 20 | 0_0 | | 0 | | | | 10,000 | 10,000 | | | 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 0 | 10,000 |
| Legal and Democratic Services | | | · | | · · | | | | 10,000 | _0,000 | | | • | | 10,000 | Ũ | _0,000 |
| Elections | | | o | -10,000 | -10,000 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | o | 0 | -10,000 | -10,000 |
| Legal | | 2,696 | 2,696 | -, | 2,696 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 2,696 | 0 | 2,696 |
| Equality and Diversity | | 3,974 | 3,974 | | 3,974 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 3,974 | 0 | 3,974 |
| Seach Fees | | | | | | | | | 20,000 | 20,000 | | | 0 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 20,000 |
| Policy and Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Training | | 135,000 | 135,000 | | 135,000 | -50,000 | | | | -50,000 | | | 0 | -50,000 | 85,000 | 0 | 85,000 |
| Payroll | | 374 | 374 | | 374 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 374 | 0 | 374 |
| Process Improvement Team | | 8,250 | 8,250 | | 8,250 | -8,250 | | | | -8,250 | | | 0 | -8,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Development Strategy | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Housing Strategy | | 41,213 | 41,213 | | 41,213 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 41,213 | 0 | 41,213 |
| Private Sector Housing Renewal | | 1,001 | 1,001 | | 1,001 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 1,001 | 0 | 1,001 |
| Economic Development | | 2,696 | 2,696 | | 2,696 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 2,696 | 0 | 2,696 |
| Planning Policy | | 88,500 | 88,500 | 320,000 | 408,500 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 88,500 | 320,000 | 408,500 |
| LABGI (1) | | 35,100 | 35,100 | | 35,100 | -35,100 | | | | -35,100 | | | 0 | -35,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LABGI (2) | | | | | 0 | | | | | 0 | 233,515 | | 233,515 | | 0 | 233,515 | 233,515 |
| Working Neighbourhood Fund Reduction in ABG covered by use of uncommitted WNF | | 60,000 | 60,000 | 1,140,277 | 1,200,277 | 20,000 | | | | 20,000 | 271,557 | -80,000 | 191,557 | 211,557 | 80,000 | 1,331,834 | 1,411,834 |
| reserve | | | | | 0 | | | | | 0 | 98,307 | | 98,307 | 98,307 | 0 | 98,307 | 98,307 |
| South Whitehaven Partnership | | 71,450 | 71,450 | | 71,450 | -71,450 | | | | -71,450 | | | 0 | -71,450 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nuclear | 200,000 | | 200,000 | | 200,000 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 |
| Community Safety | | 20,000 | 20,000 | | 20,000 | | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | 20,000 |
| HPDG | | | 0 | | 0 | 15 000 | | | 63,218 | | | | 0 | 63,218 | 63,218 | 0 | 63,218 |
| Homelessness | | 15,000 | 15,000 | | 15,000 | -15,000 | | | | -15,000 | | | 0 | -15,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Development Operations | | | | | _ | | | | 40.000 | | | | - | 40.000 | 40.000 | - | |
| Maintenance of buildings no longer capital | | | | | U | | | | 48,000 | 48,000 | | | 0 | 48,000 | 48,000 | 0 | 48,000 |
| Leisure and Environmental | | 2 000 | 2 000 | | 2 000 | | | | | | | | | | 2 000 | 0 | 2 000 |
| Street Scene | | 3,000 | 3,000 | | 3,000 | | | | 12 000 | U 43.000 | | | 0 | 42 000 | 3,000 | 0 | 3,000 |
| Free Swimming NCL Contract | | | 0 | | 0 | | | | 42,000 -42,000 | | | | 0 | 42,000 -42,000 | 42,000 -42,000 | 0 | 42,000 -42,000 |
| Service Reviews | | | 0 | | J | | | | -42,000 | -42,000 | | | U | -42,000 | -42,000 | 0 | -42,000 |
| Stage 1 | | | 0 | | 0 | | -455,000 | h | | -455,000 | | | 0 | -455,000 | -455,000 | 0 | -455,000 |
| Carry Forwards from 2009/10 (see Appendix A for Detail) | | | 0 | | 0 | | +33,000 | , 425,918 | | 425,918 | | | 0 | 425,918 | 425,918 | 0 | 425,918 |
| | | | U | | J | | | | | | | | Ū | | | 0 | |
| | 378,023 | 696,122 | 1,074,145 | 1,696,777 | 2,770,922 | -247,800 | -455,000 |) 425,918 | 141,218 | -135,664 | 603,379 | -80,000 | 523,379 | 387,715 | 938,481 | 2,220,156 | 3,158,637 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | ļ | | | | L | | |

