COPELAND BOROUGH COUNCIL

STATEMENTS OF EXECUTIVE DECISIONS

MEETING HELD ON 25 APRIL 2006

MEMBERS PRESENT: Councillors Ms E Woodburn; M Ashbrook; G Blackwell; G Clements; J Hewitson; A Holliday; N Williams; H Wormstrup

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor J Hewitson & N Williams

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: Dr J Stanforth, Chief Executive; S Borwick, Head of Finance and Business Development; M Jepson, Head of Legal and Democratic Services; M Tichford, Head of Regeneration; J Salt, Head of Customer Services; V Emmerson, Environmental Health Officer; S Mullen Scientific Officer, D James, Member Services Officer

START TIME: 2.00 PM	CLOSE TIME: 3.30 PM
CERTIFICATION:	

Certified a true record of decisions taken at the meeting of the Executive of Copeland Borough Council dated 25 April 2006

Agenda Item: 1		
Subject: Statements of Exe	cutive Decisions	
Date of Decision: 25 April 20	006	Decision made by:
Decision Reference: EXE/05		Full Executive
Portfolio Holder: Councillor I	M Ashbrook	
TOTALONO FROMONIO	117101101011	
Context:		
Decisions made at the previous	ous meetina requirir	ng formal approval
		.g .ca. approva.
Key Decision Status:		
rey bedision status.		
No		
Decision		
Decision		
That the Statements of dec	cicione made at the	e meeting held on 4 April 2006 be
agreed as a correct record		e meeting held on 4 April 2000 be
agreed as a correct record		
Explanation		
LAPIANALION		
N/A		
IN/A		
Alternative Options Consider	dorod	
Alternative Options Consid	uereu.	
N/A		
IN/A		
1		
Interests Declared: N/A		
Interests Declared: N/A		
	Dublication Date:	Decembed by:
Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:
	Publication Date: 28 April 2006	Recorded by: D James
Implementation Date: 5 May 2006	28 April 2006	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Implementation Date:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Agenda Item: 3		
Subject: Arrangement of the	e Agenda	
Date of Decision: 25 April 20	006	Decision made by:
Decision Reference: EXE/05		Full Executive
Portfolio Holder:		
. c.t.c.c i ic.ccii		
Context:		
To consider moving an item	from part two of the	agenda into public.
To complete morning and morning		agonia into pasio.
Key Decision Status:		
Troy Boololon Status.		
No		
Decision		
200.0.011		
That Agenda Item 15 – Leis	ure Trust be conside	red in the public part of the agenda
That rigorida itom To 2010		rea in the pashe part of the agenta
Explanation		
As this item was marked to	follow and may have	contained exempt information it was
		on it was noted not to contain
anything that could not be d	•	
	.coaccoa pa.cc.	
Alternative Options Consi	dered:	
None		
Interests Declared: N/A		
Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:
5 May 2006	28 April 2006	D James
3 May 2000		D Gaines
Call-in Period Expires on:	Contact Officers:	
24 March 2006	D James	
24 Maich 2000	Danies	

Agenda Item: 5		
Subject: Forward Plan		
Date of Decision: 25 April 20	006	Decision made by:
Decision Reference: EXE/05		Full Executive
Portfolio Holder: Councillor I	M Ashbrook	
Context:		
To consider the Forward Pla	an of Key Decisions fo	or May-August 2006
Kay Danisian Ctatus		
Key Decision Status:		
N/A		
IN/A		
Decision		
That the Forward Plan of F	Key Decisions be no	oted
	•	
Explanation		
N/A		
Alternative Options Consi	dered:	
NI/A		
N/A		
Interests Declared: N/A		
interests Declared. N/A		
Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:
5 May 2006	28 April 2006	D James
5 May 2000	20 / Ipiii 2000	D barries
Call-in Period Expires on:	Contact Officers:	
5 May 2006	D James	
O May 2000	D James	

Statement of Executive Decision

	2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
Agenda Item: 6	
Subject: Contaminated Land Strategy	
Date of Decision: 25 April 2006	Decision made by:
Decision Reference: EXE/05/206	Full Executive
Portfolio Holder: Councillor A Holliday	
Context:	
Context.	
This report advises members of the review of the Strategy. During consideration of this item it was been considered by the Overview and Scrutiny C Being and it was agreed that any decision would them.	noted that this item should have ommittee – Environmental Well
Key Decision Status:	
N.	
No	
Decision	
That subject to approval by OSC – Environmenta	Il the Executive the revision of the
Contaminated Land Strategy be approved	
E de esta	
Explanation	
Part IIA of the Environment Protection Act 1990 (EPA 1990), supported by the
Contaminated Land Regulations (England) Regu	lations 2000 (SI 2000 No. 227),
came into force on 1 April 2000 and places the pi	
authorities, which reflects their function under the	
complements their function as planning authoritie	es.
The first Strategy set a review date of January 20	106 this report forms the first review
The mot dirategy set a review date of bandary 20	the first report forms the mot review.
1	
Alternative Options Considered:	
News	
None	

