

Audit and Governance Committee Update for Copeland Borough Council

Year ended 31 March 2015

January 2015

Jackie Bellard

Director

T 0161 234 6394 or 07880 456195


E jackie.bellard@uk.gt.com

Richard McGahon

Manager

T 0141 223 0889 or 07880 456156

E richard.a.mcghon@uk.gt.com



The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect your business or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Contents

Section	Page
Introduction	4
Progress at January 2015	5
Emerging issues and developments	
Grant Thornton	7
Accounting and audit issues	11
Local government guidance	14

Introduction

This paper provides the Audit and Governance Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors. The paper also sets out:

- a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you as a district council
- a number of challenge questions in respect of these emerging issues which the Committee may wish to consider.

Members of the Audit Committee can find further useful material on our website www.grant-thornton.co.uk, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector (<http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Services/Public-Sector/>). Here you can download copies of our publications including:

- Rising to the challenge: the evolution of local government, summary findings from our fourth year of financial health checks of English local authorities
- 2020 Vision, exploring finance and policy future for English local government
- Where growth happens, on the nature of growth and dynamism across England

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Jackie Bellard	Engagement Lead	T 0161 234 6394	M 07880 456195	jackie.bellard@uk.gt.com
Richard McGahon	Manager	T 0141 223 0889	M 07880 456156	richard.a.mcgahon@uk.gt.com

Progress at January 2015

Work	Planned date	Complete?	Comments
2013-14 Audit Our audit for the year ended 31 March 2014 is now complete.	October 2014	Yes	Our Annual Audit Letter summarises the key findings arising from the work that we have carried out at Copeland Borough Council in respect of the year ending 31 March 2014.
2013-14 Certification of claims and returns We are required to certify certain claims and returns submitted by the Council to government departments on an annual basis.	November 2014	Yes	We have completed our work on 2013-14 claims requiring certification. Our annual report on the certification of claims and returns summarises the key findings from this work.
2014-15 Audit Plan We are required to issue a detailed accounts audit plan to the Council setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2014-15 financial statements.	April 2015	On track	We anticipate presenting our audit plan to the Audit and Governance Committee on 14 April 2015.
Interim accounts audit Our interim fieldwork visit includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • updating our review of the Council's control environment, including IT controls • updating our understanding of financial systems • review of Internal Audit reports on core financial systems • early work on emerging accounting issues • early substantive testing • proposed Value for Money conclusion. 	February to April 2015	On track	We are liaising with officers to plan our interim fieldwork visit. We will undertake early work and provide feedback to officers wherever possible.

Progress at January 2015

Work	Planned date	Complete?	Comments
<p>2014-15 final accounts audit Including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • audit of the 2014-15 financial statements • proposed opinion on the Council's accounts • proposed Value for Money conclusion. 	<p>August to September 2015</p>	<p>On track</p>	<p>We will report the proposed opinion, value for money conclusion and findings from our final accounts audit to the Audit and Governance Committee in September 2015.</p>
<p>Value for Money (VfM) conclusion The scope of our work to inform the 2014-15 VfM conclusion comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Securing Financial Resilience <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Financial Governance - Financial Planning - Financial Control • Securing Economy, Efficiency and Effectiveness <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prioritising Resources - Improving Efficiency and Productivity 	<p>February to September 2015</p>	<p>On Track</p>	<p>There are no significant changes in approach to the VfM conclusion work from that carried out in previous years. Our findings will be reported in September within the ISA 260 Audit Findings Report.</p>

Rising to the challenge – The evolution of Local Government

Grant Thornton

Our national report 'Rising to the challenge – The evolution of Local Government' was published on 4 December 2014 and is available at: <http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Publications/2014/Rising-to-the-challenge---The-evolution-of-local-government/>

This is the fourth in our series of annual reports on the financial health of local government. Like previous reports, it covers key indicators of financial performance, strategic financial planning, financial governance and financial control. It also includes case studies of best practice and a comparison to the NHS. This year it has been extended to use benchmarking information on savings plans and budget performance.

The overall message is a positive one. What stands out is how local authorities have navigated the first period of austerity in the face of ever increasing funding, demographic and other challenges. Many authorities are forecasting financial resilience confidently in their medium term financial strategy. This reflects an evolution in financial management that would have been difficult to envisage in 2010. However, there remains much to be achieved if the sector is to become sustainable in the long term, and authorities should consider if their:

- medium- to long-term strategy redefines the role of the authority creatively
- operational environment will adapt, working in partnership with other authorities and local organisations
- strategy looks beyond the traditional two- to three-year resource planning horizon
- organisational culture is aligned to where the authority needs to be in the medium to long term
- senior leadership teams – both officers and members – have the necessary skills and capacity to ensure delivery against the medium-term challenges
- corporate governance arrangements ensure effective oversight and scrutiny of the organisation as it adapts to the challenges it faces.

