COPELAND BOROUGH COUNCIL

STATEMENTS OF EXECUTIVE DECISIONS

MEETING HELD ON 24 OCTOBER 2006

MEMBERS PRESENT: Councillors Miss E M Woodburn (Leader); G Blackwell; Mrs CA Giel; A Holliday.

Apologies for absence: Councillors M J Ashbrook; G Clements; N Williams H Wormstrup

Also in attendance: Councillor Mrs Y R T Clarkson (Items 6 and 7).

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE: L Murphy, Chief Executive; T Chilcott, Corporate Director (Quality of Life); M Jepson, Head of Legal & Democratic Services; T Capper, Democratic Services Manager; H Mitchell, Head of Policy and Performance; K Parker, Head of Leisure and Environmental Services; C Nicholson, Accountancy Services Manager; I Curwen, Communications Officer; G McWilliam, Economic and Tourism Development Manager (Items 1-9).

START TIME: 2.00PM	CLOSE TIME: 2.25PM	
CERTIFICATION:		

Agenda Item: 1		
Subject: Statements of I		
Date of Decision: 24 Oct		Decision made by:
Decision Reference: EXE	E/06/00100	Full Executive
D (() 11 11 0 11		1
Portfolio Holder: Councill	or M Ashbrook	
Context:		
Decisions made at the pr	revious meeting requ	uiring formal approval
Key Decision Status:		
No		
Decision		
Decision		
That the Statements of	decisions made at	the meeting held on 26
Santambar 2006 ba ag	rood oo o oorroot r	poord
September 2006 be ag	reed as a correct n	ecora
Explanation		
N/A		
Alternative Options Cons	sidered:	
N.		
None		
INONE		
Interests Declared: None)	
Interests Declared: None		
Interests Declared: None Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:
Interests Declared: None		Recorded by: T Capper
Interests Declared: None Implementation Date: N/A	Publication Date: 27 October 2006	-
Interests Declared: None Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	-

Agenda Item: 5			
Subject: Forward Plan			
Date of Decision: 24 Octo	ber 2006	Decision made by:	
Decision Reference: EXE		Full Executive	
		•	
Portfolio Holder: Councillo	or M Ashbrook		
Context:			
To consider the forward plan of key decisions for October 2006 - January 2007			
Key Decision Status:			
NI.			
No			
Decision			
Bedision			
That the Forward Plan of	of Key Decisions b	e noted	
	•		
Explanation			
N1/0			
N/A			
Alternative Options Consi	idered:		
/ mornative Options Consi	idorod.		
None			
Interests Declared: None			
Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:	
7 November 2006	27 October 2006	T Capper	
Out Details	0		
Call-in Period Expires	Contact Officers:		
on: 7 November	T Capper		
	İ		