Interests Declared: None

Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:
5 May 2006	28 April 2006	D James
Call-in Period Expires on:	Contact Officers:	
5 May 2006	K Parker	

Agenda Item: 7		
Subject: Proposals for Pu	blic Building Revenue	and Capital Schemes
Date of Decision: 25 April		_ Decision made by:
Decision Reference: EXE/	05/207	Full Executive
Buttill Halle Consille	. N.I. VAZUP	
Portfolio Holder: Councillo	r in williams	
Context:		
Context.		
This report set out detailed	d proposals for expend	liture of these budgets for the
financial year		Ŭ
-		
Key Decision Status:		
No		
INO		
Decision		
That the proposed progr	ammes of work and	allocation of costs for public
buildings as detailed in t		
Explanation		
The Evecutive has approve	ad budgatary provisia	o of C250 000 in the Capital
		n of £250,000 in the Capital nce to supplement the revenue
		evenue budget for Programmed and
Responsive Repairs in the	•	•
		,
•	•	oposals for expenditure of these
budgets for 2005/6, and to	seek approval for the	se proposais.
Alternative Options Cons	sidered:	
None	5.45.54.	
1		
Interests Declared: None		
Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:
5 May 2006	28 April 2006	D James
Call-in Period Expires on: 5 May 2006	Contact Officers: C Llovd	
	('	

Agenda Item: 8				
Subject: Risk Management Strategy – Revised 2006				
Date of Decision: 25 April 20	106	Decision mad	e bv:	
Decision Reference: EXE/05		Full Executive		
Booleien Herereneer Exteree	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	T dil Excodition		
Portfolio Holder: Cllr Ms E W	loodhurn			
Portiono Floider. Cili MS L W	roodburri			
O - int - int				
Context:				
This report presents the revis	sed Risk Manageme	nt Strategy for a	approval	
Key Decision Status:				
None				
Decision				
That a) the revised Risk Ma	anagement Strategy	be approved:	and	
b) full training be given to Members of the Executive				
,		Cutive		
-, to g		Cutive		
		Cutive		
Explanation		culive		
Explanation				
Explanation The current Risk Manageme	ent Strategy was appr	oved in 2003. \$		
Explanation The current Risk Manageme corporate governance and ri	nt Strategy was appr sk management has	oved in 2003. S	sing important in	
Explanation The current Risk Manageme	nt Strategy was appr sk management has	oved in 2003. S	sing important in	
Explanation The current Risk Manageme corporate governance and ri	ent Strategy was appr sk management has e service delivery for	oved in 2003. S become increa local authorities	sing important in s. This revised	
Explanation The current Risk Manageme corporate governance and riensuring robust and effective	ent Strategy was appr sk management has e service delivery for	oved in 2003. S become increa local authorities	sing important in s. This revised	
Explanation The current Risk Manageme corporate governance and riensuring robust and effective Strategy takes a more robus	ent Strategy was appr sk management has e service delivery for	oved in 2003. S become increa local authorities	sing important in s. This revised	
Explanation The current Risk Manageme corporate governance and riensuring robust and effective Strategy takes a more robus	ent Strategy was appr sk management has e service delivery for	oved in 2003. S become increa local authorities	sing important in s. This revised	
Explanation The current Risk Manageme corporate governance and riensuring robust and effective Strategy takes a more robus that has been issued.	ent Strategy was appr sk management has e service delivery for t approach and build	oved in 2003. S become increa local authorities	sing important in s. This revised	
Explanation The current Risk Manageme corporate governance and riensuring robust and effective Strategy takes a more robus	ent Strategy was appr sk management has e service delivery for t approach and build	oved in 2003. S become increa local authorities	sing important in s. This revised	
Explanation The current Risk Manageme corporate governance and riensuring robust and effective Strategy takes a more robus that has been issued. Alternative Options Consideration	ent Strategy was appr sk management has e service delivery for t approach and build	oved in 2003. S become increa local authorities	sing important in s. This revised	
Explanation The current Risk Manageme corporate governance and riensuring robust and effective Strategy takes a more robus that has been issued. Alternative Options Consideration	ent Strategy was appr sk management has e service delivery for t approach and build	oved in 2003. S become increa local authorities	sing important in s. This revised	
Explanation The current Risk Manageme corporate governance and riensuring robust and effective Strategy takes a more robus that has been issued. Alternative Options Consideration	ent Strategy was appr sk management has e service delivery for t approach and build	oved in 2003. S become increa local authorities	sing important in s. This revised	
Explanation The current Risk Manageme corporate governance and riensuring robust and effective Strategy takes a more robusthat has been issued. Alternative Options Consideration None	ent Strategy was appr sk management has e service delivery for t approach and build dered:	oved in 2003. Secome increal local authorities on the CIPFA	sing important in s. This revised /SOLACE guidance	
Explanation The current Risk Manageme corporate governance and riensuring robust and effective Strategy takes a more robust that has been issued. Alternative Options Consideration None Interests Declared: None	ent Strategy was approach has e service delivery for t approach and build dered: Publication Date:	oved in 2003. Secome increal local authorities on the CIPFA	sing important in s. This revised /SOLACE guidance ded by:	
Explanation The current Risk Manageme corporate governance and riensuring robust and effective Strategy takes a more robusthat has been issued. Alternative Options Consideration None	ent Strategy was appr sk management has e service delivery for t approach and build dered:	oved in 2003. Secome increal local authorities on the CIPFA	sing important in s. This revised /SOLACE guidance ded by:	
Explanation The current Risk Manageme corporate governance and riensuring robust and effective Strategy takes a more robust that has been issued. Alternative Options Consideration None Interests Declared: None Implementation Date: 5 May 2006	ent Strategy was approach has e service delivery for tapproach and build dered: Publication Date: 28 April 2006	oved in 2003. Secome increal local authorities on the CIPFA	sing important in s. This revised /SOLACE guidance ded by:	
Explanation The current Risk Manageme corporate governance and riensuring robust and effective Strategy takes a more robust that has been issued. Alternative Options Consideration None Interests Declared: None	ent Strategy was approach has e service delivery for t approach and build dered: Publication Date:	oved in 2003. Secome increal local authorities on the CIPFA	sing important in s. This revised /SOLACE guidance ded by:	