The importance of these actions will be magnified if local government devolves further, particularly in relation to fiscal devolution. The new-found confidence of local government in responding to the medium-term challenges will be tested significantly by the second phase of austerity.

Hard copies of our report are available from your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

2020 Vision

Grant Thornton

Our national report '2020 Vision' is available at: <http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Publications/2014/2020-Vision-Exploring-finance-and-policy-futures-for-English-local-government-as-a-starting-point-for-discussion/>

In a time of unprecedented challenge for English local government, how can the sector develop towards 2020 if it is to have a sustainable future? Our latest report provides a thorough analysis of the current political and economic context, explores a range of potential policies and outcomes, and suggests several scenarios to facilitate an open debate on the future for the sector.

Produced in collaboration with the University of Birmingham's Institute for Local Government Studies (INLOGOV), our report suggests that fundamental changes to local government are both operationally necessary and constitutionally inevitable, for the sector to remain relevant by 2020. The report offers a thorough analysis of the current political and economic context and explores a range of potential future policies and outcomes that English local government will need to adopt and strive towards as they seek to adapt and overcome these challenges.

Placed in the context of enhanced devolution, following the Scottish independence referendum, 2020 Vision maintains a wary eye fixed on the 2015/16 Spending Round and looks ahead to the life time of the next government. It highlights that the economic and financial situation remains increasingly untenable, with an expanding North/South divide arising from the pattern of funding reductions and economic growth.

English local authorities continue to face unprecedented challenges, relating to the pressures of austerity and central government funding reductions, and demographic and technological change. Our report highlights the vital role of a successful local government sector and encourages it to think hard about how it will cope in the future.

Informed by the views of a broad range of local authority leaders, chief executives and other sector stakeholders, the report offers a set of six forward-looking scenarios* in which councils could be operating within by 2020. Though not mutually exclusive, we suggest that key stakeholders need to take urgent action to avoid a potential slow and painful demise for some councils by 2020.

Hard copies of our report are available from your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Pulling together the Better Care Fund

Grant Thornton

Our national report 'Pulling together the Better Care Fund' is available at: <http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Publications/2014/Pulling-together-the-Better-Care-Fund/>

Our report draws on our review of the introduction of draft Better Care Fund (BCF) plans for both the February and April submissions. It is based on a sample of our findings from 40 Health and Wellbeing Board (HWB) localities. It considers the partnership arrangements across a HWB planning area and is supported by discussions with the sector, across the country. The result is a snap shot of progress as at 30 June 2014, prior to the issue of revised planning guidance by NHS England and the Local Government Association on 25 July 2014.

It provides you with:

- an understanding of how your approach to introducing BCF compares to others across the country
- assistance in identifying the key issues to delivering Better Care Fund plans effectively
- insight into current best practice
- practical areas for consideration for improving arrangements in the future.

Hard copies of our report are available from your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Where Growth Happens

Grant Thornton

Our national report 'Where Growth Happens' is available at: <http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Publications/2014/Where-growth-happens-The-high-growth-index-of-places/>

As the UK emerges from recession, increasing attention is being given, both nationally and locally, as to how to accelerate economic sector growth. Our report presents the findings of research undertaken by our Place Analytics team on the dynamics of local growth. It will give FDs and CEOs of local authorities and LEPs:

- an insight into the geographic areas of high growth and dynamic growth (ie the quality of growth)
- an understanding of the characteristics of both growing and dynamic places to help frame policy and sustain future growth
- an understanding of growth corridors and their implications, not only for UK policy makers, but also for those locally sitting within and outside the corridors
- an insight into the views of different leaders charged with making growth happen in their locality.

The report provides a ranking of English cities according to their economic growth over an eight year period (2004 – 2012). Outside of London – which maintains eight of the top 10 best performing districts overall – it places Manchester, Birmingham and Brighton and Hove in the top three, as measured by economic, demographic and place (dwelling stock and commercial floor space) growth.

The analysis also assess the quality of local growth - or 'dynamism' - to identify areas with a vibrant and dynamic economy capable of supporting future expansion, based on five key drivers. London again tops the ranking, with nine out of the top 10 dynamic growth areas. Outside the capital, Cambridge, Reading and Manchester top the list of future sustainable growth.

Based on this analysis of past progress and future prospects, our report reveals a number of 'growth corridors' – functional and large scale local economic areas in England – which are playing a significant role in the country's overall growth levels. Though predominantly stemming from London, the intra-city growth corridors include a number of other large cities at their core, creating a network of key strategic linkages between high growth and dynamic areas.