Agenda Item: 6		
Subject: Major Projects and Contingencies Funds – Recommendation from		
Overview and Scrutiny		
Date of Decision: 24 Octo	ber 2006 Decis	sion made by:
Decision Reference: EXE		executive
	1	
Portfolio Holder: Councillo	or Miss E Woodburn	
Context:		
To consider recommenda	tions from Overview and S	Scrutiny Committee
	ces on changes to clarify the	•
by the Council.	to on thanger to olam, the	
5,		
Key Decision Status:		
No		
1.13		
Decision		
Decision		
	Fund be re-titled the 'Re	serves' Fund and the
That the Major Projects	Fund be re-titled the 'Re	
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve	es Fund and Contingenci	
That the Major Projects	es Fund and Contingenci	
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of	es Fund and Contingenci	
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve	es Fund and Contingenci	
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of	es Fund and Contingenci the report.	ies funds be clarified as
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of Explanation The Overview and Scrutin	es Fund and Contingencithe report. The report in the repo	he purpose and names of
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of Explanation The Overview and Scruting the Major Projects Fund a	the report. ny Committee considered tand the Contingencies Fundament	he purpose and names of d as part of their work
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of Explanation The Overview and Scruting the Major Projects Fund a	es Fund and Contingencithe report. The report in the repo	he purpose and names of d as part of their work
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of Explanation The Overview and Scruting the Major Projects Fund a	the report. ny Committee considered tand the Contingencies Fundament	he purpose and names of d as part of their work
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of Explanation The Overview and Scruting the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a	es Fund and Contingence the report. The report of the rep	he purpose and names of d as part of their work
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of Explanation The Overview and Scruting the Major Projects Fund a	es Fund and Contingence the report. The report of the rep	he purpose and names of d as part of their work
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of Explanation The Overview and Scruting the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a Alternative Options Consideration	es Fund and Contingence the report. The report of the rep	he purpose and names of d as part of their work
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of Explanation The Overview and Scruting the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a	es Fund and Contingence the report. The report of the rep	he purpose and names of d as part of their work
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of Explanation The Overview and Scruting the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a serve of the Major Projects Projects Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a serve of 2006/2007	es Fund and Contingence the report. The report of the rep	he purpose and names of d as part of their work
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of Explanation The Overview and Scruting the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a Alternative Options Consideration	es Fund and Contingence the report. The report of the rep	he purpose and names of d as part of their work
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of Explanation The Overview and Scruting the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a serve of the Serve of the Major Projects Fund a serve of the Serve of the Major Projects Fund a ser	es Fund and Contingencithe report. The report of the repo	he purpose and names of d as part of their work pose of the funds.
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of Explanation The Overview and Scruting the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 and the Major	es Fund and Contingence the report. The report of the rep	he purpose and names of d as part of their work cose of the funds. Recorded by:
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of Explanation The Overview and Scruting the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a serve of the Serve of the Major Projects Fund a serve of the Serve of the Major Projects Fund a ser	es Fund and Contingencithe report. The report of the repo	he purpose and names of d as part of their work pose of the funds.
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of Explanation The Overview and Scruting the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a serve of the Serve of the Major Projects Fund a serve of the Serve of the Major Projects Fund a ser	es Fund and Contingencithe report. The report. The report of the report of the report of the considered the report of the repo	he purpose and names of d as part of their work pose of the funds. Recorded by:
That the Major Projects purposes of the Reserve per paras 3.2 and 4.1 of Explanation The Overview and Scruting the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007, with a serve of the Major Projects Fund a plan for 2006/2007 and the Major	es Fund and Contingence the report. The report of the rep	he purpose and names of d as part of their work pose of the funds. Recorded by:

Subject: Budget Evaluation Criteria – Recommendation from Overview and Scrutiny Committee, Performance and Resources Date of Decision: 24 October 2006 Decision Reference: EXE/06/00103 Portfolio Holder: Councillor Miss E Woodburn Context: Presents a report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Performance and Resources on new budget evaluation criteria. Key Decision Status: No Decision That the proposed criteria be agreed and implemented in the current budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None Publication Date: Recorded by:
Portfolio Holder: Councillor Miss E Woodburn Context: Presents a report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Performance and Resources on new budget evaluation criteria. Key Decision Status: No Decision That the proposed criteria be agreed and implemented in the current budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
Portfolio Holder: Councillor Miss E Woodburn Context: Presents a report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Performance and Resources on new budget evaluation criteria. Key Decision Status: No Decision That the proposed criteria be agreed and implemented in the current budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
Context: Presents a report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Performance and Resources on new budget evaluation criteria. Key Decision Status: No Decision That the proposed criteria be agreed and implemented in the current budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
Context: Presents a report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Performance and Resources on new budget evaluation criteria. Key Decision Status: No Decision That the proposed criteria be agreed and implemented in the current budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
Presents a report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Performance and Resources on new budget evaluation criteria. Key Decision Status: No Decision That the proposed criteria be agreed and implemented in the current budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
Presents a report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Performance and Resources on new budget evaluation criteria. Key Decision Status: No Decision That the proposed criteria be agreed and implemented in the current budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
Resources on new budget evaluation criteria. Key Decision Status: No Decision That the proposed criteria be agreed and implemented in the current budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
Key Decision Status: No Decision That the proposed criteria be agreed and implemented in the current budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
Decision That the proposed criteria be agreed and implemented in the current budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
Decision That the proposed criteria be agreed and implemented in the current budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
Decision That the proposed criteria be agreed and implemented in the current budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
That the proposed criteria be agreed and implemented in the current budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
That the proposed criteria be agreed and implemented in the current budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
That the proposed criteria be agreed and implemented in the current budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
budget round. Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
Explanation Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
Overview and Scrutiny has considered the existing criteria for scoring budget bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
bids which has existed since 2003. The new recommended evaluation criteria for use by Budget Working Party in scoring bids are based on a two stage process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
process of sifting and scoring, together with a weighted multiplier for each criteria. Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
Alternative Options Considered: None Interests Declared: None
None Interests Declared: None
None Interests Declared: None
Interests Declared: None
Interests Declared: None
Implementation Date: Publication Date: Recorded by:
implementation bate. I rubileation bate. I recorded by.
7 November 2006 27 October 2006 T Capper
Call-in Period Expires Contact Officers:
Call in Pariod Expires Contact Officers:

Statement of Executive Decision

Agenda Item: 8	
Subject: Car Parking, Millom and Cleator Mo	or
Date of Decision: 27 October 2006	Decision made by:
Decision Reference: EXE/06/00104	Full Executive

Portfolio Holder: Councillor A Holliday

Context:

Presents requests from Cleator Moor Town Council and Millom Town Council to lease pay and display car parks at Jacktrees Road and The Square Cleator Moor and Lancashire Road Millom.

Key Decision Status	;
---------------------	---

Nο

Decision - that

- 1) Millom Town Council's offer of £1,600 pa to subsidise free parking at Lancashire Road car park is accepted, commencing from April 2007.
- 2) Cleator Moor Town Council's offer of £3,500 pa to subsidise free car parking at Jacktrees Road and the Square car parks is accepted, commencing from April 2007 as a one year agreement in line with the other car parks
- 3) In keeping with Bees and Seascale Parish Councils, all future agreements with Cleator Moor and Millom Town Council are accepted on an annual basis and delegated to the Head of Leisure and Environmental Services in consultation with the Portfolio Holder and subject to the Town Council's contribution being adjusted for inflation.
- 4) The 2007/8 services fees and charges for L&ES are adjusted to take account of the £846 shortfall

Explanation

Formal requests to lease these car parks have been received from Millom Town Council and Cleator Moor town Council following negotiations with both councils. Principles of the lease arrangements are similar to those previously agreed by the Council for St Bees and Seascale.

Alternative Options Considered:	
None	

Interests Declared: None		
Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Popardad by:
Implementation Date: 7 November 2006	27 October 2006	Recorded by: T Capper
7 11010111001 2000	27 00.000. 2000	i suppo.
Call-in Period Expires on	Contact Officers:	
7 November 2006	K Parker	