Appendix C <u>Resource Planning Working Group (meeting on 11 June 2010) Service</u> <u>Review Changes Affecting the 2010/11 Budget</u>

| | Expenditure | Additional | <u>Total</u> |
|---|-------------|------------|--------------|
| | Reductions | Income | 01000 |
| | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 |
| Customer Services | | | |
| Reduce Allpay budget | -5 | | |
| Reduce security budget | -2.7 | | |
| | -7.7 | 0 | -7.7 |
| Finance & Management | | | |
| Information Systems | | | |
| Reduce IT costs | -10 | 0 | -10 |
| Policy & Performance | | | |
| Performance Improvement Team | -3 | 0 | -3 |
| | _ | _ | _ |
| Legal & Democratic Services | | | |
| Reduce computing costs – Civic & | -2 | | |
| Mayoral | | | |
| Reduce members allowances | -2 | | |
| | | | |
| Reduce software licence costs – | -2 | | |
| Elections | | | |
| Reduce software licence costs – | -8 | | |
| Land Charges | | | |
| | -14 | 0 | -14 |
| Development Operations | | | |
| Deduce releastion Superson, Lload | 2 5 | | |
| Reduce relocation Expenses – Head of Development Operations | -2.5 | | |
| or Development Operations | | | |
| Staff re-structure - Property Services | -7.3 | | |
| Stan re-structure - Property Services | -7.5 | | |
| Reduce equipment budget – | -1.2 | | |
| Property Services | | | |
| | -11 | 0 | -11 |
| Development Strategy | | | |
| Economic Development - Hospital | -7.1 | | |
| | /.1 | | |
| Economic Development Manager | -12 | | |
| (vacancy until Aug 10) | | | |
| Homeless Manager (vacancy saving | -10 | | |
| – 4 months) | | | |
| Homeless Priority Needs (do less | -5 | | |
| kitting out) | | | |

| Homeless contract (reduce the | -2 | | |
|---|--------|------|--------|
| contract) | | | |
| Working Neighbourhood Fund | -80 | | |
| Community Initiatives (delay some | | | |
| spend until 2011/12) | | | |
| | -116.1 | 0 | -116.1 |
| Leisure & Environmental Services | | | |
| Management | | | |
| Non-recruitment of Head of Service | -70 | | |
| (full year) | | | |
| Cultural Services | | | |
| Staffing re-structure | -24.2 | | |
| Enforcement | | | |
| Additional income - Market | | -14 | |
| Dogs Enforcement – reduced | -5 | | |
| contractor costs | | | |
| Open Spaces | | | |
| Cemetery - Additional income | | -12 | |
| Crematorium - Reduced business rates | -6 | | |
| Crematorium - Additional income | | -92 | |
| Waste Re-cycling | | | |
| Revised recycling targets increasing | | -70 | |
| reward income | | | |
| | -105.2 | -188 | -293.2 |
| Overall Total | -267 | -188 | -455 |