Hard copies of our report are available from your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Group accounting standards

Accounting and audit issues

The CIPFA Code has adopted a new suite of standards for accounting for subsidiaries, associates and joint arrangements. These changes affect how local authorities account for services delivered through other entities and joint working with partners.

The key changes for 2014/15 are to:

- the definition of control over 'other entities'. The revised definition is set out in IFRS 10 and determines which entities are treated as subsidiaries
- the accounting for joint arrangements. This now follows IFRS 11 and includes changes to the definition of joint ventures and how joint ventures are consolidated in group accounts
- disclosures in relation to subsidiaries, joint arrangements, associates and unconsolidated entities as set out in IFRS 12.

Changes to the definition of control over 'other entities'

Control was previously defined in terms of power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity. IFRS 10 sets out three elements for an investor to be considered as controlling an investee (all of which must be met):

- the investor has the rights to direct the relevant activities of the investee (relevant activities being the ones that determine the return for the investors – the return could be in the form of a service rather than money)
- the investor has exposure, or rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee
- the investor has the ability to use its power over the investee to affect the amount of the investor's returns.

In the commercial sector, this is generally thought to have resulted in more entities being treated as subsidiaries. However, the change is in both directions: some subsidiaries have been redefined as associates. Local authorities with investments in 'other entities' will need to consider whether:

- they control any entities using the new definition. Local authorities will need to pay particular attention to special purpose vehicles and any other entities where there was a close judgement call under the old IAS 27
- there is a need for a prior period adjustment.

Group accounting standards (continued)

Accounting and audit issues

Changes to accounting for joint arrangements

Joint arrangements are contractual arrangements between two or more parties where there is joint control. IFRS 11 makes three key changes from IAS 31:

- there are now only two types of joint arrangements: joint operations and joint ventures
- In a joint operation the investing parties have rights and obligations in relation to the arrangement's assets and liabilities, whereas in a joint venture the parties have rights to the arrangement's net assets. IFRS 11 bases its definition of joint ventures on the substance of the arrangement rather than legal status. It is for the entity to assess whether a joint arrangement is a joint operation or joint venture by considering its rights and obligations arising from the arrangement. To do this the entity needs to consider the structure and legal form of the arrangement, the terms agreed by the parties and any other relevant facts and circumstances. Appendix B to IFRS 11 provides further explanation and examples of joint operations and joint ventures.
- local authorities are still required to consolidate joint ventures in their group accounts but must now do so using the equity (single line) method. The option for proportionate (line-by-line) consolidation has been removed.

The key challenge for most local authorities will be determining whether their joint arrangements are joint ventures or joint operations. The difference should be clear from the contract but in some cases judgement may be required. Local authorities that have previously used the proportionate consolidation method will need to account for the move to equity accounting as a prior period adjustment.

Disclosure of interests in other entities

IFRS 12 makes consistent the requirements for disclosures in relation to subsidiaries, joint arrangements, associates and unconsolidated entities. It includes the need for transparency about the risks to which the reporting entity is exposed as a consequence of its investment in such arrangements.

Area for consideration

- Has your Finance Department assessed the potential impact of these standards for the authority's financial statements?

Earlier closure and audit of accounts

Accounting and audit issues

DCLG is consulting on proposals to bring forward the audit deadline for 2017/18 to the end of July 2018. Although July 2018 is almost 4 years away, both local authorities and their auditors will have to make real changes in how they work to ensure they are 'match-fit' to achieve this deadline. This will require leadership from members and senior management. Local government accountants and their auditors should start working on this now.

Top tips for local authorities:

- make preparation of the draft accounts and your audit a priority, investing appropriate resources to make it happen
- make the year end as close to 'normal' as possible by carrying out key steps each and every month
- discuss potential issues openly with auditors as they arise throughout the year
- agree key milestones, deadlines and response times with your auditor
- agree exactly what working papers are required.

Area for consideration

- Has your Finance Department put in place a plan to address the earlier close date?

Financial sustainability of local government

Local government guidance

In November the National Audit Office published their report on the [Financial Sustainability of Local Government](#).

The report concludes that Local authorities have coped well with reductions in government funding, but some groups of authorities are showing clear signs of financial stress. The Department for Communities and Local Government has a limited understanding of authorities' financial sustainability and the impacts of funding cuts on services, according to the National Audit Office.

The Government reduced its funding to local authorities by an estimated 28% in real terms between 2010-11 and 2014-15. Further planned cuts will bring the total reduction to 37% by 2015-16, excluding the Better Care Fund and public health grant. Although there have been no financial failures in local authorities in this period, a survey of local auditors shows that authorities are showing signs of financial pressure. Over a quarter of single tier and county councils had to make unplanned reductions in service spend to deliver their 2013-14 budgets. Auditors are increasingly concerned about local authorities' capacity to make further savings, with 52% of single tier and county councils not being well-placed to deliver their medium-term financial plans.