A susuada Harras O	
Agenda Item: 9	
Subject: Local Authority Business Growth In	centive Scheme
Date of Decision: 24 October 2006	Decision made by:
Decision Reference: EXE/06/00105	Full Executive
Portfolio Holder: Councillor Mrs C A Giel	
Context:	
Ocal and a second like the set A the St. D. St.	
Seeks approval to the Local Authority Busine	,
scheme and projects to be supported from the	le runding.
Key Decision Status:	
Ney Decision Status.	
No	
Decision	
The programme as detailed in the report be a	pproved and managed by the
Corporate Director (Economic Prosperity and	
authority from the Executive, and any program	
held back, spending not commencing until fu	Il project funding is secured.
Explanation	
Explanation	
LARGI allows local authorities to retain a pro-	nortion of husiness rates revenue
LABGI allows local authorities to retain a pro	
raised in their area by working with business	es to deliver economic success.
raised in their area by working with business. The Council has been allocated £161,000 in	es to deliver economic success. 2006/2007, and Executive
raised in their area by working with business. The Council has been allocated £161,000 in agreed a draft programme of initiatives on 13	es to deliver economic success. 2006/2007, and Executive 3 June 2006. Schemes will
raised in their area by working with business. The Council has been allocated £161,000 in agreed a draft programme of initiatives on 13 comprise an Enterprise Development Progra	es to deliver economic success. 2006/2007, and Executive 3 June 2006. Schemes will mme, a joint project with St
raised in their area by working with business. The Council has been allocated £161,000 in agreed a draft programme of initiatives on 13 comprise an Enterprise Development Programmer's College for employment of students	es to deliver economic success. 2006/2007, and Executive 3 June 2006. Schemes will mme, a joint project with St and graduates, Business
raised in their area by working with business. The Council has been allocated £161,000 in agreed a draft programme of initiatives on 13 comprise an Enterprise Development Progra Martin's College for employment of students Development Initiatives at Cleator Moor, Egro	es to deliver economic success. 2006/2007, and Executive 3 June 2006. Schemes will mme, a joint project with St and graduates, Business emont and Millom, and a
raised in their area by working with business. The Council has been allocated £161,000 in agreed a draft programme of initiatives on 13 comprise an Enterprise Development Programmer's College for employment of students	es to deliver economic success. 2006/2007, and Executive 3 June 2006. Schemes will mme, a joint project with St and graduates, Business emont and Millom, and a
raised in their area by working with business. The Council has been allocated £161,000 in agreed a draft programme of initiatives on 13 comprise an Enterprise Development Progra Martin's College for employment of students Development Initiatives at Cleator Moor, Egro	es to deliver economic success. 2006/2007, and Executive 3 June 2006. Schemes will mme, a joint project with St and graduates, Business emont and Millom, and a
raised in their area by working with business. The Council has been allocated £161,000 in agreed a draft programme of initiatives on 13 comprise an Enterprise Development Progra Martin's College for employment of students Development Initiatives at Cleator Moor, Egro	es to deliver economic success. 2006/2007, and Executive 3 June 2006. Schemes will mme, a joint project with St and graduates, Business emont and Millom, and a
raised in their area by working with business. The Council has been allocated £161,000 in agreed a draft programme of initiatives on 13 comprise an Enterprise Development Progra Martin's College for employment of students Development Initiatives at Cleator Moor, Egro	es to deliver economic success. 2006/2007, and Executive 3 June 2006. Schemes will mme, a joint project with St and graduates, Business emont and Millom, and a
raised in their area by working with business. The Council has been allocated £161,000 in agreed a draft programme of initiatives on 13 comprise an Enterprise Development Progra Martin's College for employment of students Development Initiatives at Cleator Moor, Egre Whitehaven town centre business development Alternative Options Considered:	es to deliver economic success. 2006/2007, and Executive 3 June 2006. Schemes will mme, a joint project with St and graduates, Business emont and Millom, and a
raised in their area by working with business. The Council has been allocated £161,000 in agreed a draft programme of initiatives on 13 comprise an Enterprise Development Progra Martin's College for employment of students Development Initiatives at Cleator Moor, Egre Whitehaven town centre business development	es to deliver economic success. 2006/2007, and Executive 3 June 2006. Schemes will mme, a joint project with St and graduates, Business emont and Millom, and a
raised in their area by working with business. The Council has been allocated £161,000 in agreed a draft programme of initiatives on 13 comprise an Enterprise Development Progra Martin's College for employment of students Development Initiatives at Cleator Moor, Egre Whitehaven town centre business development Alternative Options Considered:	es to deliver economic success. 2006/2007, and Executive 3 June 2006. Schemes will mme, a joint project with St and graduates, Business emont and Millom, and a
raised in their area by working with business. The Council has been allocated £161,000 in agreed a draft programme of initiatives on 13 comprise an Enterprise Development Progra Martin's College for employment of students Development Initiatives at Cleator Moor, Egre Whitehaven town centre business development Alternative Options Considered:	es to deliver economic success. 2006/2007, and Executive 3 June 2006. Schemes will mme, a joint project with St and graduates, Business emont and Millom, and a