| | | Projection Y/E | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | Projection Y/E | Original Budget 2010/11 | Current Budget 2010/11 | Current Budget Variance | | | |
| Chief Executives Chief Executive | 191,788 | 194,415 | 194,415 | (2,627 | | | |
| Corporate Management | 213,324 | 221,784 | 221,784 | (2,027) | | | |
| Community Partnerships (LSP,etc) | 156,635 | 159,483 | 159,483 | (2,848 | | | |
| Corporate Drector - Quality of Life Management Information Systems | 108,880 514,798 | 107,856 571,505 | 107,856 578,185 | 1,02 (63,38 7 | | | |
| Director of Development | 128,231 | 102,361 | 102,361 | 25,87 | | | |
| Managing Radioactive Waste Total - Chief Executives | 0 1,313,656 | 0 1,357,404 | 0 1,364,084 | (50,428 | | | |
| | 1,313,030 | 1,357,404 | 1,304,004 | (50,420 | | | |
| Customer Services | | | | (= -= | | | |
| Customer Services Revenues & Benefits | 592,984 207,975 | 605,955 166,945 | 598,255 167,553 | <mark>(5,27[,] 40,42</mark> | | | |
| Total - Customer Services | 800,959 | 772,900 | 765,808 | 35,15 | | | |
| Finance | | | | | | | |
| Accountancy Services | 564,305 | 572,680 | 572,680 | (8,37 | | | |
| Concessionary Fares Corporate Treasury Management | 608,522 173 653 | 645,915 | 645,915 (15,072) | (37,393 | | | |
| Corporate Treasury Management Corporate Insurance | 173,653 279,120 | <mark>(15,072)</mark> 283,867 | <mark>(15,072)</mark> 283,867 | 188,72 (4,74) | | | |
| Audit & Fraud Prevention | 218,693 | 219,347 | 219,347 | (654 | | | |
| Total - Finance | 1,844,293 | 1,706,737 | 1,706,737 | 137,55 | | | |
| Legal & Democratic Services | | | | | | | |
| Democratic Services Elections | 345,157 52,707 | 354,621 51,973 | 350,619 49,973 | <mark>(5,46</mark> 2 2,73 | | | |
| Emergency Planning | 17,659 | 20,114 | 49,973 20,114 | (2,45 | | | |
| Land Charges | (48,974) | (57,619) | (45,619) | (3,35 | | | |
| Legal Services Licensing | 638,886 (107,180) | 645,140 (109,066) | 645,140 (109,066) | <mark>(6,254</mark> 1,88 | | | |
| Total - Legal | 898,255 | 905,163 | 911,161 | (12,90 | | | |
| Policy & Performance | | | | | | | |
| Communications | 106,662 | 104,936 | 104,936 | 1,72 | | | |
| Human Resources - JE and Human Resources Team | 591 710 | 620,710 | 578,710 | 3,00 | | | |
| Policy & Performance Team | 581,710 163,871 | 160,388 | 160,388 | 3,48 | | | |
| Process Improvement Team | 232,740 | 236,138 | 229,888 | 2,85 | | | |
| Total - Policy & Performance | 1,084,983 | 1,122,172 | 1,073,922 | 11,06 | | | |
| Development Strategy | | | | | | | |
| Housing and Homelessness Economic Development | 522,495 249,645 | 500,877 279,005 | 523,049 259,905 | (55) (10,26) | | | |
| Planning Policy | 502,372 | 535,220 | 605,012 | (102,64 | | | |
| WNF/Regeneration Delivery Plan Nuclear | 1,415,920 273,705 | 1,306,828 290,127 | 1,403,559 290,127 | 12,36 (16,42 | | | |
| Sustainability | 58,980 | 55,500 | 55,500 | 3,48 | | | |
| Development Strategy (including HoS) | 446,234 | 185,523 | 475,001 | (28,76 | | | |
| Development Strategy Total - Development Strategy incl Regeneration Delivery Plan | 3,469,351 3,469,351 | 3,153,080 3,153,080 | 3,612,153 3,612,153 | (142,80) (142,80) | | | |
| | | · · · | | | | | |
| Development Operations Head of Development Operations | 77,200 | 80,446 | 77,946 | (74 | | | |
| Admin Buildings | 1,081,750 | 1,081,575 | 1,081,575 | 17 | | | |
| Beacon & TIC | 444,356 | 437,546 | 444,396 | (4 | | | |
| Building Control Planning | 103,112 155,623 | 102,889 166,731 | 102,889 166,731 | 22 (11,10 | | | |
| Property | 206,167 | 242,604 | 244,104 | (37,93 | | | |
| Public Buildings Procurement | 380,006 41,270 | 287,116 41,530 | 392,316 41,530 | (12,31 (26 | | | |
| Total - Development Operations | 2,489,484 | 2,440,437 | 2,551,487 | (62,00 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Leisure & Environmental Services Cultural Services | 945,185 | 968,045 | 957,422 | (12,23 | | | |
| Enforcement | (85,304) | (71,903) | (80,903) | (4,40 | | | |
| Environmental Health Leisure & Environmental | 703,762 173,889 | 701,838 252,738 | 710,422 182,738 | (6,66 (8,84 | | | |
| Open Spaces | 870,169 | 943,638 | 856,626 | 13,54 | | | |
| Waste Services Total - Leisure & Environmental Services | 1,663,296 4,270,998 | <u>1,872,903</u> 4,667,259 | <u>1,802,903</u> 4,429,208 | (139,60) (158,21) | | | |
| | 4,210,330 | 4,007,200 | 4,423,200 | (130,21 | | | |
| GRAND TOTAL | 16,171,978 | 16,125,152 | 16,414,560 | (242,58 | | | |
| 22212 Vacancy Management | (250,000) | (250,000) | (250,000) | | | | |
| TOTAL | 15,921,978 | 15,875,152 | 16,164,560 | (242,58 | | | |
| Below the Line | | | | | | | |
| 00034 Area Based Grant | (930,512) | (1,028,819) | (930,512) | | | | |
| 28675 PFI Grant Income Below the Line | (836,828) (1,767,340) | (836,828) (1,865,647) | (836,828) (1,767,340) | | | | |
| | (1,101,340) | (1,000,047) | (1,101,040) | | | | |
| GRANDTOTAL | 14,154,638 | 14,009,505 | 14,397,220 | (242,58 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| elow the Line | |
|---------------|------------------|
| 00034 | Area Based Grant |
| 28675 | PFI Grant Income |
| | Below the Line |