Statement of Executive Decision

Agenda Item: 9	
Subject: Financial Regulations	
Date of Decision: 25 April 2006	Decision made by:
Decision Reference: EXE/05/209	Full Executive

Portfolio Holder: Councillor Ms E Woodburn

Context:

This report presents the revised financial regulations for consideration and recommendation to Council

Key Decision Status:

None

Decision

That Council be recommended to a) approve the revised Financial Regulations; and

b) full training be given to all members of the Executive

Explanation

To update and revise where necessary the Councils Financial Regulations to accommodate: -

Requirement to satisfy best practice as recommended by CIPFA

Changes as a result of the restructure

Changes due to the constitution

Changes to service delivery - such as electronic procurement, partnership working

Recommendations as a result of internal audit reports

Inflation and other changes requiring a review of financial limits

Alternative Options Considered:

None

Interests Declared None		
Interests Declared: None		
Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:
5 May 2006	28 April 2006	D James
Call-in Period Expires on:	Contact Officers:	
5 May 2006	D James	

Agenda Item: 10		
Subject: Urgent Action		
Date of Decision: 25 April 2		Decision made by:
Decision Reference: EXE/0	5/210	Full Executive
Portfolio Holder: Councillor	N Williams	
Context:		
To note action taken on gro Environmental Services in o keep Cleator Moor Civic ha	consultation with the F	ortfolio Holder in allocating funds to
Key Decision Status:		
,		
No		
Decision		
That the action taken be no	ted.	
Explanation		
·		
In accordance with the Cou	ncils report procedure	S.
Alternative Options Consi	dered:	
N/A		
Interests Declared: None		
Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:
5 May 2006	28 April 2006	D James
Call-in Period Expires on:	Contact Officers:	
5 May 2006	K Parker	

Statement of Executive Decision

Subject: Leisure Transfer Update	
Date of Decision: 25 April 2006	Decision made by:
Decision Reference: EXE/05/211	Full Executive

Portfolio Holder: Councillor M Ashbrook

Context: This report explains why the calculation of the proportion of attributable pension deficit increased for transferring staff

Key Decision Status: None

Decision

That the report and actions taken are noted

Explanation

Executive on 4 April received a report which indicated that the estimated pensions deficit attributable to staff transferring as part of the new Leisure Trust, had increased from £240k to £550k. It also confirmed that there were no budgetary implications arising out of the change, and that the deficit would remain with the Council irrespective of whether or not the transfer had taken place.