Implementation Date: 7 November 2006	Publication Date: 27 October 2006	Recorded by: Tim Capper	
Call-in Period Expires	Contact Officers:		

Call-in Period Expires	Contact Officers:
on: 7 November 2006	G McWilliam

Agenda Item: 10		
Subject: Funding for Elec	ctoral Purposes	
		Decision made by:
Decision Reference: EXE/06/00106 Fu		Full Executive
Portfolio Holder: Councillo	or N Williams	
Context:		
Seeks approval for expensum of £25,000	nditure on electoral p	ourposes in 2006/2007 in the
Key Decision Status:		
,		
No		
D ::		
Decision		
That		
	council's continger	in 2006/07 for Electoral cy provision in 2006/07. tive the authority to manage
Explanation		
2006/2007 for use in con	nection with new dut	00 in the Grant Settlement for ies under the Electoral of signatures for postal voting.
Alternative Options Consi	idered:	
Alternative Options Const	iuci 6u.	
None		
Interests Declared: None		

Call-in Period Expires	Contact Officers:
on: 7 November 2006	A Southward

Agenda Item: 11		
Subject: Leisure Service L	evel Agreements	
Date of Decision: 24 October 2006 Decision made by:		
		Full Executive
Portfolio Holder: Councillo	r Alan Holliday	
	,	
Context:		
Seeks approval for implem	enting service level	agreements for Millom
Recreation Centre, Egreme	ont Town Hall, Egre	mont and District Swimming
Pool Trust and Copeland S	Stadium Trust	•
•		
Key Decision Status:		
•		
No		
Decision		
1. That Members app	rove the payment	in line with the agreements.
1. That Members app	rove the payment	in line with the agreements.
••	. ,	· ·
2. That authority is	delegated to the	Corporate Director, Quality of later and Cultural Services to
2. That authority is	delegated to the (r for Environmen	Corporate Director, Quality of ital and Cultural Services to
2. That authority is	delegated to the (r for Environmen	Corporate Director, Quality of ital and Cultural Services to
2. That authority is life and Portfolio Holde esolve this matter in future	delegated to the (r for Environmen	Corporate Director, Quality of ital and Cultural Services to
2. That authority is	delegated to the (r for Environmen	Corporate Director, Quality of ital and Cultural Services to
2. That authority is life and Portfolio Holde esolve this matter in future	delegated to the or for Environmenge years, subject to	Corporate Director, Quality of ital and Cultural Services to funding being in place.
2. That authority is life and Portfolio Holde esolve this matter in future Explanation Service Level Agreements	delegated to the or for Environmente years, subject to ensure a minimum	Corporate Director, Quality of stal and Cultural Services to funding being in place.
2. That authority is life and Portfolio Holde esolve this matter in future Explanation Service Level Agreements organisations in return for	delegated to the or for Environmente years, subject to ensure a minimum funding by way of growth for the control of the contro	Corporate Director, Quality of stal and Cultural Services to funding being in place. level of service from rant. SLA's proposed are for
2. That authority is ife and Portfolio Holde esolve this matter in future. Explanation Service Level Agreements organisations in return for Millom Recreation Centre (delegated to the or for Environmente years, subject to ensure a minimum funding by way of gray (£7,790); Copeland	Corporate Director, Quality of stal and Cultural Services to funding being in place. level of service from rant. SLA's proposed are for Stadium (£5,000); Egremont
2. That authority is life and Portfolio Holde esolve this matter in future Explanation Service Level Agreements organisations in return for	delegated to the or for Environmente years, subject to ensure a minimum funding by way of gray (£7,790); Copeland	Corporate Director, Quality of stal and Cultural Services to funding being in place. level of service from rant. SLA's proposed are for Stadium (£5,000); Egremont
2. That authority is life and Portfolio Holde esolve this matter in future. Explanation Service Level Agreements organisations in return for Millom Recreation Centre (Market Hall (£12,885); Egr	delegated to the or for Environmente years, subject to ensure a minimum funding by way of graph (£7,790); Copeland emont and District S	Corporate Director, Quality of stal and Cultural Services to funding being in place. level of service from rant. SLA's proposed are for Stadium (£5,000); Egremont
2. That authority is ife and Portfolio Holde esolve this matter in future. Explanation Service Level Agreements organisations in return for Millom Recreation Centre (delegated to the or for Environmente years, subject to ensure a minimum funding by way of graph (£7,790); Copeland emont and District S	Corporate Director, Quality of stal and Cultural Services to funding being in place. level of service from rant. SLA's proposed are for Stadium (£5,000); Egremont