Trial Balance Variance

K:\CommitteeSystem\2010\Executive\24 August\Appendices A - E Revenue Budget Monitoring Report Q1 to 30 June

Appendix E - Movement on General Fund Balance, Earmarked Reserves, and Sinking Funds

| | Opening Balance as at 1st April 2010 £ | Budgeted Utilisation £ | Approved Current Estimated Utilisation £ | In Year Forecast Underspend £ | Variance against Budget £ | Projected Closing Balance as at 31 March 2011 £ | Movemen £ | t % |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--------|
| General Fund | -3,643,801 | 1 ,074,145 | 938,481 | -242,582 | -378,246 | | 6 95,899 | -19.1% |
| Earmarked Reserves | -5,613,538 | 1,696,777 | 2,220,156 | | 523,379 | -3,393,382 | 2,220,156 | -39.6% |
| Total General Fund and Earmarked Reserves | -9,257,339 | 2,770,922 | 3,158,637 | -242,582 | 145,133 | -6,341,284 | 2,916,055 | -31.5% |
| Sinking Funds | -1,550,785 | -135,392 | -135,392 | | 0 | -1,686,177 | -135,392 | 8.7% |
| Total | -10,808,124 | 2,635,530 | 3,023,245 | -242,582 | 145,133 | -8,027,461 | 2,780,663 | -25.7% |