There are significant differences in the scale of funding reductions faced by different authorities. Authorities that depend most on government grant are the ones most affected by funding reductions and reforms. This was an outcome of policy decisions to tackle the fiscal deficit by reducing public spending, and for local authority funding to offer incentives for growth.

Local authorities have tried to protect spending on social care services. Other service areas such as housing services and culture and leisure services have seen larger reductions. While local authorities have tried to make savings through efficiencies rather than by reducing services, there is some evidence of reduction in service levels.

According to the NAO, however, the Department does not monitor in a coordinated way the impact of funding reductions on services, and relies on other departments and inspectorates to alert it to individual service failures. In consequence, the Department risks becoming aware of serious problems with the financial sustainability of local authorities only after they have occurred.

The Department's processes for assessing the capacity of authorities to absorb further funding reductions are also not sufficiently robust.

Protecting the Public Purse 2014

Local government guidance

On 23 October 2014 the Audit Commission released its national report, *Protecting the Public Purse 2014, Fighting Fraud against Local Government*.

'Protecting the Public Purse', the final one being issued before the Commission closes at the end of March 2015, looks at the landscape of fraud against councils and how this has changed since 1990, when the Audit Commission first turned the spotlight on to local government fraud with its 'Protecting the Public Purse' reports. The report highlights that:

- In total, local government bodies detected fewer cases of fraud in 2013/14 compared with the previous year, continuing the decline noted in *PPP 2013*. However, their value increased by 6 per cent.
- In the past 5 years, councils have shifted their focus from benefit fraud to non-benefit fraud. From 2016, they will no longer deal with benefit fraud.
- Councils will need to focus on the non-benefit frauds that present the highest risk of losses, including those that arise from the unintended consequences of national policies.
- Overall, councils are detecting more non-benefit frauds, but detection rates for some types of frauds have fallen.
- Councils are detecting more housing tenancy fraud and more fraud in schools.

The report includes a number of recommendations for all Local Government bodies and a self assessment checklist to review the counter fraud arrangements in place.

Auditing the Accounts 2013/14: Local Government Bodies

Local government guidance

In December 2014 the Audit Commission published 'Auditing the Accounts 2013/14: Local Government Bodies', concluding that financial reporting was consistently strong for most types of principal local authority^[1] in 2013/14 when compared to the previous financial year. The results for small bodies^[2] were less satisfactory.

For 2013/14, 352 of 356 (99 per cent) councils received an audit opinion on their accounts by 30 September 2014. Overall, 506 of 512 principal bodies (98 per cent) met the statutory publication requirements for accounts, while there were only nine bodies (2 per cent) where the auditor was unable to issue the opinion on the 2013/14 accounts by 30 September 2014. At the time of publication, all but three of the nine had an opinion issued on their accounts. Of 512 principal bodies, none had received a qualified audit opinion on their 2013/14 accounts and only 14 councils and two police bodies had their arrangement for securing value for money (VFM) qualified. Auditors issued a 'report on matters arising' from their VFM work at one council and one other local government body.

The accounts publication date for principal bodies is likely to be brought forward from 30 September to 31 July for the 2017/18 accounts onwards. This year the Commission has congratulated 16 bodies where auditors were able to issue an unqualified opinion and a VFM conclusion on the 2013/14 accounts by 31 July 2014, and the body published audited accounts promptly. Although, as only 21 principal bodies have managed to publish their audited accounts by 31 July since 2008/09, a move to bring the accounts publication date forward is likely to cause significant challenges for the majority of public bodies.

[1] Principal bodies include: 356 councils; 31 fire and rescue authorities; 76 police bodies; and 49 other local government bodies, which spend around £137 billion of public money each year. Small bodies include parish councils and internal drainage boards (IDBs), with an annual turnover below £6.5 million

[2] Small bodies are required to publish their 2013/14 accounting statements and Annual Governance Statement (AGS) by 30 September 2014. They do this in the form of an annual return. Auditors aimed to issue the opinion and certificate on the 2013/14 annual return by the same deadline. This enables small bodies to publish their annual return with an auditor's report.



© 2015 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' means Grant Thornton UK LLP, a limited liability partnership.

Grant Thornton is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (Grant Thornton International). References to 'Grant Thornton' are to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms operate and refer to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton International and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered independently by member firms, which are not responsible for the services or activities of one another. Grant Thornton International does not provide services to clients.

grant-thornton.co.uk