2. That authority is life and Portfolio Holde esolve this matter in future. Explanation Service Level Agreements organisations in return for Millom Recreation Centre (Market Hall (£12,885); Egr.	delegated to the or for Environmente years, subject to ensure a minimum funding by way of graph (£7,790); Copeland emont and District S	Corporate Director, Quality of stal and Cultural Services to funding being in place. level of service from rant. SLA's proposed are for Stadium (£5,000); Egremont
2. That authority is life and Portfolio Holde esolve this matter in future. Explanation Service Level Agreements organisations in return for Millom Recreation Centre (Market Hall (£12,885); Egr	delegated to the or for Environmente years, subject to ensure a minimum funding by way of graph (£7,790); Copeland emont and District S	Corporate Director, Quality of stal and Cultural Services to funding being in place. level of service from rant. SLA's proposed are for Stadium (£5,000); Egremont
2. That authority is life and Portfolio Holde esolve this matter in future. Explanation Service Level Agreements organisations in return for Millom Recreation Centre (Market Hall (£12,885); Egr. Alternative Options Consideration None	delegated to the or for Environmente years, subject to ensure a minimum funding by way of graph (£7,790); Copeland emont and District S	Corporate Director, Quality of stal and Cultural Services to funding being in place. level of service from rant. SLA's proposed are for Stadium (£5,000); Egremont
2. That authority is life and Portfolio Holde esolve this matter in future. Explanation Service Level Agreements organisations in return for Millom Recreation Centre (Market Hall (£12,885); Egr.	delegated to the or for Environmente years, subject to ensure a minimum funding by way of graph (£7,790); Copeland emont and District S	Corporate Director, Quality of stal and Cultural Services to funding being in place. level of service from rant. SLA's proposed are for Stadium (£5,000); Egremont
2. That authority is life and Portfolio Holderesolve this matter in future. Explanation Service Level Agreements organisations in return for Millom Recreation Centre (Market Hall (£12,885); Egr. Alternative Options Considered.	delegated to the or for Environmente years, subject to ensure a minimum funding by way of graph (£7,790); Copeland emont and District States	Corporate Director, Quality of stal and Cultural Services to funding being in place. level of service from rant. SLA's proposed are for Stadium (£5,000); Egremont Swimming Pool (£15,000).
2. That authority is life and Portfolio Holde esolve this matter in future. Explanation Service Level Agreements organisations in return for Millom Recreation Centre (Market Hall (£12,885); Egr. Alternative Options Consideration. None Interests Declared: None	ensure a minimum funding by way of gremont and District Stered:	Corporate Director, Quality of stal and Cultural Services to funding being in place. level of service from rant. SLA's proposed are for Stadium (£5,000); Egremont Swimming Pool (£15,000).
2. That authority is life and Portfolio Holderesolve this matter in future. Explanation Service Level Agreements organisations in return for Millom Recreation Centre (Market Hall (£12,885); Egr. Alternative Options Considered.	delegated to the or for Environmente years, subject to ensure a minimum funding by way of graph (£7,790); Copeland emont and District States	Corporate Director, Quality of stal and Cultural Services to funding being in place. level of service from rant. SLA's proposed are for Stadium (£5,000); Egremont Swimming Pool (£15,000).
2. That authority is life and Portfolio Holderesolve this matter in future. Explanation Service Level Agreements organisations in return for Millom Recreation Centre (Market Hall (£12,885); Egr. Alternative Options Considered. None Interests Declared: None Implementation Date: 7 November 2006	ensure a minimum funding by way of gremont and District Stered: Publication Date: 27 October 2006	Corporate Director, Quality of stal and Cultural Services to funding being in place. level of service from rant. SLA's proposed are for Stadium (£5,000); Egremont Swimming Pool (£15,000).
2. That authority is life and Portfolio Holde esolve this matter in future. Explanation Service Level Agreements organisations in return for Millom Recreation Centre (Market Hall (£12,885); Egr. Alternative Options Consideration. None Interests Declared: None	ensure a minimum funding by way of gremont and District Stered:	Corporate Director, Quality of stal and Cultural Services to funding being in place. level of service from rant. SLA's proposed are for Stadium (£5,000); Egremont Swimming Pool (£15,000).

