PARTNERSHIP PROTOCOLS

PORTFOLIO HOLDER: LEAD OFFICER: REPORT AUTHOR:	Cllr. Elaine Woodburn Martin Jepson – Head of Legal and Democratic Services Martin Jepson – Head of Legal and Democratic Services
Summary:	Recommends a format for partnership protocols.
Recommendation: That the Executive approves the format provided for the content of the Partnership Protocols.	
Impact on delivering Corporate Plan objectives:	An effect format for partnership protocols will help the Council deliver its key objectives through using effective secure processes and procedures.
Impact on other statutory objectives (e.g. crime & disorder, LA21):	None direct.
Financial and human resource implications:	There are no financial implications. There will however be considerable human resource implications.
Project & Risk Management:	It is recommended that once approved each responsible member of Corporate Team should settle the exact wording of their own Partnership Protocols with their own partner organisation
Key Decision Status	

- Financial:	None
- Ward:	None

Other Ward Implications: None

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 In 2005 Corporate Team agreed through the Corporate Governance Audit 2005/06 the development of Partnership Protocols with our partners.
- 1.2 Council has numerous informal arrangements with public and voluntary sector organisations but there are very few formal written arrangements.
- 1.3 Because of the range of types of partnerships it is not possible to draft a pro-forma protocol for every single partnership. However there are themes which are common to all partnerships which need to be considered as part of every partnership protocol.

2. OPTIONS

2.1 A thorough search of the web usual sources has been made and I have come to the conclusion that there is no one suitable partnership protocol applicable to all partnerships. This is therefore not an option.

- 2.2 There is however a very useful paper issued by the Audit Commission in October 2005 called "Governing Partnership-Bridging the Accountability Gap". This lengthy document – it is over 80 pages long – provides a very useful check list of what issues need to be considered in Partnership Protocols. I would recommend that, rather then a formal partnership agreement the Council uses the check list as a reminder of what considerations need to be covered when drafting an agreement. I have adapted this and it is shown as an appendix to this report. The format might also usefully be applied to the West Cumbria Strategic Partnership.
- 2.3 Other options are:
- a. Raise the issue County-wide possibly through the CSP so that a draft format can be agreed throughout Cumbria. This has the advantage that the overall result would not necessarily suit Copeland.
- b. Obtain one-off consultation services. This would provide a solution but would cost the Council as opposed to the "free" use of council officers' time.

3. RECOMMENDED SOLUTION

3.1 It is recommended that the framework questions already identified and shown in the attached Appendix be used as a basis for developing individual partnership protocols. It is also recommended that responsible members of Corporate Team should themselves develop their own partnership protocols rather than have one person agree a raft of different partnership protocols with all our partners. By answering the questions shown in the appendix, I am confident that each partnership protocol will be comprehensive. When reporting to Executive Officers will be asked to confirm that the Partnership Protocols check list has been followed.

List of Appendices: A: Partnership Arrangements – Contents of Protocols List of Background Documents: Report to Corporate Team of 21st August 2006 Corporate Governance Audit 2005/06 Corporate Team