This report looks at the reasons why the estimated deficit changed so significantly

Alternative Options Considered: None

Interests Declared: None

Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:
5 May 2006	28 April 2006	Denise James

Call-in Period Expires on:	Contact Officers:
5 May 2006	J Stanforth

Subject: Exclusion of Press and Public				
Date of Decision: 25 April 2	006	Decision made by:		
Decision Reference: EXE/0	5/212	Full Executive		
Portfolio Holder: Councillor	N Williams			
Context: Excludes Press a	and Public from Meetir	ngs for exempt items 11 - 14		
Key Decision Status:				
Decision				
That the Press and Public be excluded from the meeting for the remaining items of business on the grounds of exempt information as identified in paragraph 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 as amended.				
Evolunation				
Explanation	Explanation			
N/A				
Altawastica Outions Conside	wad. Nana			
Alternative Options Considered: None				
Interests Declared: None				
Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:		
N/A 28 April 2006 Denise James				
Outline Project For the control Office of				
Call-in Period Expires on:	Contact Officers: N/A			
1 N/ / \	1 N/ / \			

Agenda Item: 11			
Subject: Urgent Action Re	ef 10/06		
Date of Decision: 25 April		Decision made by:	
Decision Reference: EXE/	05/213	Full Executive	
Portfolio Holder: Councillo	r N Williams		
Context:			
To note actions taken on g	grounds of urgency re	f: 10/06	
Key Decision Status:			
No			
No			
Decision			
Decision			
That action taken by the H	ead of Legal and Der	nocratic Services in consultation with	
the Portfolio Holder – Reso			
		•	
Explanation			
	ng procedure actions	taken on grounds of urgency must be	
noted by the executive			
All and all and Online and One	- 2 - 1 1		
Alternative Options Cons	siaerea:		
None			
None			
Interests Declared:			
interests Deciared.			
Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:	
5 May 2006	28 April 2006	D James	
5 .may 2000	1 20 7 tp::: 2000	D Gamoo	
Call-in Period Expires on:	Contact Officers:		
5 May 2006	M Jepson		

Statement of Executive Decision

Agenda Item: 12	
Subject: Restructure – Freedom of Information (Officer Post
Date of Decision: 25 April 2006	Decision made by:
Decision Reference: EXE/05/214	Full Executive

Portfolio Holder: Councillor N Williams

Context:

Presents a report on the creation of an Information Officer post in Democratic Services for 12 months. During consideration of this Item Members referred to their decision made in October 2005 EXE/05/0094 refers

"the interim arrangements regarding the Freedom of Information post continue for up to a further six months; and then subject of a further report detailing how the duties could be rolled up into another post"

and asked that this should still be considered.

Key Decision Status:

No

Decision

That a) this item be deferred; and

b) a further report be submitted looking into how the FOI duties could be amalgamated into an existing post within the Council.

Explanation

This report on the corporate restructure included the post of Freedom of Information Officer with temporary arrangements. This report seeks to extend the period by 12 months and include other duties in Member Services.

Alternative Options Considered:

- as decision; or
 as recommendation within the report

Interests Declared: None

Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:
5 May 2006	28 April 2006	D James

Call-in Period Expires on:	Contact Officers:
5 May 2006	M Jepson

Agenda Item: 13				
Subject: Reference from Personnel Panel				
Date of Decision: 25 April 2006 Decision made by:				
Decision Reference: EXE/05		Full Executive		
	<u>, </u>			
Portfolio Holder: Councillor N	J Williams			
1 ortione Floider: Gearlemer 1	1 Williamo			
Context:				
Context.				
This report informs Members	of the decisions of [Personnel Panel on 2 equal pay		
claims	o of the decisions of r	reisonnei Panei on 2 equai pay		
Ciaims				
L. Budda Old				
Key Decision Status:				
No				
Decision				
That a) the decisions of the				
b) the resource implication	is be noted and app	roved		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Explanation				
•				
Personnel Panel at its meeti	ng on 21 March 2006	agreed 2 equal pay claims from		
Council employees, this report seeks to agree the subsequent resource implications				
Alternative Options Considered:				
Alternative Options Consider	cu.			
None	Alama			
None				
110110				
110110				
Interests Declared: None				
Interests Declared: None				
Interests Declared: None Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:		
Interests Declared: None	Publication Date: 28 April 2006	Recorded by: D James		
Interests Declared: None Implementation Date:				
Interests Declared: None Implementation Date:				

Agenda Item: 14			
Subject: Possible Claim ag			
Date of Decision: 25 April 2		Decision made by:	
Decision Reference: EXE/0	05/216	Full Executive	
	0.01 . 0.1114//		
Portfolio Holder: Councillor	's G Clements & N Wil	liams	
Context:			
Context.			
This report updates Member against the Council and reconstruction	. •	made in respect of a possible claim action.	
During consideration of this lead to bringing the situation		on was given which could possibly	
Key Decision Status:			
Financial – Yes			
Ward - No			
Decision			
That a) the current position	on be noted; and		
b) in light of the additional information and timescales involved progression to the next stage be delegated to the Chief Executive in consultation with the Leader of the Council and Portfolios Holders.			
- ·			
Explanation	ible claim against the	Council	
Details progress on a poss	ible claim against the	Goundii	
Alternative Options Consid As detailed in the report	ered:		
Interests Declared: None			
	D LEVEL D	I Book and a Co	
	Publication Date:	Recorded by:	
Implementation Date:		-	
1 Implementation Date: 5 May 2006	28 April 2006	D James	
-		-	