Agenda Item: 12		
Subject: Revised Sanctio		
Date of Decision: 24 Octo		Decision made by:
Decision Reference: EXE	/06/00108	Full Executive
Destruction of the second	- -	
Portfolio Holder: Councillo	or E vvoodburn	
Context:		
Goritext.		
Sets out a revised prosect and Benefit fraud.	ution policy for use i	n connection with Council Tax
Kay Dagisian Status		
Key Decision Status:		
No		
Decision		
That the revised present	ian naliay ba rasamı	manded to Council for approval
That the revised prosecuti	ion policy be recomi	mended to Council for approval
Explanation		
•	and Pensions issue:	s guidance to local authorities on
sanctions policy, including	issuing of cautions	and instituting prosecutions.
		secutions policy which does not
contain sufficient policy cr	iteria to meet currer	nt DWP requirements.
Alta mantina Ontina Ontina	alawa ali	
Alternative Options Consid	aerea:	
IN/A		
Interests Declared: None		
Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:
7 November 2006	27 October 2006	Tim Capper
	T	
Call-in Period Expires	Contact Officers:	
on: 7 November 2006	M Robinson	

Statement of Executive Decision

Agenda Item: 13 –	
Subject: Remote Working Pilot	
Date of Decision: 24 October 2006	Decision made by:
Decision Reference: EXE/06/00109	Full Executive
Portfolio Holder: Councillor N Williams	
Context:	
Reports findings 6 months after the start of the	ne remote working pilot
Key Decision Status:	
No	

Decision

- 1) That Executive notes the findings of the Remote Working Pilot which commenced in April 2006: and
- 2) That the Executive agrees to delegating responsibility for managing the Remote Working pilot to the Chief Executive; and
- 3) That the existing pilot is extended for a further six months, including adding up to five more individuals to the pilot (Option 2 below); and
- 4) Those already working remotely under the pilot continue to do so.

Explanation

A pilot trialling remote and home working commenced during 2006 involving 5 Council employees. The report sets out the benefits and disadvantages to the Council and to employees found from the findings from the pilot, and looks at options for a way forward.

Alternative Options Considered:

Option 1 – Extended pilot (as decision)

Option 2 - Continue present pilot

Option 3 – Do Nothing

Option 4 – Close Pilot

Interests Declared: None

Implementation Date:	Publication Date:	Recorded by:
7 November 2006	27 October 2006	Tim Capper

Call-in Period Expires	Contact Officers:
on: 7 November 2006	H Mitchell